

## Spontaneous Uterine Rupture Of Healthy Uterus : About A Case And Literature Review

Kamal El Moussaoui\*, Benzina Intissar, Zainab khallouk, Bouhache Sara, Najia Zraidi, Amina Lakhdar, Aziz baidada and Aicha Kharbach

Department of Obstetrics and Endocrinology -CHU IBN Sina, Rabat, Morocco

### \*Corresponding author

Kamal El Moussaoui, Department of Obstetrics and Endocrinology - University Hospital center IBN Sina, Rabat, Morocco.

Submitted: 28 Apr 2021; Accepted: 04 May 2021; Published: 10 July 2021

**Citation:** Kamal El Moussaoui, Benzina Intissar, Zainab khallouk, Bouhache Sara, Najia Zraidi, Amina Lakhdar, Aziz baidada and Aicha Kharbach (2021) Spontaneous Uterine Rupture Of Healthy Uterus : About A Case And Literature Review. J Gynecol Reprod Med, 5(2): 110-112.

### Abstract

Uterine rupture in a healthy uterus remains a rare complication of labour. However, given its seriousness in putting the life of the mother and the fetus at risk, it is important to think about it in the presence of any metrorrhagia during labour, even in a healthy uterus. It is more frequent in the case of a scarred uterus. We present a rare case of spontaneous uterine rupture in a healthy uterus in a 28 year old patient with no previous history of pathological history, diagnosed after six hours of delivery due to postpartum haemorrhage. Through this case and the review of the literature, we discuss the extreme caution that must be maintained even in the case of a non-scarring uterus, as well as the clinical signs of appeal, the risk factors, the diagnostic methodology and the therapeutic management of this rare but potentially serious entity.

**Keywords:** Uterine rupture, Healthy uterus, Obstetric complications of childbirth

### Introduction

Uterine rupture is a rare complication of labour that is life-threatening for the mother and the fetus, as well as for the woman's obstetrical outcome. It is more frequent in the case of a scarred uterus and very rare in the case of a healthy uterus. The incidence of uterine rupture in the general population is 1/1235 to 1/4366 deliveries, in healthy uterus it is estimated to be 1/16,840 to 1/19,765 deliveries in high income countries [1-4]. In published studies, fetal mortality is estimated at 12-35%, with a hysterectomy rate of 20-30% [1, 2, 5]. Risk factors are poorly identified.

### Case Report

Patient aged 28 years, 4th gesture, 4th pare, with a history of 4 vaginal deliveries of full term pregnancies, 4 male children, the last delivery was 6 hours before her admission to our facility. The patient was referred to our hospital from a birthing center for postpartum hemorrhage. On admission, the patient was normotensive at 110/70 mm hg, tachycardic at 110 beats/min, apyretic, conjunctiva slightly discoloured. The obstetrical examination showed continuous reddish bleeding of endo-uterine origin, hence the performance of a uterine revision which showed the presence of a uterine

continuity solution. The patient was quickly taken to the operating theatre for emergency laparotomy. On exploration, the presence of a large haemoperitoneum was observed firstly, and secondly, an anterolateral and a posterior isthmic uterine rupture. Given the patient's age and her desire to preserve her fertility, uterine reconstruction was chosen. The postoperative course was good and the patient was discharged six days later accompanied by her baby.



Figure 1 : Anterior uterine rupture



**Figure 2 :** Posterior uterine rupture

## Discussion

Uterine rupture in a non-scarring uterus is a very rare complication in developed countries but relatively more common in developing countries. This disparity reflects differences in socio-economic conditions, high levels of poverty and lower levels of medical supervision. In a non-scarring uterus, the frequency of RU is estimated to be between 1/17,000 and 1/20,000 deliveries [6]. Uterine rupture is a complete break in the continuity of the uterine wall and its serosa. The uterine lumen then communicates with the peritoneal cavity. There are two types of uterine rupture (UR) : traumatic and spontaneous. The aetiologies of so-called "traumatic" Rupture are varied and may be related to shock (direct or indirect) or obstetric manoeuvres (endo-uterine manoeuvres or uterine expression). We are particularly interested in so-called spontaneous UR which occur outside any traumatic context [7, 8]. There are many risk factors for uterine rupture in a healthy pregnant uterus, the most important of which are malformed uterus, multiparity, obstetric manoeuvres, instrumental extractions, mechanical dystocia, placentation anomalies, history of uterine curettage, and use of oxytocics [9]. In our patient, multiparity was the only risk factor found, which made this accident unexpected.

In some cases rupture in a pregnant uterus, a priori healthy, has no obvious cause. Schrinky found ten spontaneous ruptures without any favourable factor in his series of 40 ruptures [10]. Five cases of rupture, out of 59 reported by Iloki have no defined cause. Parry et al. Suggested that risk factors for unexplained uterine rupture may be : uterine diverticula, arteriovenous malformations and endometriosis [11, 12]. Liu et al, analysing a series of 26 cases, found irreversible cellular damage in the muscle fibres of the lower segment in the case of rupture during labour of a non-scarring uterus, they concluded that the excessive and prolonged pressure of the fetal presentation on the lower segment during prolonged labour is the cause of these pathological consequences [13]. This hypothesis is certainly attractive, but it is open to criticism, as it does not explain ruptures in non-scarred uteri occurring primarily in early labour. Concerning the site of rupture, the various publications are in agreement with the work of Margulies and Voogd [14, 15]. In fact, when the rupture occurs during labour, it often involves the lower segment, whereas it is corporal before labour. In our case, the rupture involves the lower segment.

The clinical picture of uterine rupture is generally noisy and the typical signs are severe pelvic pain, a sensation of tearing, met-

rorrhagia, and instability of the haemodynamic state evolving towards shock [16]. Clinically, our patient presented with postpartum delivery haemorrhage with tachycardia and no signs during labour, and it was uterine revision that allowed the diagnosis of uterine rupture. The therapeutic management of UR remains a medical-surgical emergency and includes medical resuscitation followed by emergency laparotomy. Surgical treatment of uterine rupture in a healthy uterus should ideally be conservative in the young woman wishing to become pregnant, and consists of a simple suture of the rupture. If conservative treatment is not possible because of the extent of the lesions, hysterectomy is required [7, 17]. For neglected ruptures, conservative treatment is rarely possible. In our case, conservative treatment was decided on the basis of the intraoperative findings and the patient's desire to maintain fertility in the future. In case of a new pregnancy, the risk of a new uterine rupture varies from 4 to 19% depending on the series [8]. For most authors, this risk is higher in the case of corporal scarring than in the case of segmental scarring [18]. In this case, close monitoring should be ensured and a scheduled delivery by prophylactic caesarean section at 38 weeks' gestation should be planned [17].

## Conclusion

Uterine rupture in a non-scarring uterus is a serious and sometimes dramatic complication of pregnancy. Its prognosis is poor because of the high rate of mortality and morbidity, which exceeds that of ruptures in scar uterus. Its clinical picture is misleading, its management is a vital emergency that requires the mobilisation of a multidisciplinary team.

## References

1. Gibbins KJ, Weber T, Holmgren CM, Porter TF, Varner MW, et al. (2015) Maternal and fetal morbidity associated with uterine rupture of the unscarred uterus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 213: 1-6. PubMed | Google Scholar
2. Miller DA, Goodwin TM, Gherman RB, Paul RH (1997) Intrapartum rupture of the unscarred uterus. *Obstet Gynecol* 89: 671-673. PubMed | Google Scholar
3. Gardeil F, Daly S, Turner MJ (1994) Uterine rupture in pregnancy reviewed. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 56: 107-110. PubMed | Google Scholar
4. Landon MB (2006) Uterine rupture in primigravid women. *Obstet Gynecol* 108: 709-710. PubMed | Google Scholar
5. Catanzarite V, Maida C, Thomas W, Mendoza A, Stanco L, et al. (2001) Prenatal sonographic diagnosis of vasa previa : ultrasound findings and obstetric outcome in ten cases. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 18: 109-115. PubMed | Google Scholar
6. Ofir K, Sheiner E, Levy A, Katz M, Mazor M (2004) Uterine rupture : differences between a scarred and an unscarred uterus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 191: 425-429. PubMed | Google Scholar
7. Fatfouta I, Villeroy de Galhau S, Dietsch J, Eicher E, Perrin D (2008) Spontaneous uterine rupture of an unscarred uterus during labor: case report and review of the literature. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* 37: 200-203. PubMed | Google Scholar
8. Bretones S, Cousin C, Gualandi M, Mellier G (1997) Rupture utérine: à propos d'un cas de rupture spontanée à 30 SA chez une primipare. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* 26: 324. PubMed | Google Scholar

9. Wang YL, Su TH (2006) Obstetric uterine rupture of the unscarred uterus: a twenty-year clinical analysis. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 62: 131-135. PubMed | Google Scholar
10. Schrinisky DC, Benson RC (1978) Rupture of the pregnant uterus: a review. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 33: 217-232. PubMed | Google Scholar
11. Iloki LH, Okongo D, Ekoundzola JR (1994) Les ruptures utérines en milieu africain : 59 cas colligés au CHU de Brazzaville. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* 23: 922-925. PubMed | Google Scholar
12. Parry E, Wells M, Baxter T, Lne G (1995) Recurrent spontaneous rupture in anulliparous young woman. *Br J Obstet Gynecol* 102: 420-421. PubMed | Google Scholar
13. Liu ZT, YuAM, WolyA, Li GQ, Zhang ZF (1985) Pathophysiologic mechanism of uterine rupture during labor. *Chinese Med J* 98: 161-164. PubMed | Google Scholar
14. Margulies D, Crampazano JT (1966) Rupture in the intact uterus. *Obstet Gynecol* 27: 863-868. PubMed | Google Scholar
15. Voogd LB, Wood HB, Powell DV (1956) Ruptured uterus. *Obstet Gynecol* 7: 70-77. PubMed | Google Scholar
16. Zied Khediria, Chaouki Mbarkia, Anis Ben Abdelaziza, Najeh Hsayaouia, Slim Khlif A, et al. (2012) Rupture utérine spontanée de découverte tardive sur utérus sain après utilisation du misoprostol. *Imagerie de la femme* 22: 152-155. Google Scholar
17. Leung F, Courtois L, Aouar Z (2009) Rupture spontanée de l'utérus non cicatriciel pendant le travail: à propos d'un cas et revue de la littérature. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 37: 342-345. PubMed | Google Scholar
18. Le Maire WJ, Louisy C, Dalessaudri K, Muschenheim F (2001) Placenta percreta with spontaneous rupture of an unscarred uterus in the second trimester. *Obstet Gynecol* 98: 9279. PubMed | Google Scholar

*Copyright:* ©2021 Kamal El Moussaoui, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.