ISSN: 3065-7598

Research Article

Archives of Case Reports: Open Access

Reversible Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency in the Context of Autonomic Dysfunction: Extending the NOx (Knox) Hypothesis to the Gut–Brain–Pancreas Axis

Bruce H Knox*

Independent Scholar, New Zealand

***Corresponding Author**
Bruce H Knox, Independent Scholar, New Zealand.

Submitted: 2026, Jun 07; **Accepted:** 2026, Jul 14; **Published:** 2026, Jul 20

Citation: Knox, B. H. (2026). Reversible Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency in the Context of Autonomic Dysfunction: Extending the NOx (Knox) Hypothesis to the Gut–Brain–Pancreas Axis. *Arch of case Rep: Open*, 3(2), 01-04.

Abstract

Background: *Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) is conventionally attributed to irreversible structural disease. Yet pancreatic exocrine output is regulated by a tightly integrated neurohormonal system involving vagal efferents, enteric circuits, gastrointestinal motility, and enteroendocrine signaling [1-4]. Disruption of autonomic regulation may impair exocrine secretion even when the pancreas is structurally intact.*

Objective: *To extend the NOx (Knox) Hypothesis into pancreatic physiology, proposing that in selected cases EPI may represent a secondary, reversible manifestation of autonomic dysregulation rather than fixed glandular failure.*

Methods: *Narrative integrative analysis combining longitudinal patient observation with contemporary literature on autonomic regulation, gut–brain–pancreas physiology, and functional gastrointestinal disorders.*

Results: *Pancreatic insufficiency emerged concurrently with acute autonomic dysfunction and gastropathy, without radiological evidence of pancreatic disease. As autonomic stability improved, the patient demonstrated reduced reliance on pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) without deterioration in bowel function, suggesting functional reversibility.*

Conclusion: *This case supports the hypothesis that secondary, reversible exocrine pancreatic insufficiency can occur in the setting of autonomic dysregulation. The gut–brain–pancreas axis provides a physiological basis for this possibility, while the NOx Hypothesis offers a systems-level framework for explaining multisystem expression and partial functional recovery.*

1. Introduction

EPI is usually conceptualised as the end result of structural pancreatic injury, including chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic resection, cystic fibrosis, or advanced glandular atrophy. This structural paradigm has shaped diagnosis and treatment by implying that inadequate enzyme output primarily reflects irreversible loss of exocrine capacity. Yet pancreatic secretion is also a regulated physiological output: acinar and ductal function depend on intact vagal tone, enteric reflexes, gastric and duodenal motility, and nutrient-driven hormonal signaling [1-8]. This raises a critical question: **Can pancreatic insufficiency arise from autonomic dysfunction rather than pancreatic disease?**

This paper argues that a subset of apparently idiopathic EPI may be better understood as a failure of regulation rather than a failure of tissue. In this model, pancreatic secretion falls because autonomic, enteric, motility, and enteroendocrine inputs become uncoupled; recovery becomes possible when those inputs re-stabilise. The distinction matters clinically because it reframes unexplained EPI as a potentially reversible systems disorder, not only as evidence of occult structural pancreatic disease.

The NOx (Knox) Hypothesis—originally developed to explain multisystem autonomic instability—offers a conceptual scaffold for understanding how autonomic dysregulation may propagate through gastrointestinal and pancreatic systems [9-18]. Extending this hypothesis to the pancreas provides a novel explanatory model for reversible EPI.

1.1. Background and Rationale

1.1.1. Autonomic Regulation of Pancreatic Exocrine Function

Pancreatic exocrine secretion is governed by a multi layered regulatory system:

- Vagal cholinergic stimulation drives acinar secretion and bicarbonate output [1-3,5].
- Enteric reflexes coordinate gastric emptying, duodenal pH, and pancreatic enzyme release [4].
- Hormonal triggers—CCK, secretin, motilin—are released in response to luminal nutrients and acid [6-8].
- Gastrointestinal motility determines the timing and synchronisation of enzyme delivery [19,20].

This regulatory system is highly sensitive to autonomic tone because each component depends on timing as well as intensity. Reduced vagal efferent activity may lower acinar and ductal stimulation; impaired enteric coordination may alter duodenal feedback; dysmotility may shift nutrient delivery away from the window in which enzyme release is most effective. In that setting, pancreatic output may appear insufficient even when pancreatic tissue remains structurally preserved.

1.1.2. Autonomic Dysfunction as a Driver of Functional Gastrointestinal Disease

Autonomic dysfunction is known to produce:

- Gastroparesis [19]
- Rapid gastric dumping
- Functional dyspepsia [20]
- Dysmotility syndromes [5]
- Altered enteroendocrine signaling [6]
- Impaired vagal tone and baroreflex sensitivity [21-25]

These conditions can indirectly suppress pancreatic stimulation even when the pancreas is structurally normal.

1.1.3. The NOx (Knox) Hypothesis Applied to Pancreatic Physiology

The NOx Hypothesis proposes that autonomic instability—particularly involving nitric oxide signalling, microvascular tone, and neurovisceral integration—can produce multisystem dysfunction [9-18]. Applied to pancreatic physiology, this model predicts:

- Reduced vagal drive → diminished acinar secretion
- Disordered motility → impaired CCK/secretin release
- Microvascular dysregulation → compromised acinar perfusion
- Enteric reflex disruption → loss of synchronised enzyme delivery

These mechanisms are best understood as failures of coordination rather than failures of pancreatic tissue. Reduced vagal drive can lower secretory tone; disordered motility can blunt or mistime CCK and secretin release; microvascular dysregulation can reduce the metabolic support available to acinar tissue; and enteric reflex disruption can uncouple enzyme delivery from nutrient exposure. Because each mechanism is regulatory, improvement in autonomic stability could plausibly restore pancreatic output without requiring structural regeneration.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design

A narrative integrative approach was used, combining:

- Longitudinal clinical observation of a patient with acute autonomic dysfunction
- Serial assessment of gastrointestinal symptoms, nutritional status, and PERT response
- Review of literature on autonomic regulation of pancreatic function [1-8,19-30]
- Systems level synthesis using the NOx Hypothesis [9-18]

2.2. Data Sources

- Clinical notes and symptom diaries
- Radiological imaging confirming absence of pancreatic disease
- Peer reviewed literature on gut–brain–pancreas physiology
- NOx / MSAD Programme publications

2.3. Case Summary

The patient developed acute autonomic dysfunction marked by orthostatic intolerance, gastropathy, dysmotility, rapid shifts in vagal tone, and evidence of enteroendocrine disruption. These features emerged as a coordinated multisystem disturbance rather than as isolated gastrointestinal symptoms, making autonomic dysregulation a plausible upstream driver of impaired pancreatic stimulation.

- Orthostatic intolerance
- Gastropathy
- Dysmotility
- Rapid shifts in vagal tone
- Enteroendocrine disruption

During this period, symptoms consistent with EPI emerged:

- Steatorrhoea
- Post prandial bloating
- Malabsorption
- Weight fluctuation

PERT was initiated with clinical benefit [27].

2.4. Absence of Structural Pancreatic Disease

Radiology demonstrated:
"No evidence of pancreatic atrophy, ductal dilation, or chronic pancreatitis."

Radiology demonstrated no evidence of pancreatic atrophy,

ductal dilation, or chronic pancreatitis. While imaging alone cannot exclude every cause of EPI, the absence of these structural markers weakens an irreversible glandular-failure explanation and strengthens the plausibility of a functional, regulation-mediated phenotype [7,8].

2.5. Reversibility

As autonomic stability improved:

"The patient demonstrated reduced functional dependence on pancreatic enzyme replacement, without overt deterioration in bowel function."

This pattern does not by itself prove causality, but it is difficult to reconcile with a purely fixed glandular-failure model. Reduced reliance on PERT during autonomic recovery is more consistent with a neurogenic phenotype in which pancreatic output improves as regulatory inputs regain synchrony.

A neurogenic interpretation should be distinguished from two alternatives: subclinical structural pancreatic disease and nonspecific improvement in gastrointestinal symptoms. The present case does not exclude these possibilities definitively, but the temporal coupling between autonomic instability, EPI-like symptoms, PERT responsiveness, normal pancreatic imaging, and reduced PERT dependence during autonomic recovery makes a regulatory mechanism clinically plausible. This interpretation is therefore hypothesis-generating rather than diagnostic proof.

3. Discussion

3.1. A Neurogenic Model of EPI

This case supports a neurogenic model in which EPI-like dysfunction arises from impaired autonomic regulation of pancreatic stimulation rather than primary pancreatic destruction. In such a model, enzyme insufficiency reflects the combined effects of reduced vagal drive, disturbed gastric and duodenal timing, altered enteroendocrine signalling, disrupted enteric reflexes, and potentially unstable microvascular support. The pancreas may therefore be structurally intact but functionally under-stimulated or poorly synchronised with nutrient delivery.

- Impaired vagal stimulation of acinar cells [1-3,5]
- Disordered gastric emptying altering CCK/secretin release [6,19,20]
- Enteric reflex disruption affecting synchronization [4]
- Microvascular instability compromising perfusion [21-25]

Because these mechanisms are regulatory rather than structural, they provide a plausible explanation for the patient's partial recovery trajectory.

Clinically, the case suggests that unexplained EPI should not automatically be treated as irreversible when structural pancreatic disease is absent. In selected patients, autonomic assessment, motility evaluation, and careful monitoring of PERT response may help distinguish fixed exocrine failure from a potentially reversible regulatory phenotype.

i. EPI may be misclassified as structural when it is functional [28-30].
ii. Autonomic assessment should be considered in unexplained EPI.
iii. PERT tapering may be appropriate only when autonomic stability, nutritional status, bowel function, and symptom control remain stable under clinical supervision [27].
iv. Systems level models (e.g., NOx Hypothesis) can clarify multisystem interactions [9-18].

3.2. Alignment with the NOx Hypothesis

The NOx Hypothesis predicts:

- Multisystem dysfunction arising from autonomic instability
- Microvascular and neurohormonal disruption
- Potential reversibility with autonomic recovery

This case is consistent with the NOx Hypothesis because it links autonomic instability, microvascular and neurohormonal disruption, multisystem symptom expression, and partial functional recovery within a single explanatory framework.

3.3. Limitations

The principal limitation is that this is a single longitudinal observation rather than a controlled physiological study. Objective measures such as faecal elastase trends, direct pancreatic function testing, autonomic reflex testing, gastric emptying studies, or serial nutritional markers would strengthen future evaluations. The proposed model should therefore be read as a mechanistic hypothesis supported by temporal association, clinical response, and exclusion of overt structural disease, not as definitive proof of a new EPI subtype.

4. Conclusion

This case supports the hypothesis that secondary, reversible exocrine pancreatic insufficiency can occur in the setting of autonomic dysregulation. The gut–brain–pancreas axis provides a physiological basis for this possibility, while the NOx Hypothesis offers a systems-level framework for explaining the emergence, multisystem expression, and partial recovery of a functional EPI phenotype.

Recognition of possible neurogenic EPI may improve diagnostic accuracy in selected patients, reduce unnecessary assumptions of permanent pancreatic failure, and encourage therapeutic strategies that address autonomic regulation alongside pancreatic enzyme support.

References

1. Hall, J. E., & Hall, M. E. (2025). *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology*. 15th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier.
2. Boron, W. F., & Boulpaep, E. L. (2022). *Medical Physiology*. 4th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier.
3. Johnson, L. R. (2018). *Gastrointestinal Physiology*. 9th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Elsevier.
4. Furness, J. B. (2006). *The Enteric Nervous System*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
5. Browning, K. N., & Travagli, R. A. (2014). Central nervous

system control of gastrointestinal motility and secretion and modulation of gastrointestinal functions. *Comprehensive physiology, 4*(4), 1339-1368.
6. Owyang, C., & Logsdon, C. D. (2004). New insights into neurohormonal regulation of pancreatic secretion. *Gastroenterology, 127*(3), 957-969.
7. Pandol, S. J. (2010). The exocrine pancreas. San Rafael (CA): Morgan & Claypool Life Sciences.
8. Keller, J., & Layer, P. (2005). Human pancreatic exocrine response to nutrients in health and disease. *Gut, 54*(suppl 6), 1-28.
9. Knox, B. H. (2026). Multifactorial Secondary Autonomic Dysfunction (MSAD) A Clinical Framework, Diagnostic Model, and Applied Assessment Tool in Autonomic Medicine. *Adv Neur Sci, 9*(3), 01-05.
10. Knox, B. H. (2026). The Knox (NOx) Framework: Reframing Autonomic Dysfunction as a Dual-Trajectory System. *Adv Neur Sci, 9*(2), 01-06.
11. Knox, B. H. (2026). The Knox (NOx) Monograph. *Adv Neur Sci, 9*(2), 01-15.
12. Knox, B. H. (2026). Cumulative Autonomic Injury and Secondary Multifactorial Dysautonomia: A Lived Case Integrated with a Central Autonomic Regulatory Model. *J Anesth Pain Med, 11*(2), 01-05.
13. Knox, B. H. (2026). Multi-System Secondary Autonomic Dysfunction Recovery: A Three-Hit Injury Model and Six Clinical Flags of Autonomic Network Repair. *Adv Neur Sci, 9*(3), 01-10.
14. Knox, B. H. (2026). Gastroautonomic Dysfunction, Pyloric Dysmotility, and Eosinophilic Esophagitis: A NarrativeClinical Integration of Motility Restoration and Targeted Anti-Eosinophilic Therapy. *J Gastro & Digestive Systems, 10*(1), 01- 04.
15. Knox, B. H. (2026). Hypothesis Series—Paper 3: systemic visceral dysautonomia as a disease-modifying substrate: a hypothesis linking post-viral injury, surgical trauma, and chronic functional disease. *Public Health Epidemiol Open Access, 2*(2), 01-04.
16. Knox, B. H. (2026). Autonomic instability as a systemic signalling disorder: a hypothesis linking chronic autonomic dysregulation with immune modulation and multisystem symptom expression. *Public Health Epidemiol Open Access,* 2(2), 01-03.
17. Knox, B. H. (2026). Chronic Cardiovascular and Autonomic Sequelae following Chikungunya Virus Infection: an 18- year Longitudinal Patient Narrative with Clinical Correlation. *Ope Acce Jou Dis Glo Heal, 4*(1), 01-06.
18. Knox, B. H. (2026). Prolonged Autonomic Dysfunction After Ventricular Ablation, Cardiac Tamponade, and Emergency Open-Heart Surgery: A First-Person Case Report with Integrated Cardiology and Autonomic Analysis. *Cardio Open, 11*(1), 01- 07.
19. Camilleri, M., Chedid, V., Ford, A. C., Haruma, K., Horowitz, M., Jones, K. L., ... & Stanghellini, V. (2018). *Gastroparesis. Nature reviews Disease primers, 4*(1), 41.
20. Tack, J., & Carbone, F. (2017). Functional dyspepsia and gastroparesis. *Current opinion in gastroenterology, 33*(6), 446-454.
21. Bonaz, B., Sinniger, V., & Pellissier, S. (2017). The vagus nerve in the neuro-immune axis: implications in the pathology of the gastrointestinal tract. *Frontiers in immunology, 8*, 1452.
22. Tracey, K. J. (2002). The inflammatory reflex. *Nature, 420*(6917), 853-859.
23. Cryan, J. F., O'Riordan, K. J., Cowan, C. S., Sandhu, K. V., Bastiaanssen, T. F., Boehme, M., ... & Dinan, T. G. (2019). The microbiota-gut-brain axis. *Physiological reviews, 99*(4), 1877-2013.
24. Benarroch, E. E. (1997). The central autonomic network: functional organisation and clinical correlations. *Armonk (NY): Futura Publishing*.
25. Goldstein, D. S. (2001). *The Autonomic Nervous System in Health and Disease*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
26. Mayer, E. A. (2016). *The Mind-Gut Connection*. New York: Harper Wave.
27. Peter, L., Jutta, K., & Lankisch, P. G. (2001). Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy. *Current gastroenterology reports, 3*(2), 101-108.
28. Dominguez-Muñoz, J. E. (2018). Diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency. *Current opinion in gastroenterology, 34*(5), 349-354.
29. Phillips, M. E. (2015). Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency following pancreatic resection. *Pancreatology, 15*(5), 449-455.
30. Drossman, D. A. (2016). Functional gastrointestinal disorders: history, pathophysiology, clinical features, and Rome IV. *Gastroenterology, 150*(6), 1262-1279.