

# A Novel Approach of Digital Filter Unit Noise Gain Minimization Based on Variable Function Optimization

Bouhamla Lakhdar,<sup>1</sup> Amel Baha Houda Adamou-Mitiche,<sup>2</sup> Lahcene Mitiche<sup>1,2</sup>

Laboratoire de Modelisation, Simulation ET Optimisation des Systems Complexes Reels University de Djelfa

## \*Corresponding author

Bouhamla Lakhdar, Laboratoire de Modelisation, Simulation ET Optimisation des Systems Complexes Reels University de Djelfa

Submitted: 11 Oct 2022; Accepted: 17 Oct 2022; Published: 28 Oct 2022

**Citation:** Lakhdar B\*, Adamou-Mitiche AH, Mitiche L. (2022). A Novel Approach of Digital Filter Unit Noise Gain Minimization Based on Variable Function Optimization. *J Electrical Electron Eng*, 1(1), 109-114.

## Abstract

In this work, a new and improved optimization approach that minimize the unit noise gain in state-space of digital filters is presented. The main idea is the formulation of the unit noise gain in the form of several variables function then can get the equivalent state-space by optimization method. From this new generalized matrix, a new state space is derived and guaranty the minimization of unit noise gain. From various simulations operated on different order digital filters, we show the superiority of the proposed Several Variables Function Optimization (SVFO) algorithm over existing method.

**Key Words:** Digital filters, minimization, unit noise gain, Several Variables Function Optimization (SVFO), state-space representation, unit noise gain.

## Introduction

The unit noise gain minimization of digital filters has been the subject of several researches during passed decades [1-7]. It is very important in the design of digital filters, to get a filter with minimum unit noise gain, where it is referred by the optimal filter structure [2, 8 and 9].

The problem of minimize the Round off noise subject to l2-scaling constraints in state-space of a digital filters solved by the literature [2, 10]. However, in this paper an improved optimizing method is presented. It is about finding a new formulation of the unit noise gain in the equivalent digital filters state-space, in term of several variables function, then extracting the equivalent state-space representation by optimization method (11–14). A generalized matrix that is verified for any order equal or greater than 2 is suggested and its efficiency to guaranty the minimization of unit noise gain. Different simulations examples are considered and prove the effectiveness of the proposed SVFO based method over the existing ones.

## Preliminaries

Consider the state-space representation of any digital filter given by

$$\begin{aligned}x(n+1) &= Ax(n) + Bu(n) \\y(n) &= Cx(n) + Du(n),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Where  $x(n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $u(n)$  and  $y(n)$  are the scalar input and output respectively.  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  are real matrices with appropriate dimensions. Its corresponding transfer function  $H(z)$  is given as

$$H(z) = C(zI - A)^{-1}B + D, \quad (2)$$

Where  $I$  is the identity matrix of  $(n \times n)$  dimension.

The unit noise gain  $G_0$  is the sum of products of corresponding diagonal elements in  $K_0$  and  $W_0$  defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}K_0 &\triangleq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j B B^t (A^j)^t, \\W_0 &\triangleq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^j)^t C^t C A^j,\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

And are satisfying the Lyapunov matrix equations

$$K_0 = AK_0A' + BB', \quad (4)$$

$$W_0 = A'W_0A + CC.$$

### Minimization unit noise gain based on SVFO

It is well known that for any state-space digital filter modelling (1), conventionally denoted by  $(A, B, C, D)$ , there is an infinity of realizations of the form  $(T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}B, CT, D)$ , that are all equivalent under any non-singular state transformation  $T$ . The corresponding unit noise gain is given by

$$G \triangleq tr(T^t W_0 T), \quad (5)$$

Where  $tr(X)$  denotes the trace of the matrix  $X$ .

The new realization with minimum unit noise gain  $(A^-, B^-, C, D^-)$  is given by

$$(A^-, B^-, C, D^-) = (\Delta T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}B, CT, D).$$

The problem of the unit noise gain digital filter minimization (2) is to reach (5) to a minimum value, and is subject to the constraint,

$$T^{-1}K_0T^{-t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $T = T_0 R T_0'$ , where  $K_0 = T_0 T_0'$ , and  $R$  an arbitrary orthogonal matrix. The equation (6) becomes

$$T_1^{-1}T_1^{-t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Let the matrix  $T_1$  be

$$T_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1) & f_2(x_1) & \cdots & f_n(x_1) \\ f_1(x_2) & f_2(x_2) & \cdots & f_n(x_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(x_n) & f_2(x_n) & \cdots & f_n(x_n) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Where  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are real functions that verify the following condition:

$$f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \dots + f_n^2 = 1.$$

We suggest to put the generalized order matrix  $T_1^{-1}$  as

$$T_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1 - (n-1)x_1^2} & x_1 & \cdots & x_1 \\ \sqrt{1 - (n-1)x_2^2} & x_2 & \cdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{1 - (n-1)x_n^2} & x_n & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

For all  $n \geq 2$ . After substituting the expression of the matrix  $T$  in equation (5), the unit noise gain becomes a set of functions with several variables, for each matrix  $R$ .

To minimize the unit noise gain ( $G$ ), it is necessary to take

$$R = orth\left(\begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_1 & \cdots & t_1 \\ t_2 & t_2 & \cdots & t_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_n & t_n & \cdots & t_n \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

Where  $t_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are real variables and  $orth(\chi)$  is the orthonormal basis of matrix  $\chi$ . The substitution the matrix  $T$  in 5 produces a function with several variables, it can be handle with the optimization methods by using this way we can obtain the minimum of the unit noise gain ( $G$ ) [11-14].

### Numerical Examples

We take several examples of different orders to prove the efficacy of SVFO method, and compare it with previous works if any.

#### Example 1

Let a low-pass digital filter represented by the state-space matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8857 & -0.8961 \\ 1.0000 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = [0.0090 \quad 0.0002].$$

From (4), the matrices  $K_0$  and  $W_0$  are constructed as

$$K_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 462.2966 & 459.7509 \\ 459.7509 & 462.2966 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0398 & -0.0355 \\ -0.0355 & 0.0320 \end{bmatrix}$$

It's the unit noise gain is ( $G_0 = 33.18$ ).

From the SVFO based approach, the matrix  $T$  is computed as

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2731 & -20.8817 \\ -1.2730 & -22.0612 \end{bmatrix},$$

And the matrix  $R$

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7275 & 0.6861 \\ 0.6861 & 0.7275 \end{bmatrix},$$

Which yield to

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9428 & 0.0640 \\ -0.1121 & 0.9428 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4035 \\ -0.0233 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [0.0112 \quad -0.1941],$$

And the controllability and observability Gramians are given by

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2313 & -0.1072 \\ -0.1072 & 0.2313 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & -0.4632 \\ -0.4632 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

The obtained minimum unit noise gain via the proposed approach is  $G^- = 0.46$ .

### Example 2

Consider the state-space of the third-order digital filter in (2)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.4537681314 & -1.556161235 & 1.974861148 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = [0 \quad 0 \quad 1]^T,$$

$$C = [0.231752363 \quad 0.023016947 \quad 0.079306721],$$

With the matrices  $K_0$  and  $W_0$

$$K_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 17.0620 & 14.8866 & 9.6028 \\ 14.8866 & 17.0620 & 14.8866 \\ 9.6028 & 14.8866 & 17.0620 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0481 & -0.1193 & 0.0954 \\ -0.1193 & 0.3111 & -0.2500 \\ 0.0954 & -0.2500 & 0.2310 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This permits to compute the unit noise gain  $G_0 = 10.07$ .

Achieving the Cholesky factorization of  $K_0$ , we can obtain the matrix  $T_0$  as

$$T_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 4.1306 & 0 & 0 \\ 3.6040 & 2.0183 & 0 \\ 2.3248 & 3.2246 & 1.1222 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed matrix  $T_1$  is given as

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-2x_1^2} & x_1 & x_1 \\ \sqrt{1-2x_2^2} & x_2 & x_2 \\ \sqrt{1-2x_3^2} & x_3 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the proposed the SVFO algorithm, the matrix  $T$  is

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} -5.1161 & 0.1776 & 2.5208 \\ -3.7938 & 0.9185 & -0.4634 \\ -2.3420 & 0.0248 & -2.2613 \end{bmatrix}$$

The new state-space representation corresponding to the minimum unit noise gain is

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6414 & 0.0853 & 0.4260 \\ -0.0032 & 0.6284 & -0.3467 \\ -0.2031 & 0.4933 & 0.7051 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1663 \\ -0.8275 \\ -0.2791 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [-0.3916 \quad 0.0272 \quad -0.1316],$$

Which yield to

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2174 & 0.0093 & 0.1328 \\ 0.0093 & 0.2146 & 0.0995 \\ 0.1328 & 0.0995 & 0.2207 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0.0230 & 0.6153 \\ 0.0230 & 1.0000 & 0.4617 \\ 0.6153 & 0.4617 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The unit noise gain  $G^-$  is then minimum and equal to 0.65.

### Example 3

Consider a fourth-order state-space of digital filter (15),

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000 \\ -0.3870 & -1.4674 & -2.4967 & -2.2258 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.2404 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = [0.0183 \quad -0.2191 \quad 0.1419 \quad -0.2714].$$

The unit noise gain is  $G_o = 22.68$ , the obtained optimal filter structure achieved by the proposed SVFO algorithm is given by the state matrices

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4905 & -0.4103 & -0.3852 & 0.5444 \\ 0.4076 & -0.5203 & -0.2865 & -0.1231 \\ 0.5523 & 0.3060 & -0.6331 & 0.0926 \\ -0.2634 & 0.0559 & -0.2915 & -0.5819 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1506 \\ -0.5294 \\ 0.2394 \\ 0.7413 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [0.0202 \quad -0.2784 \quad -0.2560 \quad -0.2001],$$

With the minimum unit noise gain ( $G^- = 0.44$ ), this yields to

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0470 & 0.0341 & 0.0064 & 0.0309 \\ 0.0341 & 0.1640 & 0.0803 & 0.1148 \\ 0.0064 & 0.0803 & 0.1294 & 0.0356 \\ 0.0309 & 0.1148 & 0.0356 & 0.1002 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0.1947 & -0.4545 & -0.3319 \\ 0.1947 & 1.0000 & 0.4108 & -0.1693 \\ -0.4545 & 0.4108 & 1.0000 & 0.3952 \\ -0.3319 & -0.1693 & 0.3952 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### Example 4

Let the low-pass filter in (16) where the numerator  $b$  and denominator  $a$  are computed using the function of Matlab as  $[b,a] = butter(4,0.05)$ , the unit noise gain  $G_o = 1.41 \times 10^5$ . The state-space matrices of the corresponding digital filter are

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8782 & 0.0300 & 0.0794 & -0.0694 \\ -0.0633 & 0.9386 & 0.1266 & 0.0406 \\ 0.0038 & -0.0851 & 0.8759 & 0.1256 \\ 0.1113 & -0.1119 & 0.0352 & 0.8970 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4637 \\ -0.2107 \\ 0.1240 \\ -0.0366 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [0.0754 \quad 0.1739 \quad 0.0327 \quad 0.0581],$$

With the unit noise gain  $G^- = 0.26$ , where

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0361 & 0.0783 & -0.0104 & 0.0020 \\ 0.0783 & 0.1799 & -0.0067 & 0.0138 \\ -0.0104 & -0.0067 & 0.0318 & 0.0191 \\ 0.0020 & 0.0138 & 0.0191 & 0.0153 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & -0.1478 & 0.6383 & 0.5679 \\ -0.1478 & 1.0000 & 0.1400 & 0.0695 \\ 0.6383 & 0.1400 & 1.0000 & 0.9627 \\ 0.5679 & 0.0695 & 0.9627 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### Example 5

Generating the digital filter of order 5 by the Matlab function  $[b,a] = butter(5,0.2)$ , its corresponding unit noise is  $G_o = 278.44$ .

The matrix  $K_o$  and  $W_o$  are

$$K_o = \begin{bmatrix} 147.7967 & 134.7808 & 100.1946 & 55.1312 & 12.4249 \\ 134.7808 & 147.7967 & 134.7808 & 100.1946 & 55.1312 \\ 100.1946 & 134.7808 & 147.7967 & 134.7808 & 100.1946 \\ 55.1312 & 100.1946 & 134.7808 & 147.7967 & 134.7808 \\ 12.4249 & 55.1312 & 100.1946 & 134.7808 & 147.7967 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2025 & -0.4145 & 0.3599 & -0.1469 & 0.0236 \\ -0.4145 & 0.8764 & -0.7701 & 0.3183 & -0.0515 \\ 0.3599 & -0.7701 & 0.6831 & -0.2839 & 0.0462 \\ -0.1469 & 0.3183 & -0.2839 & 0.1188 & -0.0194 \\ 0.0236 & -0.0515 & 0.0462 & -0.0194 & 0.0032 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed approach permits to get

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7216 & -0.2565 & -0.4525 & 0.0688 & -0.0138 \\ 0.1976 & 0.6315 & 0.0544 & -0.3064 & 0.0825 \\ 0.2442 & -0.0585 & 0.5697 & -0.2127 & -0.1972 \\ -0.1956 & 0.0207 & 0.2334 & 0.5168 & 0.4226 \\ -0.0780 & -0.3134 & 0.3040 & 0.0946 & 0.5359 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0278 \\ 0.5385 \\ -0.7271 \\ -0.2392 \\ -0.2035 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [-0.2940 \quad 0.0851 \quad 0.1032 \quad -0.0869 \quad -0.1317],$$

The corresponding gain is  $G^- = 0.37$ , which yield to

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2568 & -0.0142 & -0.0180 & 0.0118 & 0.0541 \\ -0.0142 & 0.0375 & 0.0357 & -0.0181 & -0.0191 \\ -0.0180 & 0.0357 & 0.0356 & -0.0201 & -0.0208 \\ 0.0118 & -0.0181 & -0.0201 & 0.0143 & 0.0141 \\ 0.0541 & -0.0191 & -0.0208 & 0.0141 & 0.0219 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & -0.1223 & -0.2500 & -0.0659 & 0.0273 \\ -0.1223 & 1.0000 & -0.1132 & -0.8761 & -0.8598 \\ -0.2500 & -0.1132 & 1.0000 & -0.0759 & 0.0169 \\ -0.0659 & -0.8761 & -0.0759 & 1.0000 & 0.9643 \\ 0.0273 & -0.8598 & 0.0169 & 0.9643 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bar{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1167 & 0.0422 & -0.0194 & -0.0721 & -0.0766 & 0.0471 \\ 0.0422 & 0.0623 & -0.0235 & -0.0014 & -0.0471 & 0.0019 \\ -0.0194 & -0.0235 & 0.0202 & -0.0393 & 0.0074 & 0.0094 \\ -0.0721 & -0.0014 & -0.0393 & 0.2359 & 0.0873 & -0.0840 \\ -0.0766 & -0.0471 & 0.0074 & 0.0873 & 0.0760 & -0.0444 \\ 0.0471 & 0.0019 & 0.0094 & -0.0840 & -0.0444 & 0.0484 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0.2161 & -0.3226 & -0.0821 & -0.1788 & 0.2098 \\ 0.2161 & 1.0000 & -0.6518 & 0.2961 & -0.0043 & 0.9139 \\ -0.3226 & -0.6518 & 1.0000 & -0.3700 & -0.1853 & -0.6513 \\ -0.0821 & 0.2961 & -0.3700 & 1.0000 & -0.1694 & 0.2895 \\ -0.1788 & -0.0043 & -0.1853 & -0.1694 & 1.0000 & -0.0092 \\ 0.2098 & 0.9139 & -0.6513 & 0.2895 & -0.0092 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Example 6

Considering a digital filter of order 6, using the function  $[b,a] = \text{butter}(6,0.2)$ , the corresponding unit noise gain is  $G_0 = 2419$  and the state-space representation obtained by the SVFO method is

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8849 & 0.2374 & 0.7390 & 0.5474 & 0.0958 & 0.4193 \\ -0.1351 & 0.6071 & -0.6598 & 0.0089 & -0.0836 & -0.2739 \\ -0.1929 & -0.4667 & 0.0303 & -0.1406 & -0.6782 & -0.1935 \\ -0.4878 & -0.2312 & -0.1982 & 0.6907 & 0.2323 & 0.3063 \\ 0.0914 & -0.0326 & 0.5936 & 0.0349 & 0.7170 & 0.1878 \\ -0.2275 & -0.0554 & -0.5260 & -0.1442 & -0.1154 & 0.6494 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0106 \\ 0.4565 \\ -0.0276 \\ -0.2099 \\ 0.6727 \\ 0.3902 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{C} = [0.2338 \quad 0.0826 \quad -0.0463 \quad -0.1644 \quad -0.1920 \quad 0.1575],$$

With the gain  $G^- = 0.56$  and

### Results and Interpretation

It is clear from the simulation results, in Table I, that the new state-space structure  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{D})$  has a very low and minimum unit noise gain ( $G^-$ ) than that ( $G_0$ ) of the initial state-space  $(A, B, C, D)$ . For example 2, the works in obtained the same result as the authors results, but with more expensive calculations than those via proposed solution [10, 15]. In the example 3, the obtained results via the proposed SVFO approach is best than in in sense of minimum unit noise gain ( $0.44 < 0.80$  and  $0.26 < 0.55$ ). The present work gives good results for the order 5 and 6 as shown in example 5 and example 6. Besides to that, the computational time is posed in Table I for eventual comparison with any future works, for the six examples [15, 16].

### Conclusion

A new and improved method of optimization is proposed to minimize the unit noise gain of any digital filtrate proposed method converted the problem of the minimization unit noise gain from a matrix form to a function of several variables easier to deal with it and could be improved in future work.

	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3	Example 4	Example 5	Example 6
Unit noise gain $G_0$	33.19	10.07	22.68	$1.42 \times 10^5$	278.44	2419
Unit noise gain		0.65 (10,15)	0.80 (15)	0.55 (16)		
Unit noise gain $G^-$ SVFO	0.46	0.65	0.44	0.26	0.366	0.56
Computational Time (sec.)	2.00	3.89	17.27	15.61	43.66	44.97

### References

- Huang, C. G., Zhang, H. Q., Xu, H., He, X. X., & Lin, J. (2016). An Improved Lattice Filter Structure with Minimum Round off Noise Gain. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 35(11), 4170-4182.
- Hwang, S. (1977). Minimum uncorrelated unit noise in state-space digital filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, 25(4), 273-281.
- Barnes, C. (1984). Computationally efficient second-order digital filter sections with low round off noise gain. *IEEE transactions on circuits and systems*, 31(10), 841-847.
- Yamada, M., Ono, H., Kanamori, T., Sakamoto, T., Ohishi, Y., & Sudo, S. (1996). A low-noise and gain-flattened amplifier composed of a silica-based and a fluoride-based Er/sup 3+/-doped fiber amplifier in a cascade configuration. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, 8(5), 620-622.
- Wong, N., & Ng, T. S. (2001). A generalized direct-form delta operator-based IIR filter with minimum noise gain and sensitivity. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing*, 48(4), 425-431.
- Mullis, C., & Roberts, R. (1976). Round off noise in digital filters: Frequency transformations and invariants. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, 24(6), 538-550.
- Ritzerfeld, J. H. (2005). Noise gain expressions for low noise second-order digital filter structures. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, 52(4), 223-227.
- Mullis, C., & Roberts, R. (1976). Synthesis of minimum round off noise fixed point digital filters. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 23(9), 551-562.

9. Hinamoto, T., Doi, A., & Lu, W. S. (2014). Round off noise minimization in state-space discrete-time systems using joint optimization of high-order error feedback and realization. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 61(12), 3460-3468.
10. Tavsanoglu, V., & Thiele, L. (1984). Optimal design of state-space digital filters by simultaneous minimization of sensitivity and round off noise. *IEEE transactions on circuits and systems*, 31(10), 884-888.
11. Lagarias, J. C., Reeds, J. A., Wright, M. H., & Wright, P. E. (1998). Convergence properties of the Nelder--Mead simplex method in low dimensions. *SIAM Journal on optimization*, 9(1), 112-147.
12. Conn, A. R., Gould, N. I., & Toint, P. (1991). A globally convergent augmented Lagrangian algorithm for optimization with general constraints and simple bounds. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 28(2), 545-572.
13. Conn, A., Gould, N., & Toint, P. (1997). A globally convergent Lagrangian barrier algorithm for optimization with general inequality constraints and simple bounds. *Mathematics of Computation*, 66(217), 261-288.
14. Jun INAGAKI, Toshitada MIZUNO, Tomoaki SHIRAKAWA, and Tetsuo SHIMONO. (2009). Genetic algorithms in search, optimization, and machine learning genetic algorithms in search, optimization, and machine learning, 1989. *IEICE transactions on information and systems*, 92(7):1503–1506.
15. Hinamoto, T., Ohnishi, H., & Lu, W. S. (2003). Round off noise minimization of state-space digital filters using separate and joint error feedback/coordinate transformation optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, 50(1), 23-33.
16. Hinamoto, Y., & Doi, A. (2018, February). State-Space Digital Filters with Minimum Weighted Round-off Noise and Pole Sensitivity Subject to  $\{1\} - \{2\}$  -Scaling Constraints. In *2018 Twenty Fourth National Conference on Communications (NCC)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

*Copyright:* ©2022 Bouhamla Lakhdar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.