PAST
KNOWLEDGE PEOPLE

ISSN: 2690-909X

Research Article

Advances in Neurology and Neuroscience

The Primacy of the Patient Narrative in Diagnosing Secondary Dysautonomia: Identifying Physiological Insult Through Lived Experience

Bruce H. Knox*

Independent Scholar, Auckland, New Zealand

***Corresponding Author**
Bruce H. Knox, Independent Scholar, Auckland, New Zealand.

Submitted: 2026, Mar 27; **Accepted**: 2026, Apr 20; **Published**: 2026, Apr 30

Citation: Knox, B. H. (2026). The Primacy of the Patient Narrative in Diagnosing Secondary Dysautonomia: Identifying Physiological Insult Through Lived Experience. *Adv Neur Sci, 9*(2), 01-04.

Abstract

Secondary dysautonomia frequently arises from cumulative physiological insults affecting the autonomic nervous system, yet these causal pathways are often not identifiable through standard diagnostic testing alone. This paper argues that the patient narrative—encompassing temporal sequence, lived experience, and contextual factors—is essential for identifying the origins of autonomic dysfunction. Using a longitudinal case characterised by severe autonomic collapse followed by recovery, this paper demonstrates how critical diagnostic insights emerge only through careful listening and interpretation of the patient's story. Evidence from autonomic medicine and diagnostic science supports the view that history-taking is the most sensitive and integrative diagnostic tool in complex, multi-system conditions. Recognising the narrative as a core diagnostic instrument enables identification of multi-factorial insults, distinguishes injury from neurodegeneration, and supports more accurate prognostic and therapeutic decision-making.

The following link takes you to the musical performance that I have created to be able to capture the feelings and understandings of living with autonomic dysfunction
https://heyzine.com/flip-book/cc29050f48.html

Keywords: Autonomic Dysfunction, Dysautonomia, Patient Perspective, Medical Humanities, Narrative Medicine, Autonomic Nervous System, Recovery Trajectory, Physiological Regulation, Lived Experience, Music as Data

1. Introduction

Autonomic dysfunction presents as a multi-system disorder with manifestations across cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, thermoregulatory, and genitourinary domains. While advances in autonomic testing have improved the quantification of dysfunction, identifying aetiology remains challenging.

In cases of secondary dysautonomia, the underlying cause is often:
- Multifactorial
- Temporally distributed
- Not directly measurable through standard testing

This paper addresses the central question:

Why is the patient's story essential for understanding and diagnosing secondary dysautonomia?

2. Clinical Context

2.1. Limits of Objective Testing

Autonomic function testing evaluates:
- Cardiovagal function
- Adrenergic responses
- Sudomotor activity

However, consensus statements emphasise that:

No single autonomic test determines the underlying cause of dysfunction [1]

Testing defines what is happening, but not why it is happening.

3. Nature of Secondary Dysautonomia

Secondary dysautonomia may result from:
- Infection
- Immune activation
- Surgical or procedural insult

• Metabolic or toxic stress
• Chronic physiological strain
Crucially:
These insults are often only identifiable through historical reconstruction [2]

4. The Patient Narrative as a Diagnostic Instrument

4.1. Temporal Mapping of Physiological Insult

The patient narrative provides:
• Chronology of events
• Sequence of symptom onset
• Relationship between triggers and deterioration
In secondary dysautonomia, causation is often:
• Distributed across time
• Dependent on cumulative effects
Without narrative:
The link between insult and dysfunction is lost

5. Identification of Multi-Factorial "Hits"

Secondary dysautonomia frequently reflects multiple contributing events, such as:
• Viral illness
• Cardiac or surgical intervention
• Prolonged physiological stress
Individually, these may appear insignificant.
Collectively, they produce:
Autonomic decompensation
The recognition of such patterns is only possible through **integrated storytelling,** not isolated testing.

6. Differentiating Injury from Neurodegeneration

A key diagnostic challenge is distinguishing:
• **Neurodegenerative processes** (progressive, irreversible)
• **Injury-based dysfunction** (potentially reversible)
The patient story reveals:
• Onset pattern (acute vs gradual)
• Precipitating events
• Periods of stabilisation or recovery
These features are critical in identifying:
Whether the autonomic nervous system is degenerating or recovering from insult

7. Recognition of Recovery Trajectories

In the presented longitudinal trajectory:
• Severe autonomic collapse (2022–2024)
• Stabilisation and improvement (2025–2026)
This recovery pattern:
• Contradicts neurodegeneration
• Supports an injury-based model
Such insight emerges only through:
Longitudinal narrative observation

8. Integration Across Systems

Autonomic dysfunction spans multiple organ systems.
The patient narrative uniquely:
• Connects symptoms across domains
• Identifies shared timelines
• Reveals systemic patterns
In contrast, specialty-based assessments often remain:
• Fragmented
• Isolated

9. Barriers to Recognising the Patient Narrative

9.1. Time Constraints in Clinical Practice

Modern healthcare systems prioritise:
• Efficiency
• Throughput
• Short consultations
This limits:
• Depth of history-taking
• Exploration of longitudinal context

9.2. Over-Reliance on Objective Data

There is a tendency to prioritise:
• Test results
• Imaging
• Quantitative measures
While valuable, these may:
• Miss causal pathways
• Underrepresent patient experience

9.3. Fragmentation of Care

Patients with dysautonomia often see:
• Cardiologists
• Neurologists
• Gastroenterologists
Each may assess a subset of symptoms.
Without a unified narrative:
The full picture remains obscured

9.4. Cognitive Bias

Clinicians may:
• Focus on current symptoms
• Prioritise familiar diagnoses
• Underestimate historical relevance
The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine emphasises that diagnostic error frequently arises from failures in information integration and interpretation [3].

10. Clinical Value of Listening to the Patient Story

10.1. Improved Diagnostic Accuracy

The patient narrative enables:
• Identification of causative events
• Recognition of multi-factorial processes
• Avoidance of premature diagnostic closure

10.2. Recognition of Reversibility

Understanding the sequence of insults allows clinicians to:

• Identify reversible contributors
• Modify risk factors
• Support recovery pathways

10.3. Enhanced Prognostic Insight

A neurodegenerative diagnosis carries:
• Significant psychological impact
• Expectations of decline
A narrative-informed diagnosis may reveal:
• Stability or improvement potential

10.4. Patient-Centred Care

Listening validates:
• The patient's experience
• The coherence of their journey
It transforms the diagnostic process from:
Observation → Interpretation → Partnership

10.5. Alignment with Autonomic Medicine Principles

An editorial in autonomic research highlights that:
The clinical history is the most accurate autonomic function test [4]
This reflects the unique nature of autonomic disorders, where:
• Complexity exceeds test capability
• Integration requires narrative understanding

11.Discussion

The diagnosis of secondary dysautonomia cannot rely solely on:
• Objective testing
• Cross-sectional assessment
Instead, it requires:
• Longitudinal perspective
• Integration of lived experience
• Recognition of multi-factorial causation
The patient narrative serves as:
The only diagnostic tool capable of integrating time, context, and physiology
This represents a shift from:
• Reductionist medicine
to
• Systems-based, narrative-informed diagnosis

12. Conclusion

The patient story is essential in diagnosing secondary dysautonomia because:
• It reveals the temporal sequence of physiological insults
• It identifies multi-factorial causation
• It distinguishes injury from neurodegeneration
• It captures recovery trajectories
• It integrates multi-system dysfunction
Understanding dysautonomia requires not only measurement, but interpretation.
To understand the autonomic nervous system in failure, one must first understand the story in which that failure occurred.

When the Body Learned My Name Again [My declaration once I realized that what I was living with had the possibility of being able to self correct in part and it was the result of injury not degeneration this was a huge relief]

https://heyzine.com/flip-book/cc29050f48.html

There came a time my body rang
Like bells that would not cease,
Every joint in every limb
Forgot the taste of peace.
Forgot the taste of peace…
Forgot the taste of peace…

No single wound, no broken bone,
No map to show the blame,
Just fire where the rivers run
And pain without a name.
Pain without a name…
Pain without a name…
Three storms had passed in quickened time,
Each harder than before,
Till every system stood on guard
And locked the cellar door.
Stood on guard, held the door…
Stood on guard, held the door…

This was no rot that eats the bone,
No thief that leaves a scar,
But watchfires lit where none were needed,
Burning way too far.
Burning way too far…
Burning way too far…

So seasons passed and winters long
Taught patience to the frame,
The body chose survival first
Before it healed the flame.
Survival first… then healing came
Survival first… then healing came

And somewhere soft beneath the guard
The signals learned to rest,
The warning drums grew quiet
Inside the guarded chest.
Learned to rest…
Learned to rest…
Learned to rest…

The joints remembered how to move
Without a shouted cry,
The body scanned the horizon
Found no enemy.
No enemy…

No enemy…
No enemy…
The pain was born when every hit
Forced body, mind, and nerve,
To stand on watch for danger past
Long after it did serve—
Long after it did serve…

And healing came when time allowed
Those systems to recalibrate,
Inflammation stood down, tissue healed,
And the nervous system relearned safety.
Relearned safety…
Relearned safety.

References

1. Cheshire, W. P., Freeman, R., Gibbons, C. H., Cortelli, P., Wenning, G. K., Hilz, M. J., ... & Singer, W. (2021). Electrodiagnostic assessment of the autonomic nervous system: a consensus statement endorsed by the American Autonomic Society, American Academy of Neurology, and the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 132*(2), 666-682.
2. Sánchez-Manso JC, Gujarathi R. Autonomic dysfunction. StatPearls. 2023.
3. Balogh, E. P., Miller, B. T., & Ball, J. R. (2015). Improving diagnosis in health care.
4. Gilden, J. L. (2017). The most accurate autonomic function test: the medical history. *Clinical Autonomic Research, 27*(4), 209-210.

Copyright: *©2026 Bruce H. Knox. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.*