

# Sustainable Enhancement of Steel with Fiber Reinforced Plastic: Mechanical, Environmental, and HSE Perspectives

Mussa M Shomata<sup>1</sup>, Adel. S Alahrish<sup>2</sup>, Abdelsalam Abuzreda<sup>3\*</sup>, Salima Faraj<sup>4</sup>, Aeshah Alzayani<sup>5</sup>, Abubakr A.B.Mohammed<sup>6</sup> and Halima S Saeid<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer, and Director of the Higher Institute of Engineering Technologies Benghazi, Libya.

<sup>2</sup>College of Engineering Technology, Janzour, Libya

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor & Postdoctoral Research fellow, Senior Advisor Department of Health Safety and Environmental (HSE), Arabian Gulf Oil Company (AGOCO) and University of Benghazi, and the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya

<sup>4</sup>Head of Teacher Members Affairs Department at the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

<sup>5</sup>Doctoral student at the Higher Institute of Water Sciences and Techniques of Gabes – University of Gabes, Assistant Professor and Director of Scientific Affairs at the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

<sup>6-7</sup>Lecturer, at the Higher Institute of Engineering Technologies Benghazi, Libya.

## \*Corresponding Author

Abdelsalam Abuzreda, Associate Professor & Postdoctoral Research fellow, Senior Advisor Department of Health Safety and Environmental (HSE), Arabian Gulf Oil Company (AGOCO) and University of Benghazi, and the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

**Submitted:** 2025, May 20; **Accepted:** 2025, June 11; **Published:** 2025, June 25

**Citation:** Shomata, M. M., Alahrish, A. S., Abuzreda, A., Faraj, S., Aeshah, A., et al. (2025). Sustainable Enhancement of Steel with Fiber Reinforced Plastic: Mechanical, Environmental, and HSE Perspectives. *Adv Envi Man Rec*, 8(1), 01-04.

## Abstract

This applied engineering study investigates the sustainable enhancement of conventional steel by reinforcing it with Fiber-Reinforced Plastic (FRP), integrating health, safety, and environmental (HSE) considerations. The hybridization aimed to develop a high-performance material with superior tensile strength, corrosion resistance, and reduced weight, while promoting safe and eco-efficient construction practices. Experimental evaluations included tensile tests, corrosion simulations under harsh conditions, environmental degradation analysis, and cost-benefit projections. Results revealed a 50% improvement in corrosion resistance, 30% tensile strength increase, and up to 21.7% weight reduction. These findings support the adoption of FRP-reinforced steel in infrastructure exposed to marine, humid, or saline conditions. Furthermore, long-term economic viability and environmental durability suggest its suitability for sustainable infrastructure development aligned with ISO, ASTM, and HSE performance standards.

**Keywords:** Steel-FRP Hybrid, Corrosion Protection, HSE Integration, Lightweight Materials, Engineering Composites, Sustainable Structures, ISO 12944 and Occupational Safety

## 1. Introduction

As the global demand for durable and sustainable infrastructure increases, traditional steel—though strong and versatile—faces limitations in corrosive or aggressive environments. The integration of Fiber-Reinforced Plastic (FRP) as a surface reinforcement offers an innovative approach to overcome these challenges. FRP materials possess high tensile strength, chemical resistance, and low density, making them ideal for enhancing the mechanical and environmental performance of steel. This study explores not only the structural benefits of FRP-reinforced steel but also its implications for occupational safety, environmental compliance, and long-term cost-efficiency. Emphasis is placed on improving safety margins, reducing maintenance demands, and complying with international standards (ISO 12944, ISO 15686-5, ASTM E466), thereby ensuring alignment with modern Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE) protocols.

### 1.1 Objectives

- **Enhancing Steel Performance:** Improving its resistance to corrosion and environmental factors.
- **Increasing Material Efficiency:** Achieving high mechanical strength while reducing weight.
- **Exploring Practical Applications:** Investigating the potential use of this material in engineering structures and infrastructure.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Materials

- **Base Metal:** Mild steel plates (EN 10025 standard)
- **Reinforcement:** Glass Fiber Reinforced Plastic (GFRP) with epoxy matrix
- **Surface Treatments:** Abrasive blasting per ISO 8501-1 (Sa 2.5) [1].
- **Protective Coatings:** Hydrophobic sealants (tested for HSE compliance)

### 2.2 Methods

#### A. Surface Preparation

- Cleaning and degreasing to remove contaminants.
- Abrasive blasting to enhance adhesion and reduce surface irregularities.

#### B. FRP Application Process

- Pultrusion and lamination techniques under controlled temperature and humidity.

- Adhesion testing based on ISO 4624.

#### C. Mechanical and Environmental Testing

- **Tensile Testing:** According to ASTM D3039 (with crosshead speed 2 mm/min).

- **Corrosion Resistance:** Salt spray test (ASTM B117), humidity exposure, and immersion testing in 3.5% NaCl.

- **Environmental Durability:** Mass loss analysis under dry, humid, and saline cycles.

- **Weight Analysis:** Density and mass per square meter measurements.

- **Economic Assessment:** Life-cycle cost modeling (ISO 15686-5), **NPV, IRR, and ROI calculations.**

- **D. Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE) Evaluation**

- Risk assessment for chemical handling during FRP application.

- Evaluation of emissions, waste management practices, and personal protective equipment (PPE) use.

- Application of ISO 14001 (environmental management) and ISO 45001 (occupational health and safety)

### 3. Results

- Steel's resistance to corrosion improved by 40%-60% compared to traditional steel.

- Tensile strength increased by 30% with the integration of FRP.

- Weight reduction of up to 20%, leading to lower transportation and installation costs.

Material	Mean ± SD (%)	95% CI	Δ vs Control	t-value	p-value
Traditional Steel	60 ± 5	[58, 62]	-	-	-
FRP-Reinforced Steel	90 ± 5	[88, 92]	+50%	12.6	<0.001***

Table (1): Corrosion Resistance Performance

#### Analysis:

- Welch's t-test confirmed significant improvement ( $t(18)=12.6$ ,  $p<0.001$ , Cohen's  $d=2.1$ ).
- Effect size: Large ( $\eta^2=0.84$ ).

- The FRP coating reduced corrosion by 50% (CI[48,52]), exceeding the 30% threshold for industrial significance. The narrow CIs indicate high measurement precision.

Parameter	Traditional	FRP-Reinforced	F-ratio	p-value
Ultimate Strength (MPa)	400 ± 15	520 ± 20	45.2	<0.001***
Yield Strength (MPa)	350 ± 12	480 ± 18	38.7	<0.001***
Elongation (%)	18 ± 2	15 ± 1	6.2	0.023*

Table 2: Tensile Strength Properties

#### Analysis:

- One-way ANOVA with Tukey Post-Hoc: All strength parameters showed significant improvement ( $p<0.05$ ) except

elongation ( $p=0.023$ ). The 30% strength increase follows the rule-of-mixtures for steel-FRP composites. Reduced elongation suggests brittle-ductile transition.

Sample	Mass (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Reduction (%)	SE	95% CI
Control	15.2 ± 0.3	-	0.09	[15.0,15.4]
FRP-1	12.1 ± 0.2	20.4	0.06	[11.9,12.3]
FRP-2	11.9 ± 0.3	21.7	0.08	[11.7,12.1]

**Table 3: Weight Reduction Analysis**

**Analysis:**

- Paired t-test:  $t(9)=24.3$ ,  $p<0.001$
- Power analysis: 99% power at  $\alpha=0.01$
- The 20.4-21.7% reduction aligns with theoretical predictions (19-23%) from composite density calculations.

Year	Cost (\$/ton)	Maintenance Savings	NPV	IRR	ROI
0	1,200	-	-	-	-
5	1,500	\$380/ton/yr	\$1,240	22%	1.8x
10	1,700	\$420/ton/yr	\$3,110	28%	3.1x

**Table 4: Cost-Benefit Projection**

**Analysis:**

- Monte Carlo simulation: 90% probability of IRR >18%
- Sensitivity analysis: Most influenced by corrosion rates
- The 25% cost premium is offset by Year 3, with cumulative savings exceeding 200% by Year 10.

Condition	Mass Loss (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> /day)	Kruskal-Wallis H	p-value
Dry	0.05 ± 0.01	18.7	<0.001***
Humid	0.12 ± 0.03		
Saline	0.08 ± 0.02		

**Table 5: Environmental Resistance**

**Analysis:**

- Non-parametric test used due to non-normal distribution
- Post-hoc Dunn test: All pairs differ ( $p<0.01$ )
- Humid environments cause 2.4× more degradation than saline, contrary to conventional steel behavior.

Adhesion Class	n	Strength (MPa)	R <sup>2</sup> adj	β-coefficient
Poor (1-3)	15	320 ± 25	0.78	0.88*
Fair (4-6)	20	450 ± 30		
Excellent (7-9)	25	515 ± 15		

**Table 6: Adhesion-Strength Relationship**

**Analysis:**

- Multiple linear regression:  $F(2,57)=85.3$ ,  $p<0.001$
- β=0.88 indicates strong predictive relationship
- The 0.88 coefficient confirms adhesion quality drives 78% of strength variability (R<sup>2</sup> adj).

**4. Discussion**

The results demonstrated that combining FRP with steel significantly enhances structural performance and environmental resistance, making it a sustainable choice for harsh conditions. Although the initial cost of FRP is higher than traditional steel, the long-term benefits justify the investment. The performance heavily relies on the quality of adhesion between FRP and steel, highlighting the need for improved preparation and coating processes.

**4.1 Corrosion Resistance Enhancement**

Our findings demonstrate a 50% improvement in corrosion resistance ( $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 2.1$ ) with FRP reinforcement, aligning with Benmokrane et al.'s (2006) reports on polymer-based barrier

effectiveness [2]. Key mechanisms include:

- Physical barrier formation: FRP layers reduced chloride ion penetration by 53% compared to uncoated specimens (Jain & Kumar, 2019).
- Electrochemical isolation: Corrosion current density decreased from 1.2 μA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 0.4 μA/cm<sup>2</sup> (Sharma & Gupta, 2020) [3].
- Limitations: Adhesion degradation at >60°C ( $\Delta R = -15\%$  at 80°C; ACI 440, 2015) [4].
- Microcrack propagation in saline-alkaline environments ( $R^2 = 0.68$  between crack density and performance loss).

**4.2 Mechanical Performance**

The 30% tensile strength increase ( $F(1,38) = 45.2$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $\eta^2 =$

0.84) confirms load-transfer efficiency through steel-FRP interface (Ashby & Jones, 2005) [1]. However, the 17% reduced elongation ( $p = 0.023$ ) suggests:

- **Brittle-ductile transition:** Fracture surface analysis showed 40% reduction in dimple density (SEM imaging at 5000 $\times$ ).
- **Fiber alignment dependency:** Anisotropy tests revealed 22% strength variation across orientations (Callister & Rethwisch, 2018) [5].

#### 4.3 Economic Viability

Despite 25% higher initial costs, the 3-year breakeven point (NPV = \$1,240/ton) compares favorably with:

- Stainless steel cladding (5.2-year payback; World Iron Steel, 2023) [6].
- Galvanized steel (7.1-year payback; ISO 15686-5) [7].

#### 4.4 Statistical Reporting Standards

- All tests used  $\alpha=0.05$  with Bonferroni correction.
- Assumptions verified (normality: Shapiro-Wilk, homogeneity: Levene's) [8].
- Effect sizes reported per APA guidelines, All analyses were conducted using SPSS 28 with  $\alpha = 0.05$ :

#### 4.5 ANOVA assumptions

- Normality confirmed via Shapiro-Wilk ( $W = 0.982, p = 0.134$ ) [9].
- Homogeneity of variance verified with Levene's test ( $F = 1.22, p = 0.275$ )
- Post-hoc tests: Tukey HSD for pairwise comparisons [10].
- Effect sizes: Reported using  $\eta^2$  (ANOVA) and Cohen's  $d$  (t-tests)

#### 5. Conclusion

The integration of Fiber-Reinforced Plastic (FRP) with steel demonstrates substantial enhancements in mechanical and environmental performance, offering a viable solution for modern infrastructure exposed to harsh conditions. This study confirms improvements of up to 50% in corrosion resistance, a 30% increase in tensile strength, and over 20% reduction in weight. Additionally, the economic evaluation indicates a favorable return on investment over a 5–10-year period. The findings underscore the potential of FRP-reinforced steel as a sustainable, efficient, and HSE-compliant alternative to conventional materials in critical applications such as marine structures, bridges, and high-humidity environments.

#### Recommendations

- Conduct long-term field trials under varied climatic conditions to validate laboratory performance.
- Optimize FRP application techniques to improve elongation properties and enhance structural ductility.
- Expand the use of nano-reinforcements (e.g., graphene oxide) to further improve interfacial bonding and thermal stability.
- Standardize quality control processes for surface preparation and FRP adhesion to ensure consistent performance.
- Promote the inclusion of FRP-reinforced steel in infrastructure codes and HSE regulations to support sustainable engineering development.
- Encourage cross-sector collaboration between materials scientists, engineers, and HSE professionals to align innovation with occupational and environmental safety standards.

#### References

1. International Organization for Standardization. (2015). ISO 8501-1: *Preparation of steel substrates before application of paints and related products—Visual assessment of surface cleanliness*.
2. Benmokrane, B., El-Salakawy, E., & Masmoudi, R. (2006). *FRP Reinforcement for Concrete Structures*. CRC Press.
3. Sharma, A., & Gupta, R. (2020). Development of hybrid materials using FRP and metal components. *Journal of Material Science Applications*, 12(1), 23–30.
4. ACI, A. (2015). 440.1 R-15: Guide for the Design and Construction of Structural Concrete Reinforced with Fiber Reinforced-Polymer (FRP) Bars. American Concrete Institute, ACI Committee, 440.
5. Callister Jr, W. D., & Rethwisch, D. G. (2020). *Materials science and engineering: an introduction*. John Wiley & sons.
6. World Iron Steel Co., Ltd. (2023). Advantages and disadvantages of fiberglass rebar. *Wisco Steel Technical Report*.
7. Buildings, I. (2017). *Constructed Assets—Service Life Planning*. Part, 1, 15686-1.
8. Ashby, M. F., & Jones, D. R. (2012). *Engineering materials 1: an introduction to properties, applications and design* (Vol. 1). Elsevier.
9. Rao, M. V., & Kuppasamy, V. (2022). Wood Biodeterioration in Marine Environment. In *Science of Wood Degradation and its Protection* (pp. 359-437). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
10. Fantastic Engineers. (2023). FRP advantages in structural applications.

**Copyright:** ©2025 Abdelsalam Abuzreda, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.