ISSN: 3068-9503

Review Article

AI and Intelligent Systems: Engineering, Medicine Society

The Future of Freelance: AI-Powered Business Models in the Creative Platform Economy

Weronika Szlachcic, Agata Pogrzeba and Iwona Czerska*

Wroclaw University of Economics and Business, Wroclaw, Poland

***Corresponding Author**
Iwona Czerska, Wroclaw University of Economics and Business, Wroclaw, Poland.

Submitted: 2025, Aug 22; **Accepted:** 2026, Jan 12; **Published:** 2026, Mar 13

Citation: Szlachcic, W., Pogrzeba, A., Czerska, I. (2026). The Future of Freelance: AI-Powered Business Models in the Creative Platform Economy. *AI Intell Sys Eng Med Society, 2*(1), 01-06.

Abstract

The platform economy has profoundly reshaped creative work, moving from transactional gig-based systems toward community-oriented and AI-enhanced infrastructures. Early freelance platforms such as Fiverr and Upwork prioritised scale and liquidity but often undermined creative autonomy, commodified labour, and discouraged long-term professional sustainability. A new generation of AI-driven, community-based platforms exemplified by Contra and Cosmos has emerged, integrating artificial intelligence to optimise workflows and foster user empowerment, differentiated value creation, and trust-based governance. This paper investigates how AI-enabled capabilities are embedded within the business models of emerging creative e-business platforms and how they reshape freelance work. The research applies a qualitative, comparative case study design, focusing on Contra and Cosmos, with Fiverr and Upwork as legacy benchmarks. An integrated analytical framework combines the Business Model Canvas, Business-IT Alignment, and UX/Trust Factors to capture strategic, technological, and experiential dimensions. Key areas of analysis include AI-assisted project matching, semantic content clustering, personalised recommendations, and ethical UX strategies. The findings highlight contrasting orientations: Contra positions freelancers as autonomous micro-enterprises through transparent contracts, zero-commission logic, and productivity-enhancing tools, while Cosmos emphasises cognitive augmentation, aesthetic exploration, and cultural preservation via AI-powered semantic search and intentional UX minimalism. The study argues that AI is a double-edged force in creative platforms: it can enhance discoverability, autonomy, and professional agency, but also risks homogenisation and hidden bias. By examining these dynamics, the paper contributes to digital strategy, platform studies, and AI ethics literature, offering practical implications for platform designers and decision-makers. It concludes that business model innovation through AI in the creative economy must extend beyond efficiency, embedding transparency, trust, and dignity as core values to enable sustainable, human-centred freelance ecosystems.

Keywords: AI-Driven Platforms, Freelance Economy, Creative Industries, Business Model Innovation, Trust and UX Design, Digital Labour Markets

1. Introduction

The platform economy has transformed creative work, evolving from simple gig-based transactions to complex ecosystems involving multiple actors, technologies, and value-creation mechanisms. Innovative work on digital platforms is now shaped by algorithmic management, relational dynamics, and the broader digital ecosystem, impacting worker autonomy, value creation, and professional relationships. The global rise of the freelance and creator economy has transformed how individuals engage in creative work, offering greater flexibility, autonomy, and opportunities for entrepreneurship. However, while optimising for transactional efficiency and scale, early gig economy platforms

like Fiverr often fostered low-trust environments that reduced creative autonomy, discouraged differentiation, and commodified creative labour. A new generation of AI-enhanced, community-driven platforms, such as Contra and Cosmos, emerged in response to these limitations.

These platforms move beyond the transactional logic of their predecessors by integrating artificial intelligence not just to optimise workflows but to enable deeper forms of user empowerment, value co-creation, and strategic differentiation. In this emerging landscape, AI technologies such as intelligent matchmaking, personalised recommendations, and ethical UX design are central to how platforms support high-context, emotionally embedded creative work. However, a critical challenge remains: how can these platforms leverage AI to drive business value without compromising creative integrity, user autonomy, and trust? Addressing this question requires a technical understanding of AI systems and an appreciation of platform-mediated creative labour's broader socio-economic and ethical dynamics. Research question: How do AI-driven e-business platforms reshape freelance business models and value creation strategies in the creative economy? To answer this, the study adopts a qualitative, comparative case study methodology focused on two platforms: Contra and Cosmos. By analysing their business models, AI features, and UX strategies, the research aims to map how platform innovation and intelligent systems shape the future of freelance creative work.

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Platform Economy in Creative Work

Platforms have enabled new business models and more efficient resource allocation in creative industries, breaking traditional barriers and integrating global markets [1]. Creative workers face irregular income, job insecurity, and dependence on a few dominant platforms, which act as powerful gatekeepers [2-4]. Algorithms and platform governance shape creative output, often leading to "algorithmic precarity" instability caused by unpredictable platform rules and opaque recommendation systems [2,3]. Many creative freelancers report a loss of meaning and autonomy in their work, with only a small group able to achieve sustainable, meaningful careers through strong entrepreneurial skills [4,5]. Table 1 illustrates how platform control, limited worker autonomy, unequal value distribution, and systemic inequalities collectively shape the dynamics of creative work on digital platforms.

Aspect	Description
Platform Control	Platforms set rules, algorithms, and compensation structures, shaping creative output.
Worker Autonomy	Creators develop strategies to manage multiple platforms, audiences, and content types.
Value Creation & Distribution	Platforms capture significant value, often leaving creators with less bargaining power.
Social & Economic Inequality	Platforms may reinforce existing inequalities and introduce new forms of discrimination.

Table 1: Dynamics of Creative Work on Platforms

Digital platforms use algorithmic management, creating power imbalances and information asymmetries between freelancers and clients. However, users often form alliances with other platforms to regain agency and navigate these constraints, resulting in a dynamic ecosystem that extends beyond any single platform's boundaries [5-8]. The platform economy has shifted from isolated gig work to interconnected ecosystems, where value is co-created by autonomous agents (workers, clients, and complementors) across multiple platforms. These ecosystems are defined by platform ownership, value-creation mechanisms, and participants' autonomy [7,9].

2.2. AI in E-Business Platforms

AI-driven recommender systems use deep reinforcement learning, collaborative filtering, content-based models, and hybrid approaches to analyse user behaviour and preferences, delivering highly personalised product suggestions [10-13]. These systems increase customer engagement, boost conversion rates, and drive sales by providing relevant and timely recommendations. AI algorithms analyse user data, purchase history, and browsing patterns to tailor product recommendations, targeted ads, and dynamic content, enhancing customer satisfaction and loyalty [13-16]. Machine learning and data analytics enable platforms to automatically curate content and product assortments, streamlining the shopping experience and increasing relevance for each user [15,16].

AI-driven automation, including smart contracts, streamlines procurement and transaction processes. However, automation alone may lead to unfavourable outcomes (e.g., higher price quotes for chatbot buyers), while combining automation with intelligent decision-making (smartness) optimises value and reduces price discrimination [17]. AI enhances process automation, inventory management, and customer interactions, contributing to overall business growth [14,16,17].

2.3. Business Models in Digital Marketplaces

Digital marketplaces create value by connecting demand and supply sides, often through innovative, user-centric platforms that facilitate transactions and experiences. [18-20]. These platforms typically serve at least two distinct user groups—buyers and sellers, reflecting the two-sided market structure [19,20]. The platform's value increases as more users join, making network effects a core driver of growth and sustainability. The importance and strength of network effects can vary significantly between different marketplace archetypes [19-21]. Successful digital marketplaces increasingly

integrate economic, social, environmental, and technological considerations into their business models, with technological and environmental factors being especially influential for long-term sustainability [18]. Digitalisation pressures companies to innovate their business models, adapt value propositions, and develop new capabilities, with leadership and organisational agility being key enablers [22-24].

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative, comparative case study design to examine how selected AI-enhanced platforms reshape freelance business models in the creative economy. The research focuses on two platforms: Contra and Cosmos. Fiverr and Upwork are legacy benchmarks contextualising the analysis and representing earlier gig-based models. This design allows for in-depth exploration of operational and strategic dimensions across contrasting platform types. The study captures the shifting dynamics of value creation and creative autonomy in digitally mediated work environments by comparing established, transactional platforms with emerging community-centric alternatives.

3.2. Data Sources

Empirical material was collected from public and semi-public sources, including: official platform websites and documentation, product walkthroughs and onboarding flows, UI/UX feature analysis, media kits and marketing materials, platform terms of service and ethical guidelines. These sources offer insight into front-stage user experience and back-stage strategic design, particularly how platforms communicate their value propositions and governance structures.

3.3. Analytical Framework

The study employs an integrated analytical framework combining: Business Model Canvas (Osterwalder): to analyse key partners, value propositions, customer segments, relationships, revenue streams, and resources; Business-IT Alignment: to assess how AI capabilities align with platform-level value creation and strategic differentiation; UX and Trust Factors: as an added dimension, capturing how transparency, ethical design, and emotional resonance shape user autonomy and platform trustworthiness. This framework enables structured cross-case comparisons while accounting for technological, economic, and relational dimensions.

4. Findings and Analysis

4.1. Business Models: Transactional vs Community-Based

In the evolving creative platform economy, legacy freelance platforms like Fiverr operate on commission-based, volume-driven models prioritising liquidity and scalability over user empowerment. These systems rely on algorithmic standardisation, offering limited curation and weak creator differentiation—optimising throughput rather than trust or autonomy. Emerging alternatives challenge this logic by building community-oriented, service-enhancing ecosystems that foreground professional sovereignty, differentiated visibility, and AI-supported infrastructure.

Contra, for example, operates as a freemium software-as-a-service (SaaS) platform for independent professionals. It departs from traditional gig models by eliminating commissions and empowering users through portfolio-based discovery, transparent contracts, and personalised project matching. Rather than monetising transactions, Contra's revenue model is based on optional upgrades via Contra Pro, offering customisable portfolios, performance analytics, invoicing tools, AI-assisted onboarding, and enhanced visibility [25]. This approach repositions freelancers as micro-enterprises with complete control over branding, pricing, and client relationships. Its infrastructural features—such as custom domains and legal agreement templates position Contra not just as a marketplace, but as a foundational business layer that enables ownership, transparency, and long-term sustainability in digital labour markets.

Cosmos, in contrast, does not operate as a transactional platform but as a curated inspiration engine for visual creatives. Cosmos differentiates itself through intentional minimalism, anti-virality UX, and AI-assisted content discovery. Its monetisation follows a subscription-based model: a free tier and a premium plan with unlimited uploads, private collections, and high-resolution storage. It provides cognitive infrastructure and creative tooling, such as AI-powered semantic clustering and moodboard construction [25]. Table 2 compares the strategic and technological orientations of Contra and Cosmos, illustrating how these emerging platforms redefine value creation in the creative economy by moving beyond transactional gig models toward AI-enhanced infrastructures that prioritise autonomy, cognitive support, and long-term professional sustainability.

Analytical Dimension	Contra	Cosmos
Platform Type	Freelance infrastructure; service facilitation platform	Visual research and an inspirational environment
Business Model	Freemium SaaS; revenue via productivity-enhancing tools	Subscription-based; monetisation via access to cognitive utility and AI features
AI Function	AI-assisted project matching; onboarding automation	AI-assisted semantic search, auto-tagging, and clustering of visual data
AI Orientation	Market efficiency, labour discoverability, and alignment between supply and demand	Cognitive augmentation, creative exploration, and semantic navigation
UX Philosophy	Utility-driven, minimal, focused on operational control	Minimalist, non-performative, designed for calm and contemplative flow

Trust Mechanism	Transparency, autonomy, zero-commission logic, and user-controlled pricing	Absence of social metrics, design-based trust, and a curated environment
E-Business Orientation	Service enablement; freelancer-as-enterprise model	Infrastructure-as-service for knowledge work; inspiration-as-utility

Table 2: Strategic and Technological Orientation of Contra and Cosmos in the Creative Platform Economy

4.2. Role of AI in Value Creation

AI capabilities are central to Contra and Cosmos, though each deploys them with distinct intent and depth. Across creative platforms, AI presents a double-edged dynamic: it can streamline workflows and enhance discoverability, but also risks homogenising creative output by incentivising popularity over innovation. The key challenge is designing transparent and interpretable AI systems that support, rather than override, creative judgment. Contra applies AI as a practical facilitator, enhancing project relevance and platform alignment through portfolio parsing, skill tagging, and personalised recommendations. It improves user experience and operational efficiency without interfering with the user's aesthetic or professional autonomy [26].

Cosmos, by contrast, integrates AI more profoundly into the discovery layer. Its system auto-tags visual content, clusters related items and enables semantic and visual searches through features like "find similar," which identify connections via colour, layout, or concept. Importantly, Cosmos rejects engagement-based algorithms; there are no likes, shares, or infinite scroll, deliberately cultivating a "quiet internet" ethos that centres creative process over social validation. The launch of Public Works, a machine-readable archive of over 100,000 public domain artefacts, extends this mission by merging AI-powered curation with cultural preservation [27]. In technical execution and ethical design, Cosmos exemplifies how AI can augment cognitive exploration rather than behavioural manipulation, signalling a values-driven evolution of AI's role in the creative platform economy.

4.3. UX and Trust Design

Ethical design choices are central to shaping user trust and long-term platform engagement, particularly in creative industries where personal identity, emotional investment, and professional alignment are tightly intertwined. Contra and Cosmos embed trust-building strategies into their UX architecture in markedly different ways. Contra foregrounds transparency and autonomy through fast onboarding, clear contracts, and zero platform commission. Users set their rates, maintain complete control over project terms, and benefit from a modular, professional interface that signals efficiency and self-determination [28].

These design decisions position Contra as a tool of empowerment, reinforcing the freelancer's role as an independent agent rather than a commodified labour unit. Cosmos, by contrast, constructs trust through intentional friction and aesthetic minimalism. Onboarding emphasises reflection, while visual libraries remain private and user-controlled. Reviews from designers highlight how Cosmos's interface leverages emotional and cultural cues to guide users toward meaningful, resonant content [29]. With ethical AI matching, non-extractive monetisation, and a clear rejection of virality, Cosmos positions itself less as a marketplace and more as a sanctuary for the creative process. In both cases, UX and trust design are not ancillary features, but core strategic levers, defining how users interact with value, autonomy, and inspiration on each platform ('Manifesto', n.d.) [30].

5. Implications and Recommendations

Embed transparency into AI design: allow users to understand and influence how they are matched or ranked. Avoid extractive monetisation: align revenue with value co-creation rather than volume or exclusivity. Treat UX as strategy: emotionally intelligent, value-aligned design builds long-term loyalty. Evaluate platforms not just by exposure or earnings, but by ethical alignment, data control, and ownership terms. Seek platforms that foreground autonomy, creative identity, and community governance. Explore algorithmic bias in creative matching: how platform rules shape visibility and value. Conduct longitudinal studies on creator satisfaction, career sustainability, and platform commitment. Investigate governance models that redistribute ownership and control within platform ecosystems.

6. Conclusion

As the freelance creative economy evolves, platforms are not just marketplaces but cultural and organisational infrastructures. AI and platform innovation offer new pathways beyond commodification, enabling ecosystems that centre creative agency, trust, and long-term collaboration. However, these gains are not guaranteed. Design decisions, both technical and ethical, determine whether AI supports or undermines creative autonomy. This comparative case study highlights two distinct yet complementary models in the evolution of AI-powered e-business. Contra is aligned with productivity, autonomy, and open access in digital labour markets, positioning itself as infrastructure for self-employed professionals.

Author Contribution

Project funded by the European Union under Action 01.05 – Skills in Higher Education, within the European Funds for Social Development programme.

Project title: Developing students' competencies through participation in international industry events and competition with other international teams, using the innovative digital product BORDO.SPACE.

Academic lead: Dr Iwona Czerska (Wroclaw University of Economics and Business, UEW).

Funding

The publication of this article was fully funded by Ośrodek Psychiatryczno-Psychologiczny "Metis" Sp. z o.o. in Legnica, Poland.

Conversely, Cosmos prioritises curatorial intelligence, aesthetic exploration, and cognitive calm within the visual research economy. Both platforms exemplify how artificial intelligence can support human-centred innovation when strategically embedded into differentiated business architectures. Their approaches demonstrate that in the creative sector, advancements in e-business are not merely technological but also experiential and ethical, shaped by how platforms choose to mediate value, trust, and visibility through design, monetisation, and AI deployment. To shape a just and empowering future of freelance work, platform builders must go beyond optimisation. They must design for dignity, creativity, and mutual value creation [31-34].

References

1. Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. (2020). The platform economy: Restructuring the space of capitalist accumulation. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 13*(1), 55–76.
2. Duffy, B. E. (2020). Algorithmic precarity in cultural work. *Communication and the Public, 5*(3–4), 103–107.
3. Gardiner, D. (2024). *Balancing Act: The role of digital platforms in shaping the conditions of creative work*. ILO.
4. Nemkova, E., Demirel, P., & Baines, L. (2019). In search of meaningful work on digital freelancing platforms: The case of design professionals. *New Technology, Work and Employment, 34*(3), 226–243.
5. Alacovska, A., Bucher, E., & Fieseler, C. (2024). A Relational Work Perspective on the Gig Economy: Doing Creative Work on Digital Labour Platforms. *Work, Employment and Society, 38*(1), 161–179.
6. Bakar, A. S. A., Razak, M. R. A., & Anwar, R. (2024). Evolution of Digital Platform for Gig Economy in Design Industry. *KnE Engineering*, 448–454.
7. Hein, A., Schreieck, M., Riasanow, T., Setzke, D. S., Wiesche, M., Böhm, M., & Krcmar, H. (2020). Digital platform ecosystems. *Electronic Markets, 30*(1), 87–98.
8. Kinder, E., Jarrahi, M. H., & Sutherland, W. (2019). Gig Platforms, Tensions, Alliances and Ecosystems: An Actor-Network Perspective. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact., 3*(CSCW), 212:1-212:26.
9. Acs, Z. J., Song, A. K., Szerb, L., Audretsch, D. B., & Komlósi, É. (2021). The evolution of the global digital platform economy: 1971–2021. *Small Business Economics, 57*(4), 1629–1659.
10. Lim, K. Y., Sa'uadi, A. N., Nabila Mohd Idros, N. A., & Jamil, N. S. (2024). Optimizing Personalized Recommendation in E-Services Platforms Using AI. *2024 5th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Sciences (AiDAS)*, 422–429.
11. Singh, G., Sahasrabudhe, C., Kagalwala, H., Kumar, T. Y., Labhane, S., & Dhakate, P. (2024). AI Powered Recommender Systems for E-commerce. *2024 Second International Conference Computational and Characterization Techniques in Engineering & Sciences (IC3TES)*, 1–5.
12. T, S. T., & B, I. A. S. (2025). AI-Powered E-Commerce Personalization and Recommendation Systems. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research, 5*(1), Article 1.
13. Zhang, Q., & Xiong, Y. (2024). Harnessing AI potential in E-Commerce: Improving user engagement and sales through deep learning-based product recommendations. *Current Psychology, 43*(38), 30379–30401.
14. Dyanesh Gaikwad, Payal Ingale, Parth Divekar, Sahil Changede, & Siddesh Bhuwad. (2024). AI Enabled E-commerce Platform. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology,* 123–128.
15. Hemalatha, R., Amulya, G., & Lalitha, CH. S. N. S. (2024). AI in E-commerce Personalization. *2024 Second International Conference Computational and Characterization Techniques in Engineering & Sciences (IC3TES),* 1–5.
16. Raji, M. A., Olodo, H. B., Oke, T. T., Addy, W. A., Ofodile, O. C., & Oyewole, A. T. (2024). E-commerce and consumer behavior: A review of AI-powered personalization and market trends. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 18*(3), Article 3.
17. Cui, R., Li, M., & Zhang, S. (2020). *AI and Procurement* (SSRN Scholarly Paper 3570967). Social Science Research Network.
18. Cano, J. A., Londoño-Pineda, A. A., Campo, E. A., & Fernández, S. A. (2023). Sustainable business models of e-marketplaces: An analysis from the consumer perspective. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 9*(3), 100121.
19. Jabłoński, A., & Jabłoński, M. (2020). *Digital Business Models: Perspectives on Monetisation*. Routledge.
20. Täuscher, K., & Laudien, S. M. (2018). Understanding platform business models: A mixed methods study of marketplaces. *European Management Journal, 36*(3), 319–329.
21. Bergman, R., Abbas, A. E., Jung, S., Werker, C., & de Reuver, M. (2022). Business model archetypes for data marketplaces in the automotive industry. *Electronic Markets, 32*(2), 747–765.
22. Bouncken, R. B., Kraus, S., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2021). Knowledge- and innovation-based business models for future growth: Digitalized business models and portfolio considerations. *Review of Managerial Science, 15*(1), 1–14.
23. Rachinger, M., Rauter, R., Müller, C., Vorraber, W., & Schirgi, E. (2018). Digitalization and its influence on business model innovation. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 30*(8), 1143–1160.
24. Şimşek, T., Öner, M. A., Kunday, Ö., & Olcay, G. A. (2022). A journey towards a digital platform business model: A case study in a global tech-company. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 175*, 121372.
25. Khodke, V. (2024, March 2). *Better Pinterest? ✱ Cosmos*. so — Review as a Graphic Designer. Medium.
26. Younger, J. (2023, February 24). *Freelancer First: Contra Delivers the Tools That Freelancers Need to Grow Their*

Business. Forbes.
27. McCune, A. (2024). *Say hello to Public Work! A new immersive search engine for public domain content, built by your friends at Cosmos*.
28. Bartlett, B. (2023, February 21). New Freelancer? You Need to Check Out Contra. *Medium*.
29. Wentsch, D. (2023, June 29). *A First Quick Look at Cosmos. so – and Why I'll Stick with MyMind*.
30. Manifesto. (n.d.). *Cosmos*. Retrieved 9 June 2025.
31. Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. (2019). Work and Value Creation in the Platform Economy. In *Work and Labor in the Digital Age* (world; Vol. 33, pp. 13–41). Emerald Publishing Limited.
32. Ma, R., Gui, X., & Kou, Y. (2023). Multi-Platform Content Creation: The Configuration of Creator Ecology through Platform Prioritization, Content Synchronization, and Audience Management. *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–19.
33. Schor, J. B., & Attwood-Charles, W. (2017). The "sharing" economy: Labor, inequality, and social connection on for-profit platforms. *Sociology Compass, 11*(8), e12493.
34. Tucker, E. (2020). Towards a political economy of platform-mediated work. *Studies in Political Economy / Recherches En Économie Politique, 101*(3), 185–207.

Copyright: *©2026 Iwona Czerska, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.*