

Recent Advances in Regulatory Science for Pharmaceutical Development and Approval

Ali Rahmani^{1*} and Maedeh Dahaghin²

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Biomaterials, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding Author

Ali Rahmani, Department of Pharmaceutical Biomaterials, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Submitted: 2023, July 06; Accepted: 2023, July 26; Published: 2023, July 30

Citation: Rahmani, A., Dahaghin, M. (2023). Recent Advances in Regulatory Science for Pharmaceutical Development and Approval. *J Pharmaceut Res*, 8(2), 253-256.

Abstract

Regulatory science is a vital component of pharmaceutical development and approval processes, ensuring the safety, efficacy, and quality of drugs. This article provides an overview of the background and importance of regulatory science in the pharmaceutical industry. It highlights the critical role regulatory science plays in supporting innovation and creating a predictable and transparent regulatory environment. Recent advances in regulatory science are discussed, including the use of real-world evidence, innovative trial designs, and advancements in gene and cell therapies. These developments have the potential to revolutionize drug development and approval, offering new treatment options and improving patient outcomes. However, they also introduce challenges that need to be addressed, such as ensuring the reliability of real-world evidence and adapting to the complex landscape of gene and cell therapies. Understanding the evolving field of regulatory science is crucial for pharmaceutical professionals to navigate the regulatory landscape and bring safe and effective therapies to patients.

Keywords: Regulatory science, Pharmaceutical industry, Drug development

1. Introduction

The pharmaceutical industry relies heavily on regulatory science to ensure the safety, efficacy, and quality of drugs before they are approved for use in patients [01]. Regulatory science encompasses a wide range of scientific disciplines and plays a critical role in supporting innovation in the industry by providing the tools and techniques needed to evaluate and approve new drugs. Recent years have seen significant progress in regulatory science, with advances in areas such as real-world evidence, innovative trial designs, and gene and cell therapies. These developments have the potential to transform drug development and approval processes, but also present new challenges for the industry [02]. In this article, we will explore the background and importance of regulatory science in pharmaceutical development and approval, as well as provide an overview of recent advances in the field and their implications.

Preclinical development

Use of in silico methods in drug discovery and development:

In silico methods are computer-based tools and techniques used to simulate biological processes, drug-target interactions, and drug pharmacokinetics in drug discovery and development [03]. While these methods have potential benefits in accelerating drug discovery, reducing costs, and improving the success rate of drug development, they also pose new regulatory challenges.

These challenges include ensuring the reliability and accuracy of in silico methods, integrating them effectively into the drug development process, and ensuring their transparency and reproducibility. Regulatory agencies, such as the US FDA and the European Medicines Agency have established guidelines and frameworks to address these challenges and ensure the safe and effective use of in silico methods in drug development [04, 05].

Advances in animal models for safety and efficacy testing:

Animal models are essential in drug testing, providing critical data on drug safety and efficacy. However, their use has faced ethical and regulatory scrutiny. Recent advances have focused on developing more predictive and translational models that better mimic human disease states [06]. There is also a growing emphasis on refining and reducing the use of animals in drug testing, in accordance with the 3Rs principles [07]. Regulatory agencies have introduced new guidelines and requirements for the use of animal models in drug testing. The development of new models and testing methods, combined with a growing emphasis on the 3Rs, has the potential to improve drug safety and efficacy while minimizing harm to animals [08].

Importance of biomarkers in preclinical studies: Biomarkers are measurable biological indicators used to monitor physiological processes, diagnose diseases, and evaluate new drugs' safety and

efficacy. The U.S. FDA emphasizes the use of biomarkers in drug development and approval to predict drug efficacy, toxicity, and pharmacokinetics. Biomarkers can provide early indicators of drug efficacy and toxicity, allowing for more efficient and cost-effective drug development. They can also stratify patient populations based on their response to a therapy. However, biomarkers require validation and standardization to ensure their reliability and reproducibility. Despite these challenges, biomarkers' importance in preclinical studies is crucial in identifying patient populations and monitoring new drugs' safety and efficacy [09].

Clinical Development

Evolution of clinical trial designs and endpoints: Clinical trial designs and endpoints have evolved to keep up with medical advancements, regulatory requirements, and changing medical practices. Adaptive trial designs, surrogate endpoints, and patient-centered outcomes are some of the areas where innovation has occurred. Regulatory agencies such as the FDA and the EMA play a critical role in shaping clinical trial designs and endpoints. Adaptive trial designs can improve patient outcomes and reduce drug development costs, while surrogate endpoints can predict clinical outcomes, but caution is needed in their use. Patient-centered outcomes consider the patient's perspective and input in trial design and outcome selection, which the FDA emphasizes [10, 11]. As new technologies and approaches emerge, clinical trial designs and endpoints will continue to evolve.

Use of real-world data and evidence in clinical development: Real-world data (RWD) and real-world evidence (RWE) have gained attention in clinical development as they can potentially increase the efficiency and reduce the cost of drug development. RWE provides insights into the safety and effectiveness of medical products in real-world settings and can support regulatory decisions. However, challenges in ensuring the quality and reliability of the data, addressing biases, and determining appropriate statistical methods for analysis must be addressed. Regulatory agencies have issued guidance on the use of RWE in drug development, and initiatives such as the Real-World Evidence Program aim to facilitate its use in regulatory decision-making. Further research is needed to fully realize the potential of RWE in clinical development [12].

Advancements in personalized medicine and precision dosing: Advancements in personalized medicine and precision dosing have led to a paradigm shift in drug development and prescription. The incorporation of personalized medicine and precision dosing presents new regulatory challenges, such as the need for new clinical trial designs and ensuring the accuracy and consistency of diagnostic tests and biomarkers [13]. However, regulatory agencies such as the FDA and EMA have taken steps to support the development and implementation of personalized therapies, including the establishment of guidelines and requirements for the validation and use of biomarkers [14, 15]. Ongoing monitoring and quality control are essential to ensure the accuracy and effectiveness of these treatments.

Regulatory Submission and Approvals

Innovative pathways for expedited drug approval: Regulatory agencies have introduced innovative pathways for expedited drug approval to address the growing demand for faster access to new drugs, particularly for patients with serious or life-threatening conditions. These pathways, such as the FDA's Breakthrough Therapy designation and the EMA's PRIME scheme provide more efficient and streamlined processes while ensuring high standards of safety and efficacy. Approaches such as the use of surrogate endpoints and the acceptance of single-arm trials allow for faster and more flexible review of new drugs. However, it is important that these pathways are carefully designed and implemented to ensure that they do not compromise the integrity of the drug approval process [16, 17].

Regulatory strategies for orphan drugs and rare diseases: Regulatory incentives for drug development and approval have increased attention towards orphan drugs and rare diseases, which were historically overlooked due to limited commercial potential. The Orphan Drug Act of 1983 and similar legislation in other countries have created incentives such as tax credits, fee waivers, and market exclusivity for drug development in rare diseases [18]. Regulatory strategies for orphan drugs and rare diseases often involve flexible and innovative approaches to drug development and approval, such as the FDA's Accelerated Approval program and Breakthrough Therapy designation [19]. Incentives for drug development, such as tax credits and market exclusivity, are also provided. Overall, these strategies aim to facilitate the development of treatments for patients with rare and neglected diseases.

Post-Marketing Surveillance and Safety

Importance of pharmacovigilance in drug safety monitoring: Pharmacovigilance is the science and activities related to the detection, assessment, understanding, and prevention of adverse effects or any other drug-related problems. It involves collecting and analyzing safety data from various sources to identify potential safety concerns and inform regulatory decisions about the use and labeling of drugs. Regulatory agencies have established pharmacovigilance systems to monitor drug safety and take appropriate regulatory actions to protect public health. The use of digital technologies and big data in pharmacovigilance offers the potential to improve the efficiency and accuracy of safety monitoring [20].

Future Directions and Challenges

Impact of emerging technologies and therapies on regulatory science: The emergence of new technologies and therapies has greatly impacted regulatory science and the approval process for medical products. As new therapies are developed, regulatory agencies must assess their safety and efficacy, often using novel approaches and tools. Some of the emerging technologies and therapies that have had a significant impact on regulatory science include gene and cell therapies, digital health technologies, and artificial intelligence (AI) [21]. Gene and cell therapies have revolutionized the treatment of many diseases, but their development and approval present unique challenges to regulatory agencies [22]. The FDA has introduced

new guidelines and pathways for the approval of gene and cell therapies, such as the Regenerative Medicine Advanced Therapy (RMAT) designation, to help accelerate the approval process while ensuring patient safety. However, these therapies also raise concerns about long-term safety and efficacy, which must be carefully evaluated through post-marketing surveillance and monitoring. Digital health technologies, such as wearables and mobile apps, have the potential to transform healthcare by providing real-time data and insights to patients and healthcare providers [23]. However, their integration into clinical practice raises new regulatory challenges related to data privacy and security, as well as the need for validation and verification of their effectiveness and safety. Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to revolutionize drug development and approval by improving the efficiency and accuracy of clinical trials and the analysis of large amounts of data. However, the use of AI in regulatory science presents new challenges related to transparency, accountability, and the need for robust validation and verification of AI algorithms and models. Overall, the impact of emerging technologies and therapies on regulatory science is complex and multifaceted. While they offer new opportunities for improving patient outcomes and accelerating drug development, they also present new challenges and regulatory considerations that must be carefully addressed.

Potential challenges and solutions in implementing novel regulatory approaches: The implementation of novel regulatory approaches in drug development and approval can pose several challenges. These challenges may include issues related to data quality, regulatory capacity, and stakeholder engagement. However, several solutions have been proposed to address these challenges and facilitate the adoption of new regulatory approaches. One potential challenge is the quality and reliability of real-world data (RWD) and real-world evidence (RWE) used in the drug development process [12]. To ensure the quality of RWD, data standards and quality control measures should be established, and data should be collected from reliable sources. Additionally, the use of advanced analytical methods and machine learning algorithms can improve data quality and facilitate the analysis of complex data sets. Another challenge is the need for regulatory capacity building and training to enable regulatory agencies to effectively implement new regulatory approaches. This can include training on the use of new technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, as well as building partnerships and collaborations with industry and academia. Stakeholder engagement is also critical in the implementation of novel regulatory approaches. Engaging patients, healthcare providers, and industry stakeholders in the regulatory process can improve the transparency and accountability of the regulatory system and ensure that new regulatory approaches are aligned with the needs and priorities of these stakeholders. In addition, the adoption of new regulatory approaches may require changes to existing regulatory frameworks and guidelines. Regulatory agencies should work with industry and other stakeholders to identify areas where regulatory guidance may need to be updated to accommodate new technologies and approaches. Overall, the implementation of novel regulatory approaches requires a collaborative and iterative approach, with ongoing evaluation

and refinement of these approaches to ensure their effectiveness and impact. By addressing potential challenges and leveraging solutions, regulatory agencies can facilitate the adoption of new technologies and therapies, accelerating the development and approval of safe and effective medical products.

Collaboration between regulatory agencies, industry, and academia: Collaboration between regulatory agencies, industry, and academia is essential for advancing regulatory science and improving public health outcomes. Each group brings unique perspectives, expertise, and resources to the table, and working together can lead to more efficient and effective drug development and regulatory processes. Regulatory agencies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), play a critical role in ensuring the safety and efficacy of drugs before they are approved for use in patients [24, 25]. They set guidelines and requirements for drug development and approval, evaluate clinical trial data, and monitor post-marketing safety. Industry, including pharmaceutical and biotech companies, is responsible for developing and bringing new drugs to market. They invest significant resources in drug development, including conducting preclinical and clinical trials, and working with regulatory agencies to meet regulatory requirements. Academia, including universities and research institutions, conducts basic and translational research that can lead to the discovery and development of new drugs and therapies. They also provide expertise in fields such as biostatistics, epidemiology, and pharmacology, which can be essential in the evaluation of clinical trial data and the development of new regulatory approaches. Collaboration between these groups can take many forms, including joint research projects, public-private partnerships, and advisory committees. For example, the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) has established several public-private partnerships, such as the Critical Path Institute, to accelerate drug development and improve regulatory science. One of the challenges in collaboration between these groups is ensuring transparency and managing potential conflicts of interest. For example, pharmaceutical companies may have a financial interest in the approval of a new drug, while regulatory agencies are responsible for ensuring its safety and efficacy. It is essential to establish clear guidelines and ethical standards to ensure that collaboration is conducted in an open and transparent manner, and that public health is always the top priority. Overall, collaboration between regulatory agencies, industry, and academia is essential for advancing regulatory science and improving public health outcomes. It requires a shared commitment to transparency, open communication, and the ethical conduct of research and drug development [26].

2. Conclusion

Recent advances in regulatory science have improved the drug development and approval process, including the use of real-world evidence, innovative trial designs, and the evolution of regulatory pathways for gene and cell therapies. However, new challenges arise, such as ensuring the quality and reliability of real-world evidence and adapting to the changing landscape of gene and cell therapies. Collaboration between regulatory

agencies, industry, and academia is essential to overcome these challenges. Emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data, hold great potential to transform drug development and approval, but require careful consideration of ethical, legal, and regulatory implications. The future of pharmaceutical development and approval will likely focus on the use of real-world data and evidence and innovative pathways for expedited drug approval, while still maintaining high standards of safety and efficacy. A collaborative and adaptive approach from all stakeholders involved is necessary to achieve these goals.

Funding statement

- No sources of funding were provided for the preparation of this review article
- Conflict of interest statement
- There is no conflict of interest
- Authors contribution
- All authors contributed to the writing of this letter

References

1. Kesselheim, A. S., Wang, B., Franklin, J. M., Darrow, J. J. (2015). Trends in utilization of FDA expedited drug development and approval programs, 1987-2014: cohort study. *Bmj*, 351.
2. Acoca, S. (2012). In silico methods in drug discovery and development.
3. Roncaglioni, A., Toropov, A. A., Toropova, A. P., Benfenati, E. (2013). In silico methods to predict drug toxicity. *Current opinion in pharmacology*, 13(5), 802-806.
4. Kapetanovic, I. (2008). Computer-aided drug discovery and development (CADDD): in silico-chemico-biological approach. *Chemico-biological interactions*, 171(2), 165-176.
5. Van der Velden, J., Snibson, K. J. (2011). Airway disease: the use of large animal models for drug discovery. *Pulmonary pharmacology & therapeutics*, 24(5), 525-532.
6. Clark, J. M. (2018). The 3Rs in research: a contemporary approach to replacement, reduction and refinement. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 120(s1), S1-S7.
7. The use of animal models in drug development: a regulatory perspective. *Int J Toxicol*. 2003 May-Jun;22(3):219-24.
8. Kraus, V. B. (2018). Biomarkers as drug development tools: discovery, validation, qualification and use. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, 14(6), 354-362.
9. European Medicines Agency. (2005). Guideline on the choice of the non-inferiority margin.
10. Kuehn, C. M. (2019). A proposed framework for patient-focused policy at the US Food and drug administration. *Biomedicines*, 7(3), 64.
11. Jarow, J. P., LaVange, L., Woodcock, J. (2017). Multidimensional evidence generation and FDA regulatory decision making: defining and using “real-world” data. *Jama*, 318(8), 703-704.
12. Hamburg, M. A., Collins, F. S. (2010). The path to personalized medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(4), 301-304.
13. Morash, M., Mitchell, H., Beltran, H., Elemento, O., Pathak, J. (2018). The role of next-generation sequencing in precision medicine: a review of outcomes in oncology. *Journal of personalized medicine*, 8(3), 30.
14. Orellana García, L. P., Ehmann, F., Hines, P. A., Ritzhaupt, A., Brand, A. (2021). Biomarker and companion diagnostics—a review of medicinal products approved by the European Medicines Agency. *Frontiers in medicine*, 8, 753187.
15. Sherman, R. E., Li, J., Shapley, S., Robb, M., Woodcock, J. (2013). Expediting drug development—the FDA's new “breakthrough therapy” designation. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 369(20), 1877-1880.
16. Neez, E., Hwang, T. J., Sahoo, S. A., Naci, H. (2020). European Medicines Agency's priority medicines scheme at 2 years: an evaluation of clinical studies supporting eligible drugs. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 107(3), 541-552.
17. Tambuyzer, E. (2010). Rare diseases, orphan drugs and their regulation: questions and misconceptions. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 9(12), 921-929.
18. Pregelj, L., Hine, D. C., Kesselheim, A. S., Darrow, J. J. (2021). Assessing the impact of US Food and Drug Administration breakthrough therapy designation timing on trial characteristics and development speed. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 110(4), 1018-1024.
19. Hussain, R. (2021). Big data, medicines safety and pharmacovigilance. *Journal of pharmaceutical policy and practice*, 14(1), 48.
20. Anklam, E., Bahl, M. I., Ball, R., Beger, R. D., Cohen, J. (2022). Emerging technologies and their impact on regulatory science. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 247(1), 1-75.
21. Tong, A. W., Nemunaitis, J. (2008). Modulation of miRNA activity in human cancer: a new paradigm for cancer gene therapy?. *Cancer gene therapy*, 15(6), 341-355.
22. Sarker, A., Ginn, R., Nikfarjam, A., O'Connor, K., Smith, K. (2015). Utilizing social media data for pharmacovigilance: a review. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 54, 202-212.
23. Liu, Q., Ahadpour, M., Rocca, M., Huang, S. M. (2021). Clinical pharmacology regulatory sciences in drug development and precision medicine: current status and emerging trends. *The AAPS Journal*, 23, 1-10.
24. Vignali, V., Hines, P. A., Cruz, A. G., Ziętek, B., Herold, R. (2022). Health horizons: Future trends and technologies from the European Medicines Agency's horizon scanning collaborations. *Frontiers in medicine*, 9, 1064003.
25. Schwartz, J. L. (2017). Real-world evidence, public participation, and the FDA. *Hastings Center Report*, 47(6), 7-8.
26. Basch, E., Schrag, D. (2019). The evolving uses of “real-world” data. *JAMA*, 321(14), 1359-1360.

Copyright: ©2023 Ali Rahmani, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.