

# The Role of Diffusible Signal Factors in Quorum Sensing for Improved Biocontrol Techniques

Ali Yetgin\*

Cukurova University, Institute of Nature and Applied Sciences, Department of Biotechnology Adana, Turkey.

## \*Corresponding Author

Ali Yetgin, Cukurova University, Institute of Nature and Applied Sciences, Department of Biotechnology Adana, Turkey.

Submitted: 2023, Apr 03; Accepted: 2023, Apr 21; Published: 2023, May 10

**Citation:** Yetgin, A. (2023). The Role of Diffusible Signal Factors in Quorum Sensing for Improved Biocontrol Techniques. *Int J Clin Med Edu Res*, 2(5), 128-135.

## Abstract

Quorum sensing (QS) is a mechanism by which bacteria communicate with each other to coordinate group behavior. One important aspect of QS is the production and detection of diffusible signal factors (DSFs), which are small molecules that can diffuse across bacterial membranes and trigger various responses. DSFs have been shown to play a crucial role in regulating a wide range of biological processes, including biofilm formation, virulence, and antibiotic resistance. In recent years, researchers have started to explore the potential of DSFs in biocontrol applications, where they can be used to interfere with the communication and coordination of pathogenic bacteria. This review discusses the role of DSFs in QS and how they can be utilized to develop improved biocontrol techniques. We also discuss the various strategies that have been developed to interfere with DSF-mediated QS, such as using DSF analogs or enzymes that degrade DSFs. We highlight some of the promising applications of DSFs in biocontrol, including their use as biocontrol agents as part of plant microbiomes. We argue that DSFs represent a promising avenue for developing novel and effective biocontrol strategies that can help address some of the most pressing challenges in agriculture and healthcare.

**Keywords:** Diffusible Signal Factors, Quorum Sensing, Biocontrol, Antibiotic Resistance, Bacterial Communication.

## Introduction

Quorum sensing (QS) is a mechanism of bacterial communication and coordination, whereby bacteria produce and detect small signaling molecules called autoinducers [1]. These autoinducers can accumulate in the environment, and once a threshold concentration is reached, they trigger a coordinated response among the bacteria. This response can include changes in gene expression, cell differentiation, and the production of virulence factors or biofilms. QS plays a crucial role in bacterial behavior, allowing bacteria to coordinate their activities and adapt to changing environments. Understanding QS is important because it provides insight into bacterial behavior and can be leveraged to develop novel therapies, including antibiotics and biocontrol agents.

QS is a widespread phenomenon among bacteria and is found in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. It is involved in a variety of processes, such as pathogenesis, biofilm formation, bioluminescence, and antibiotic production. QS is particularly important in the context of pathogenesis, as many bacterial

pathogens rely on QS to coordinate their virulence and evade the host immune system [2]. By targeting QS, it is possible to disrupt bacterial behavior and reduce the severity of infections. Additionally, QS has potential applications in biotechnology and environmental monitoring. For example, QS can be used to detect the presence of specific bacteria or toxins in the environment.

Diffusible signal factors (DSFs) are a class of signaling molecules that are involved in QS in a variety of bacterial species [3]. DSFs are small, diffusible molecules that are produced and detected by bacteria to coordinate their behavior in response to changes in cell density. DSFs are important in QS because they can modulate the expression of genes involved in a variety of processes, including virulence, biofilm formation, motility, and antibiotic resistance. DSFs can also play a role in interspecies communication, allowing different bacterial species to coordinate their activities and respond to changes in their environment. DSFs have been identified in many Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Burkholderia cepacia*, and *Xanthomonas campestris* [4]. DSFs have also been identified in some Gram-positive bacteria, such

---

as *Bacillus subtilis*. The diversity of DSFs and their role in regulating bacterial behavior make them a promising target for the development of novel therapies and biocontrol agents.

DSFs have been shown to play a role in bacterial communication and coordination in a variety of contexts. For example, in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, DSFs are involved in the regulation of virulence and biofilm formation [5]. In *Burkholderia cepacia*, DSFs are important for motility and pathogenesis [6]. In *Xanthomonas campestris*, DSFs are involved in regulating virulence and motility [7]. DSFs have also been implicated in interspecies communication between different bacterial species, allowing for coordinated behavior between bacteria in mixed-species communities. Due to their importance in regulating bacterial behavior, DSFs have been studied as potential targets for the development of novel antibiotics and biocontrol agents. By disrupting DSF-mediated QS, it may be possible to interfere with bacterial communication and coordination, preventing pathogenic bacteria from causing disease or promoting the growth of beneficial bacteria in microbiomes [8]. The study of DSFs and their role in QS is an active area of research, with the potential to lead to novel therapies and strategies for the control of bacterial infections and the promotion of beneficial bacterial communities.

DSFs have shown promise in improving biocontrol techniques, which are methods of using beneficial microorganisms to control the growth and activity of pathogenic or undesirable bacteria in various settings. By leveraging DSFs and their role in bacterial communication and coordination, it may be possible to develop more effective biocontrol agents and strategies. One potential application of DSFs in biocontrol is in the development of microbial inoculants. Microbial inoculants are mixtures of beneficial microorganisms that can be used to promote plant growth, protect against pathogens, and improve soil health [9]. By incorporating DSFs into these inoculants, it may be possible to improve their efficacy by enhancing the ability of the microorganisms to communicate and coordinate their activities.

DSFs may also be useful in controlling biofilm formation, which is a key factor in the virulence and persistence of many pathogenic bacteria [10]. By disrupting DSF-mediated communication, it may be possible to prevent the formation of biofilms, reducing the ability of pathogenic bacteria to cause disease. DSFs may be useful in the development of novel antibiotics. By targeting DSFs and their role in bacterial communication and coordination, it may be possible to disrupt bacterial behavior and prevent the development of antibiotic resistance. The potential of DSFs in improving biocontrol techniques is an exciting area of research, with the potential to lead to more effective and sustainable approaches to controlling bacterial infections and promoting beneficial microbial communities [11].

The use of DSFs in biocontrol techniques is an area of research that is rapidly evolving. Researchers are exploring different ways to harness the potential of DSFs, including identifying new DSFs, characterizing their signaling pathways, and developing methods

to interfere with or manipulate their activity [12]. One approach that has shown promise is the use of synthetic DSFs as biocontrol agents. Synthetic DSFs are structurally similar to natural DSFs but are designed to be more stable and specific in their activity. By incorporating synthetic DSFs into biocontrol agents, it may be possible to improve their efficacy and specificity, allowing for more targeted control of pathogenic bacteria.

Another approach that is being explored is the use of DSFs in combination with other biocontrol agents. For example, DSFs may be used in conjunction with bacteriophages or antibiotics to enhance their activity and reduce the development of resistance [13]. The study of DSFs in the context of biocontrol may also lead to a better understanding of bacterial communication and coordination in general. By elucidating the mechanisms underlying DSF-mediated QS, it may be possible to identify new targets for the development of novel therapies and strategies for controlling bacterial infections. The potential of DSFs in improving biocontrol techniques is an exciting area of research that is likely to have a significant impact on the development of novel approaches to controlling bacterial infections and promoting beneficial microbial communities.

## 1. DSFs in QS

Diffusible signal factors (DSFs) are a family of fatty acids that are produced by a variety of Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Burkholderia cepacia*, and *Xanthomonas campestris*. DSFs are characterized by a cis-2-unsaturated fatty acid chain, typically containing 12 or 14 carbons, and a terminal carboxyl group [14]. The specific chemical structure of DSFs can vary between bacterial species, with different species producing different types of DSFs. The biosynthesis of DSFs typically involves a multi-step process that starts with the production of a long-chain fatty acid precursor, such as palmitic acid or oleic acid. This precursor is then converted into a cis-2-unsaturated fatty acid by a dedicated fatty acid desaturase enzyme. The unsaturated fatty acid is then modified by a series of additional enzymes to produce the final DSF molecule. The precise biosynthetic pathways of DSFs can vary between bacterial species and are not yet fully understood in many cases. However, the identification of key enzymes involved in DSF biosynthesis has facilitated the development of new methods for manipulating DSF production and activity, with potential applications in biocontrol and other areas [15-16].

DSFs, or diffusible signal factors, are signaling molecules that play a key role in quorum sensing, which is the process of bacterial communication based on cell density. DSFs can be used in a variety of applications, including improving biocontrol techniques by enhancing the ability of beneficial bacteria to compete with harmful pathogens. However, the detection of DSFs is also important in identifying and mitigating the negative effects of bacterial quorum sensing, such as the expression of virulence factors in pathogenic bacteria [17-18]. Detection mechanisms for DSFs include forensic analysis, machine learning, and metadata analysis. Machine learning can be used to train algorithms to

---

identify DSFs based on patterns in the data. These detection mechanisms can help researchers to identify and mitigate the effects of DSFs and develop new applications for this important signaling molecule.

In the context of DSFs and quorum sensing, detection mechanisms are critical for identifying and understanding the behavior of bacterial populations [19]. Quorum sensing plays a crucial role in bacterial communication and behavior, including virulence, biofilm formation, and antibiotic resistance. By detecting and analyzing DSFs, researchers can gain insights into how bacteria communicate and coordinate their behavior and develop new strategies for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations. DSFs, or diffusible signal factors, play a crucial role in regulating bacterial behavior, including biofilm formation, virulence, and antibiotic resistance. DSFs are signaling molecules that bacteria use to communicate with each other, allowing them to coordinate their behavior based on cell density [20]. One of the key roles of DSFs is in regulating biofilm formation. Biofilms are complex communities of bacteria that form on surfaces and can be difficult to remove. DSFs are involved in the early stages of biofilm formation, by stimulating the attachment of bacteria to surfaces and promoting the expression of genes involved in biofilm formation.

DSFs also play a role in regulating virulence, or the ability of bacteria to cause disease. Bacteria can use DSFs to coordinate the expression of virulence factors, such as toxins or adhesins, that allow them to infect and damage host cells. By disrupting the signaling pathways involved in quorum sensing, it may be possible to inhibit the expression of virulence factors and reduce the severity of bacterial infections. DSFs are also involved in regulating antibiotic resistance. Bacteria can use quorum sensing to coordinate the expression of genes involved in antibiotic resistance, allowing them to protect themselves against antimicrobial agents [21]. By disrupting quorum sensing and the expression of these resistance genes, it may be possible to increase the effectiveness of antibiotics and reduce the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. DSFs are important signaling molecules that play a crucial role in regulating bacterial behavior. By understanding the mechanisms of quorum sensing and the role of DSFs in bacterial communication, researchers may be able to develop new strategies for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations, including the development of new antibiotics, biocontrol agents, and other therapeutic interventions [22].

In addition to their roles in biofilm formation, virulence, and antibiotic resistance, DSFs are also involved in a range of other bacterial behaviors. For example, DSFs have been shown to regulate the expression of genes involved in motility, allowing bacteria to move towards or away from certain stimuli [23]. DSFs can also play a role in bacterial competition, by allowing bacteria

to recognize and respond to the presence of other bacteria in their environment. In some cases, bacteria may use DSFs to inhibit the growth or virulence of competing bacterial species, or to promote the growth of beneficial bacteria that can aid in their survival.

Furthermore, DSFs can be used in the development of new biocontrol agents that can help to prevent the growth of harmful bacteria [24]. For example, researchers have explored the use of DSFs produced by beneficial bacteria to inhibit the growth of plant pathogens, helping to protect crops from disease. DSFs are versatile signaling molecules that play a critical role in regulating bacterial behavior. By understanding the mechanisms of quorum sensing and the role of DSFs in bacterial communication, researchers can develop new strategies for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations, leading to the development of new therapies for a range of bacterial infections and other diseases.

## 2. Interference with DSF-mediated QS

Interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing can be a promising strategy for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations, as it can disrupt the communication pathways that bacteria use to coordinate their behavior. There are several strategies that have been explored for interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing, including the use of DSF analogs and enzymes that degrade DSFs. One approach is to use DSF analogs, which are synthetic molecules that mimic the structure and function of natural DSFs. These analogs can interfere with quorum sensing by competing with natural DSFs for binding to receptors or by blocking the signaling pathways that are involved in quorum sensing. For example, researchers have developed DSF analogs that can inhibit biofilm formation or the expression of virulence factors in bacterial populations [25-26].

Another strategy is to use enzymes that can degrade DSFs, such as lactonases or oxidases [27]. These enzymes can break down DSFs into inactive or less effective forms, preventing them from binding to receptors or activating downstream signaling pathways. Enzymes that degrade DSFs have been shown to reduce biofilm formation and virulence in a range of bacterial species, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. In addition to these approaches, other strategies have been explored for interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing, including the use of antibodies that can neutralize DSFs or the development of compounds that can inhibit the production or secretion of DSFs by bacteria [28]. Interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing can be a promising strategy for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations. By disrupting the communication pathways that bacteria use to coordinate their behavior, it may be possible to reduce the severity of bacterial infections or to develop new approaches for preventing the growth of harmful bacteria.

Strategy	Benefits	Limitations	Reference
DSF analogs	Mimic natural DSFs to interfere with quorum sensing, can be designed to be specific to certain bacterial species or strains	May not be specific to targeted bacterial species or strains, unintended effects on other signaling pathways or cellular processes	He et al., 2022; Whitehead et al., 2001
Enzymes that degrade DSFs	Break down DSFs to prevent their binding to receptors or activation of downstream signaling pathways, can be specific to certain bacterial species or strains	Potential for limited stability or specificity, some bacterial species can produce alternative signaling molecules or compensate for the loss of DSFs, potential for resistance to develop	Sikdar and Elias, 2020; Lee et al., 2018

**Table 1:** Benefits and limitations of strategies.

While the use of DSF analogs and enzymes that degrade DSFs are promising strategies for interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing, there are some potential limitations and challenges associated with these approaches (Table 1). One limitation of using DSF analogs is that they may not be specific to the bacterial species or strains that are being targeted. In some cases, DSF analogs may also have unintended effects on other signaling pathways or cellular processes, which could limit their effectiveness or lead to unwanted side effects [29].

Enzymes that degrade DSFs also face challenges, such as the potential for limited stability or specificity. Some bacterial species may also be able to produce alternative signaling molecules or to compensate for the loss of DSFs, making it difficult to completely disrupt quorum sensing using this approach [30]. Furthermore, the development of resistance to these strategies is also a potential concern. Bacteria can evolve mechanisms to bypass or overcome the effects of DSF analogs or enzymes that degrade DSFs, which could limit the long-term effectiveness of these approaches. Despite these challenges, interfering with DSF-mediated quorum sensing remains an active area of research, and new strategies are continually being explored [31]. By understanding the mechanisms of quorum sensing and the roles of DSFs in bacterial behavior, researchers may be able to develop new approaches for controlling and manipulating bacterial populations, leading to the development of new therapies and biocontrol agents for a range of bacterial infections and other diseases.

### 3. DSFs in biocontrol

DSFs have shown promising potential as biocontrol agents to interfere with pathogenic bacteria's communication and coordination mechanisms. By disrupting quorum sensing and the regulation of bacterial behavior, DSFs can inhibit the formation of virulence factors, biofilms, and other mechanisms that contribute to bacterial pathogenesis and antibiotic resistance. One advantage of using DSFs as biocontrol agents is their potential specificity and selectivity for certain bacterial species or strains [32]. DSFs can be engineered or synthesized to target specific receptors or pathways in pathogenic bacteria, which may help to minimize unintended effects on non-pathogenic or beneficial bacteria. Additionally, because DSFs are natural compounds produced by bacteria, they may have a lower risk of toxicity or other safety concerns compared to some synthetic or chemical-based biocontrol agents.

However, there are also some potential limitations and challenges associated with using DSFs as biocontrol agents. For example, the effectiveness of DSFs may depend on the bacterial species or strains being targeted, and some bacteria may be able to develop resistance to DSFs over time. Additionally, the production and delivery of DSFs at the necessary concentrations and in the appropriate contexts may be difficult, particularly in complex bacterial communities or in vivo settings [33]. Despite these challenges, the use of DSFs as biocontrol agents is an active area of research, and new approaches and strategies are continually being explored. By gaining a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of quorum sensing and the roles of DSFs in regulating bacterial behavior, researchers may be able to develop new and more effective biocontrol agents for a range of bacterial infections and other diseases [34].

Another potential benefit of using DSFs as biocontrol agents is their ability to disrupt biofilm formation. Biofilms are communities of bacteria that adhere to surfaces and are encased in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances. Biofilms can protect bacteria from antibiotics and other environmental stresses, making them more difficult to treat and eradicate. DSFs have been shown to inhibit biofilm formation and promote the dispersal of existing biofilms in a variety of bacterial species, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus*. DSFs can interfere with the quorum sensing mechanisms that regulate the production of biofilm matrix components and the formation of mature biofilms, preventing bacteria from establishing a protective community [35-37].

Additionally, because biofilms can play a key role in the development of chronic infections, disrupting biofilm formation with DSFs may have important clinical applications [38]. For example, DSFs could be used in combination with antibiotics to treat chronic infections that are difficult to eradicate due to the presence of biofilms. However, as with any biocontrol agent, there are potential limitations and challenges associated with using DSFs to disrupt biofilm formation [39]. For example, the effectiveness of DSFs may depend on the specific bacterial species or strains being targeted, and different mechanisms may be involved in biofilm formation in different bacteria. Additionally, it may be difficult to deliver DSFs to the appropriate location within the body or in complex bacterial communities, which could limit their



---

effectiveness. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of using DSFs as biocontrol agents to disrupt biofilm formation and other quorum sensing mechanisms are significant [40]. Continued research in this area could lead to the development of new and more effective strategies for treating bacterial infections and reducing the development of antibiotic resistance.

In addition to their potential as biocontrol agents against bacterial pathogens, DSFs have also been studied for their potential use in protecting plants against pathogenic bacteria [41]. Plant-associated bacteria often use quorum sensing to regulate their behavior and coordinate their interactions with host plants, and DSFs produced by these bacteria can play important roles in these processes. Studies have shown that DSFs produced by beneficial plant-associated bacteria can stimulate plant growth and enhance plant resistance to pathogenic bacteria [42]. For example, DSFs produced by the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Rhizobium radiobacter* have been shown to increase plant growth and reduce susceptibility to the pathogen *Erwinia carotovora* in tomato plants [43].

In addition to promoting plant growth and resistance, DSFs have also been investigated for their potential to interfere with quorum sensing and virulence in plant pathogens. For example, DSFs produced by the pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* have been shown to regulate the expression of virulence factors and the formation of biofilms and disrupting these processes with DSF analogs can reduce the pathogen's virulence [44]. However, there are also some potential challenges and limitations associated with using DSFs in plant microbiomes. One major challenge is the complexity of plant-associated microbial communities, which can include a diverse range of bacteria and other microorganisms that interact with each other and with the plant host. The effectiveness of DSFs may depend on the specific bacterial species and strains present in these communities, as well as other environmental factors. Additionally, the production and delivery of DSFs to the appropriate location within the plant microbiome may be difficult, particularly in the context of complex and dynamic microbial communities [45]. Further research is needed to fully evaluate the potential of DSFs as biocontrol agents in plant microbiomes, and to develop effective strategies for their use in this context.

Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of using DSFs in plant microbiomes are significant. By targeting quorum sensing and virulence in pathogenic bacteria, DSFs could provide a new approach to controlling plant diseases that complements traditional chemical and biological control methods [47]. In addition, because DSFs are natural compounds produced by bacteria, they may be more environmentally friendly and sustainable than some other control methods. Moreover, DSFs could also promote plant growth and health by stimulating beneficial interactions with plant-associated bacteria. For example, DSFs produced by some beneficial bacteria have been shown to enhance plant growth and nutrient uptake, and to increase plant resistance to pathogens and other stresses. The use of DSFs in plant microbiomes is a promising area of research with significant potential for improving

crop production and reducing the use of conventional pesticides [48]. However, further studies are needed to fully understand the mechanisms involved in DSF-mediated interactions between plants and bacteria, as well as the potential benefits and limitations of using DSFs as biocontrol agents in different agricultural contexts.

In addition to their potential as biocontrol agents, DSFs have also been studied as a novel approach for developing new antibiotics that target bacterial communication networks. By interfering with quorum sensing and other communication pathways, DSF-based compounds could disrupt bacterial coordination and prevent the formation of virulent biofilms. One approach to developing DSF-based antibiotics is to use analogs of natural DSFs that can mimic the signaling molecules used by bacteria. These analogs can be designed to block or activate specific pathways involved in quorum sensing and virulence regulation, thereby interfering with bacterial communication and behavior.

Another approach is to use enzymes that degrade DSFs and other signaling molecules, which can disrupt bacterial communication and interfere with biofilm formation and virulence. Enzymes that degrade DSFs have been identified in some bacterial species, and researchers are investigating their potential as therapeutic agents for treating bacterial infections. One potential advantage of using DSF-based antibiotics is that they may be less likely to promote the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria than traditional antibiotics, which target specific bacterial structures or metabolic pathways. By targeting communication networks, DSF-based antibiotics could interfere with multiple pathways involved in bacterial virulence and coordination, making it more difficult for bacteria to evolve resistance.

However, there are also some potential challenges associated with the development of DSF-based antibiotics. One major challenge is the complexity of bacterial communication networks and the potential for unintended effects on beneficial bacteria or other microorganisms. Careful testing and optimization of DSF-based compounds will be necessary to ensure their safety and effectiveness in clinical settings. The development of DSF-based antibiotics represents a promising new approach to combatting bacterial infections and reducing the spread of antibiotic resistance. Further research is needed to fully understand the potential benefits and limitations of this approach, and to develop effective strategies for using DSFs and other signaling molecules as therapeutic agents.

One potential advantage of using DSF-based antibiotics is that they could target bacterial populations in a way that is less selective for individual strains or species, and therefore less likely to promote the emergence of resistance. By disrupting communication networks, DSF-based antibiotics could interfere with the ability of bacteria to coordinate their behavior and mount a coordinated response to antibiotics, making it more difficult for resistant strains to emerge. Moreover, DSF-based antibiotics could potentially be used in combination with other antibiotics to enhance their effectiveness and reduce the risk of resistance. For example, by targeting biofilm formation and other virulence factors, DSF-

based compounds could weaken bacterial populations and make them more vulnerable to traditional antibiotics.

However, there are also some potential limitations and challenges associated with the development of DSF-based antibiotics. For example, there is still much to be learned about the structure and function of DSFs and other signaling molecules, and their effects on different bacterial populations and ecological contexts. Moreover, the use of DSF-based antibiotics may raise ethical and regulatory concerns, particularly if they are developed using genetically modified bacteria or other novel biotechnological approaches. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of using DSFs as a basis for developing new antibiotics are significant, particularly in light of the growing threat of antibiotic resistance and the need for new approaches to combating bacterial infections. As research into the mechanisms of bacterial communication and the role of DSFs in quorum sensing continues to advance, it is likely that we will see further developments in this exciting area of biotechnology and medicine.

### Conclusion

The role of DSFs in QS has been extensively studied over the past few decades. As a result, we now have a much better understanding of the chemical structure, biosynthesis, and detection mechanisms of DSFs. This knowledge has opened up new opportunities for developing innovative biocontrol strategies that leverage the natural communication and coordination mechanisms of bacteria. By interfering with DSF-mediated QS, we can disrupt the group behavior of pathogenic bacteria, thereby reducing their virulence and antibiotic resistance. The potential of DSFs in biocontrol is enormous. DSFs can be used as biocontrol agents or as part of plant microbiomes to protect against plant pathogens. They can also be used to develop new antibiotics that target bacterial communication networks. In addition, DSFs can be used to engineer bacterial strains that have enhanced biocontrol properties. DSFs represent a promising avenue for developing novel and effective biocontrol strategies that can help address some of the most pressing challenges in agriculture and healthcare. However, further research is needed to fully realize the potential of DSFs in biocontrol. We need to develop more precise methods for detecting and quantifying DSFs in complex environments, and we need to explore the ecological and evolutionary implications of interfering with QS networks. Nonetheless, the potential benefits of DSFs in biocontrol make this an exciting and rapidly growing field of research [48-52].

### Conflict of interest statement

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

### References

1. Nievas, F., Bogino, P., Sorroche, F., & Giordano, W. (2012). Detection, characterization, and biological effect of quorum-sensing signaling molecules in peanut-nodulating bradyrhizobia. *Sensors*, 12(3), 2851-2873.
2. Castillo-Juárez, I., Maeda, T., Mandujano-Tinoco, E. A., Tomás, M., Pérez-Eretza, B., García-Contreras, S. J., ... & García-Contreras, R. (2015). Role of quorum sensing in bacterial infections. *World Journal of Clinical Cases: WJCC*, 3(7), 575.
3. Zhou, L., Zhang, L. H., Cámara, M., & He, Y. W. (2017). The DSF family of quorum sensing signals: diversity, biosynthesis, and turnover. *Trends in Microbiology*, 25(4), 293-303.
4. Deng, Y., Wu, J. E., Tao, F., & Zhang, L. H. (2011). Listening to a new language: DSF-based quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. *Chemical reviews*, 111(1), 160-173.
5. An, S. Q., Murtagh, J., Twomey, K. B., Gupta, M. K., O'Sullivan, T. P., Ingram, R., ... & Tang, J. L. (2019). Modulation of antibiotic sensitivity and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by interspecies signal analogues. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 2334.
6. Suppiger, A., Schmid, N., Aguilar, C., Pessi, G., & Eberl, L. (2013). Two quorum sensing systems control biofilm formation and virulence in members of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. *Virulence*, 4(5), 400-409.
7. Ryan, R. P., McCarthy, Y., Andrade, M., Farah, C. S., Armitage, J. P., & Dow, J. M. (2010). Cell-cell signal-dependent dynamic interactions between HD-GYP and GGDEF domain proteins mediate virulence in *Xanthomonas campestris*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(13), 5989-5994.
8. Wang, Y., Bian, Z., & Wang, Y. (2022). Biofilm formation and inhibition mediated by bacterial quorum sensing. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 106(19-20), 6365-6381.
9. Alori, E. T., Dare, M. O., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Microbial inoculants for soil quality and plant health. *Sustainable agriculture reviews*, 281-307.
10. Deng, Y., Lim, A., Lee, J., Chen, S., An, S., Dong, Y. H., & Zhang, L. H. (2014). Diffusible signal factor (DSF) quorum sensing signal and structurally related molecules enhance the antimicrobial efficacy of antibiotics against some bacterial pathogens. *BMC microbiology*, 14(1), 1-9.
11. Liu, H., Carvalhais, L. C., Crawford, M., Singh, E., Dennis, P. G., Pieterse, C. M., & Schenk, P. M. (2017). Inner plant values: diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 2552.
12. Pfeilmeier, S., Caly, D. L., & Malone, J. G. (2016). Bacterial pathogenesis of plants: future challenges from a microbial perspective: challenges in bacterial molecular plant pathology. *Molecular plant pathology*, 17(8), 1298-1313.
13. Papaïanni, M., Ricciardelli, A., Fulgione, A., d'Errico, G., Zoina, A., Lorito, M., ... & Capparelli, R. (2020). Antibiofilm Activity of a *Trichoderma* Metabolite against *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*, Alone and in Association with a Phage. *Microorganisms*, 8(5), 620.
14. Zhou, L., Yu, Y., Chen, X., Diab, A. A., Ruan, L., He, J., ... & He, Y. W. (2015). The multiple DSF-family QS signals are synthesized from carbohydrate and branched-chain amino acids via the FAS elongation cycle. *Scientific reports*, 5(1), 1-14.
15. He, Y. W., & Zhang, L. H. (2008). Quorum sensing and virulence regulation in *Xanthomonas campestris*. *FEMS microbiology reviews*, 32(5), 842-857.
16. LaSarre, B., & Federle, M. J. (2013). Exploiting quorum

- sensing to confuse bacterial pathogens. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews*, 77(1), 73-111.
17. Tay, S. B., & Yew, W. S. (2013). Development of quorum-based anti-virulence therapeutics targeting Gram-negative bacterial pathogens. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 14(8), 16570-16599.
  18. Shrout, J. D., & Nerenberg, R. (2012). Monitoring bacterial twitter: does quorum sensing determine the behavior of water and wastewater treatment biofilms?. *Environmental science & technology*, 46(4), 1995-2005.
  19. Venturi, V., & Keel, C. (2016). Signaling in the rhizosphere. *Trends in plant science*, 21(3), 187-198.
  20. Haque, S., Yadav, D. K., Bisht, S. C., Yadav, N., Singh, V., Dubey, K. K., ... & Dar, S. A. (2019). Quorum sensing pathways in Gram-positive and-negative bacteria: potential of their interruption in abating drug resistance. *Journal of Chemotherapy*, 31(4), 161-187.
  21. Huang, Y., Chen, Y., & Zhang, L. H. (2020). The roles of microbial cell-cell chemical communication systems in the modulation of antimicrobial resistance. *Antibiotics*, 9(11), 779.
  22. Whitehead, N. A., Barnard, A. M., Slater, H., Simpson, N. J., & Salmond, G. P. (2001). Quorum-sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS microbiology reviews*, 25(4), 365-404.
  23. Ye, T., Zhou, T., Fan, X., Bhatt, P., Zhang, L., & Chen, S. (2019). *Acinetobacter lactucae* strain QL-1, a novel quorum quenching candidate against bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 2867.
  24. He, Y. W., Deng, Y., Miao, Y., Chatterjee, S., Tran, T. M., Tian, J., & Lindow, S. (2022). DSF-family quorum sensing signal-mediated intraspecies, interspecies, and inter-kingdom communication. *Trends in Microbiology*.
  25. Li, J., & Zhao, X. (2020). Effects of quorum sensing on the biofilm formation and viable but non-culturable state. *Food Research International*, 137, 109742.
  26. Uroz, S., Dessaux, Y., & Oger, P. (2009). Quorum sensing and quorum quenching: the yin and yang of bacterial communication. *ChemBioChem*, 10(2), 205-216.
  27. Li, K., Xu, G., Wang, B., Wu, G., Hou, R., & Liu, F. (2021). The predatory soil bacterium *Lysobacter* reprograms quorum sensing system to regulate antifungal antibiotic production in a cyclic-di-GMP-independent manner. *Communications Biology*, 4(1), 1131.
  28. Sikdar, R., & Elias, M. (2020). Quorum quenching enzymes and their effects on virulence, biofilm, and microbiomes: a review of recent advances. *Expert review of anti-infective therapy*, 18(12), 1221-1233.
  29. Lee, K., Yu, H., Zhang, X., & Choo, K. H. (2018). Quorum sensing and quenching in membrane bioreactors: opportunities and challenges for biofouling control. *Bioresource technology*, 270, 656-668.
  30. González, J. E., & Keshavan, N. D. (2006). Messing with bacterial quorum sensing. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 70(4), 859-875.
  31. Tran, T. M., Ma, Z., Triebel, A., Nath, S., Cheng, Y., Gong, B. Q., ... & Miao, Y. (2020). The bacterial quorum sensing signal DSF hijacks *Arabidopsis thaliana* sterol biosynthesis to suppress plant innate immunity. *Life Science Alliance*, 3(10).
  32. Zeriuoh, H., Romero, D., García-Gutiérrez, L., Cazorla, F. M., de Vicente, A., & Pérez-García, A. (2011). The iturin-like lipopeptides are essential components in the biological control arsenal of *Bacillus subtilis* against bacterial diseases of cucurbits. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 24(12), 1540-1552.
  33. Romero, D., Traxler, M. F., López, D., & Kolter, R. (2011). Antibiotics as signal molecules. *Chemical reviews*, 111(9), 5492-5505.
  34. Zhang, L. H., & Dong, Y. H. (2004). Quorum sensing and signal interference: diverse implications. *Molecular microbiology*, 53(6), 1563-1571.
  35. Dufour, D., Leung, V., & Lévesque, C. M. (2010). Bacterial biofilm: structure, function, and antimicrobial resistance. *Endodontic Topics*, 22(1), 2-16.
  36. Davies, D. G., & Marques, C. N. (2009). A fatty acid messenger is responsible for inducing dispersion in microbial biofilms. *Journal of bacteriology*, 191(5), 1393-1403.
  37. Solano, C., Echeverz, M., & Lasa, I. (2014). Biofilm dispersion and quorum sensing. *Current opinion in microbiology*, 18, 96-104.
  38. Khatoon, Z., McTiernan, C. D., Suuronen, E. J., Mah, T. F., & Alarcon, E. I. (2018). Bacterial biofilm formation on implantable devices and approaches to its treatment and prevention. *Heliyon*, 4(12), e01067.
  39. Deshpande Kaistha, S., & Devi Umrao, P. (2016). Bacteriophage for mitigation of multiple drug resistant biofilm forming pathogens. *Recent Patents on Biotechnology*, 10(2), 184-194.
  40. Kanugala, S., Kumar, C. G., Rachamalla, H. K. R., Palakeeti, B., Kallaganti, V. S. R., Nimmu, N. V., ... & Thipparapu, G. (2019). Chumacin-1 and Chumacin-2 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain CGK-KS-1 as novel quorum sensing signaling inhibitors for biocontrol of bacterial blight of rice. *Microbiological research*, 228, 126301.
  41. Alavi, P., Müller, H., Cardinale, M., Zachow, C., Sánchez, M. B., Martínez, J. L., & Berg, G. (2013). The DSF quorum sensing system controls the positive influence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* on plants. *PLoS One*, 8(7), e67103.
  42. Hartmann, A., Klink, S., & Rothballer, M. (2021). Importance of N-acyl-homoserine lactone-based quorum sensing and quorum quenching in pathogen control and plant growth promotion. *Pathogens*, 10(12), 1561.
  43. Hartmann, A., & Rothballer, M. (2020). Role of N-acyl-homoserine Lactone QS Signals in Bacteria-Plant Interactions. *Trends in Quorum Sensing and Quorum Quenching*, 119-129.
  44. Kumar, P., Lee, J. H., Beyenal, H., & Lee, J. (2020). Fatty acids as antibiofilm and antivirulence agents. *Trends in microbiology*, 28(9), 753-768.
  45. Gotor-Vila, A., Teixidó, N., Casals, C., Torres, R., De Cal, A., Guijarro, B., & Usall, J. (2017). Biological control of brown

- 
- rot in stone fruit using *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* CPA-8 under field conditions. *Crop Protection*, 102, 72-80.
46. Das, P. P., Singh, K. R., Nagpure, G., Mansoori, A., Singh, R. P., Ghazi, I. A., ... & Singh, J. (2022). Plant-soil-microbes: A tripartite interaction for nutrient acquisition and better plant growth for sustainable agricultural practices. *Environmental Research*, 214, 113821.
47. Wu, S., Liu, J., Liu, C., Yang, A., & Qiao, J. (2020). Quorum sensing for population-level control of bacteria and potential therapeutic applications. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 77, 1319-1343.
48. Kaplan, J. Á. (2010). Biofilm dispersal: mechanisms, clinical implications, and potential therapeutic uses. *Journal of dental research*, 89(3), 205-218.
49. Gatta, V. (2020). New approaches for the identification of antivirulence agents based on LsrK inhibition: from assay development to screening campaigns.
50. Tang, K., & Zhang, X. H. (2014). Quorum quenching agents: resources for antivirulence therapy. *Marine drugs*, 12(6), 3245-3282.
51. Lee, J., & Zhang, L. (2015). The hierarchy quorum sensing network in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Protein & cell*, 6(1), 26-41.
52. Tommonaro, G. (Ed.). (2019). *Quorum Sensing: Molecular mechanism and biotechnological application*. Academic Press.

**Copyright:** © 2023 Ali Yetgin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.