

Prevalence of some foodborne parasitic affection in slaughtered animals in local Egyptian abattoir

Omar, M. Abd Elaziz¹, Fatin, S. Hassanin², Fahim, A. Shaltout^{2*}, Othman, A. Mohamed³

¹Veterinarian at Cairo Veterinary Directorate, Egypt.

²Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

³Food Hygiene Department, Animal Health Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

*Corresponding author

Fahim, A Shaltout, Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

Submitted: 26 Oct 2021; Accepted: 30 Oct 2021; Published: 04 Nov 2021

Citation: Omar, M Abd Elaziz., Fatin, S Hassanin., Fahim, A Shaltout., Othman, A Mohamed. (2021). Prevalence of some foodborne parasitic affection in slaughtered animals in local Egyptian abattoir, Egypt. *Adv Nutr Food Sci*, 6(2), 37-42.

Abstract

Fascioliasis, cysticercosis and hydatidosis were aimed to be investigated in some slaughtered animals (cattle, buffalo and camel) in local Egyptian abattoir located in Cairo governorate. 10317, 763 and 290 cattle, buffalo and camel carcasses, respectively were examined in the period of 2017-2018. Results of PM inspection along the investigation period revealed detection of *Fasciola* in 0.58 and 1.4% of the examined cattle and buffalo carcasses, respectively, while was not detected in camel carcasses. Also, cysticercosis was detected in 0.47 and 0.69% of the examined cattle and camel carcasses, respectively; while was not detected in buffalo carcasses. In addition, hydatidosis was detected in lung and liver of 0.038 and 0.096% of cattle carcasses; 3.4 and 1.03% of camel carcasses, respectively, while was not detected in the examined buffalo samples. Annual values of financial losses because of the condemned affected parts summed total of 11712.5, 32940.0 and 2410 LE due to fascioliasis, cysticercosis and hydatidosis, respectively along the investigation period (2017 and 2018). Referring to the obtained results, records of 2017 appeared to be more infection prevalent than 2018 with more financial losses, moreover, fascioliasis was the most prominent affection in the present study. In addition, the critical veterinary inspection has a great role in protecting human-being to be infected with zoonotic meat-borne parasites. So, magnification and great support should be given to training veterinary inspectors in slaughter houses in Egypt.

Keywords: Fascioliasis, Cysticercosis, Hydatidosis, Cairo Abattoirs, Meat producing animals.

Introduction

Daily human requirement of protein was estimated to be 45-55 grams according to age and daily labor requirements [1]. Red meats provide consumers with high quality, excellent source of a high essential amino acids, fatty acids, B-complex vitamins and many minerals supplement [2]. Parasitic infections of slaughtered animals have a significance public health importance and cause great economic losses, that reflects the rearing environment. The most important parasites in meat inspection are those which are of zoonotic importance to human by consumption of under cooked meats of affected animals, while other parasites which are not transmissible to man may render the carcasses and organs repugnant and unmarketable [3]. Infection of human with such serious meat borne zoonotic parasites such as cysticercosis and/or hydatidosis may localized in muscle tissues and organs, causing epilepsy, anaphylactic shock, halazone disease and CNS affections [4, 5]. Meat-borne parasites have been considered as a major public health hazard, especially in areas with poor sanitation and traditionally bad habits populations that consumed raw or under cooked meat results in negative impact on livelihood and spreading of in-

fection [6]. Therefore, the present study was conducted to detect the percentage of parasitic infection in some freshly slaughtered animal species (cattle, buffalo and camel) along two years from 2017 to 2018 in a local abattoir in Cairo, Egypt.

Material and Methods

Area of study: the study was carried out in a public abattoir located in Cairo governorate, Egypt.

Study design: the study was conducted through active survey by daily routine work in the slaughter house along the period from 2017 to 2018.

Animals included in the study: 10317 cattle, 763 buffalo and 290 camel carcasses were examined in the scope of the current study during the full length of the study period.

Procedures applied for detection of parasitic lesions in slaughtered animals

The routine PM of apparently healthy animals was carried out according to the method recommended by **FSIS/USDA (2019)** including head region, different lymph nodes,

pluck, and different internal organs [7].

Percentage calculation

Prevalence calculation was conducted according to as follows [8]:

The percentage of the parasitic diseases

The percentage of different parasites among examined animals was estimated by dividing the number of infected animals for each

disease (animals with condemned organs or carcasses) by the total number of slaughtered animals then multiplies by 100.

Estimation of economic loss due to parasitic infection

It was calculated by weighing of condemned carcasses and organs by digital balance and multiplies it by current price in market according to Table (1).

Table (1): Price of meat and offal/kg during the investigation period.

	2017 price (LE) / Kg	2018 price (LE) / Kg
Cattle/buffalo (meat and heart)	75	95
Camel meat	50	70
Offal (Liver, lung, tongue, rumen, pancreas, spleen, intestine)	30	340

N.B. the price of meat and offal/kg according to the Egyptian General Authority for Veterinary Services
Economic losses = weight of condemned organ × current price per Egyptian pound.

Results

Referring to the obtained results in Table (2), out of 6313 and 5057 examined carcasses along the investigation period of 2017 and 2018, 1.28 and 1.21% were recorded to have different parasitic infections in 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Table (2): Price of meat and offal/kg during the investigation period.

Years	Cattle			Buffalo			Camel			Total		
	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%
2017	5598	70	1.25	603	5	0.83	112	6	5.36	6313	81	1.28
2018	4719	49	1.03	160	6	3.7	178	6	3.37	5057	61	1.21
Total	10317	119	1.15	763	11	1.4	290	12	4.14	11370	142	1.25

No1: Number of the examined carcasses

No2: Number of the positive Fasciola affected carcasses.

%; percentage of infected carcasses.

Referring to the prevalence of fascioliasis in the examined carcasses as recorded in Table (3) and Figure (1). Overall, 2017 recorded higher infection rates with Fasciola than 2018 with percentage of 0.73% and 0.49% during 2017 and 2018, respectively. In addition,

buffalo carcasses revealed higher infection rate (1.4%) than cattle carcasses (0.58%) along the investigation period, while fascioliasis was not recorded in any of the examined camel carcasses during the current study.

Table (3): Percentage of fascioliasis in the examined carcasses

Year	Cattles			Buffaloes			Camel			Total		
	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%	No1.	No2.	%
2017	5598	41	0.7	603	5	0.8	112	0	0	6313	46	0.73
2018	4719	19	0.4	160	6	3.7	178	0	0	5057	25	0.49
Total	10317	60	0.58	763	11	1.4	290	0	0	11370	71	0.62

No1: Number of the examined carcasses

No2: Number of the positive Fasciola affected carcasses.

%; prevalence of Fasciola.




Figure 1: Cattle liver show Fasciola affection and cirrhosis of bile ducts

Annual financial assessment of condemned liver due to fasciolosis in the examined carcasses was recorded in Table (4). Total losses were summed to be 11712.5 LE along the investigation period; where 2017 recorded higher losses (7132.5 LE) than 2018 (4580 LE).

Table (4): The annual financial assessment of condemned liver due to fasciolosis in the examined carcasses

Animals	Year	Infected	Condemned Liver (Kg)	Value in EGP
Cattle	2017	41	215.75	6472.5
	2018	19	85.5	3420.0
Buffalo	2017	5	22	660.0
	2018	6	29	1160.0
Total	2017	46	237.75	7132.5
	2018	25	114.5	4580.0
Sum of 2017 & 2018	71	352.25	11712.5	

N.B. the price of meat and offal/kg according to the Egyptian General Authority for Veterinary Services

Moreover, cysticercosis, as recorded in Table (5) and Figure (2), was detected in 0.47% and 0.69% of the examined cattle and camel carcasses during the whole period of investigation, respectively. Although cysticercosis was not detected in 2017, camel carcasses harbored the highest incidence of cysticercosis infection in comparison with cattle carcasses along the investigation period (2017 and 2018); while cysticercosis was not reported in any of the examined buffalo carcasses.

Table (5): Percentage of cysticercosis in the examined carcasses

Animals	Year	No. of examined carcasses	No. of infected carcasses	%
Cattle	2017	5598	29	0.52
	2018	4719	20	0.42
	Total	10317	49	0.47
Buffalo	2017	603	0	0
	2018	160	0	0
	Total	763	0	0
Camel	2017	112	0	0
	2018	178	2	1.1
	Total	290	2	0.69
Total	2017	6313	29	0.46
	2018	5057	22	0.44
Sum of 2017 & 2018		11370	51	0.45



Figure (2): Cattle heart show multiple cysts by *C. bovis*

Referring to the records of the affected parts with cysticercosis (Table 6) displayed that 25, 18 and 2 hearts of cattle during 2017, 2018 and camel during 2018, besides, 3 and 2 heads of cattle during 2017 and 2018. Only one cattle carcass's total condemnation during 2017. The total economic losses was 32,940 LE along the investigation period (Table 7).

Table (6): Partial and total condemnation due to cysticercosis in the examined carcasses

Species	Partial condemnation		TC	Total
	Heart	Tongue & Masseter		
Cattle2017	25	3	1	29
Cattle 2018	18	2	0	20
Camel 2018	2	0	0	2

T.C = Total condemnation.

Table (7): The annual financial assessment of condemned organs and carcasses due to cysticercosis

Species	Heart (Kg)	Head (Kg)	Carcass (Kg)	EGP (LE)
Cattle 2017	34.5	75	225	25078.5
Cattle 2018	26.7	55	0	7761.5
Camel 2018	2	0	0	100.0
Total	63.2	130	225	32940.0

N.B. the price of meat and offal/kg according to the Egyptian General Authority for Veterinary Services

Table (8) and Figure (3) revealed the prevalence of hydatidosis in the lung and liver samples of the examined carcasses. Records revealed that buffalo carcasses appeared to be free from hydatidosis along the investigation period. Among the examined cattle and camel's organs, the lung infected with hydatidosis were nearly similar to liver where the rates of infection were 0.12 and 0.11%, respectively; in addition, hydatidosis records in 2018 were higher than 2017.




Figure (3): Cattle liver and the lung show heavy infection with hydatid cyst

Table (8): Percentage of hydatid cyst condemnation in the lung and liver of the examined carcasses.

species	year	Total No. of examined carcasses	Lung		Liver	
			No.	%	No.	%
Cattle	2017	5598	1	0.01	0	0
	2018	4719	3	0.06	10	0.2
	Total	10317	4	0.038	10	0.096
Buffalo	2017	603	0	0	0	0
	2018	160	0	0	0	0
	Total	763	0	0	0	0
Camel	2017	112	6	5.3	1	0.8
	2018	178	4	2.2	2	1.1
	Total	290	10	3.4	3	1.03
Total	2017	6313	7	0.11	1	0.015
	2018	5057	7	0.14	12	0.237
	Total	11370	14	0.12	13	0.114

Furthermore, the annual financial assessment for condemnation due to hydatidosis equaled 1145 and 1265 LE were the value of economic loss in lung and liver during the whole period of investigation, respectively.

Table (9): the annual financial assessment for partial condemnation due to hydatidosis.

Species	Lung			Total EGP (LE)			Total EGP (LE)
	No. of infected organs	Weight (Kg)	EGP (LE)	No. of infected organs	Weight (Kg)	EGP (LE)	
Cattle							
2017	1	1.5	45	0	0	0	45
2018	3	7.0	280	10	29	1160	1440
Buffalo							
2017	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Camel							
2017	6	14	420	1	0.5	15	435
2018	4	10	400	2	2	80	480
Total							
2017	7	15.5	465	1	0.5	15	480
2018	7	17	680	12	31	1240	1920
Sum of 2017 & 2018	14	32.5	1145	13	31.5	1265	2400

Discussion

In the current study, a total number of 11370 carcasses (10317 cattle, 763 buffaloes, and 290 camel carcasses) from January 2017 till December 2018 were slaughtered and PM examined for the presence of parasitic infection in a local abattoir in Cairo Governorate. The overall prevalence of infected slaughtered animals in this study was 1.25%. This record was higher than other studies recorded in Egypt (0.7%), while less than (1.95%) [9, 10]. Among

the detected parasitic infection during this study, fascioliasis was the most detected parasitic infection, followed by cysticercosis and hydatidosis, respectively. Percentage of parasitic infection in food animals varied according to the animal species, age and their resistance to natural infection, grazing habits, as well as difference in the local climatic conditions [5].

The prevalence of fascioliasis in the examined carcasses was 62%

[11, 12]. This result agreed with (0.7 and 0.8% for cattle and buffalo, respectively) who reported higher prevalence of fascioliasis in buffaloes than cattle, while differed with (0.07 and 0.14% for buffaloes and cattle carcasses, respectively) who reported that higher prevalence of fascioliasis in cattle than buffalo carcass samples. This may be explained by difference in the total number of slaughtered animals in each study. The recorded results of overall fascioliasis incidence came in agree with who recorded higher prevalence of fascioliasis in buffalo carcasses than cattle and camels, respectively where the percent of Fasciola infection in cattle, camel and buffalo were 1.65, 2.26 and 0.04%, respectively [13].

Regarding to the prevalence of cysticercosis among slaughtered animals, our results revealed that cysticercosis was recorded in cattle during 2017 and 2018 as 0.52 and 0.42%, respectively. The overall percent of camel cysticercosis (0.69%) was higher than those recorded in cattle (0.47%) along the investigation period, while buffalo carcasses were free on infection. This result differed from those recorded by (0.13 and 0.44% for buffalo and cattle, respectively), who recorded that cattle carcasses were the most prominently had Cysticercus infection than buffalo and camel carcass samples, respectively (0.7, 0.59 and 0.09%).

The different percentage of Cysticercus infection between different studies may be due to growing intensive rearing of cattle than buffaloes and camels in Egypt. Concerning the incidence of hydatidosis in the examined carcasses, the present data revealed that hydatidosis was more observed in cattle's liver than lung samples, but was more prevalent in camel's lung than liver samples. In addition, the overall prevalence of hydatidosis in this study showed that camel carcasses were more prevalent infected with hydatid cyst than cattle samples, while buffalo carcasses were free from infection. Our results came in line with those recorded by who recorded that camel carcasses harbored the highest incidence of hydatidosis (2.33%) than cattle (0.91%) and buffalo (1.2%), and who recorded higher incidence of hydatidosis in the examined camel samples (8.63%) than cattle (1.15%) and buffalo (1.3%) carcasses. Variation between the current data and the previous recorded results can be attributed to variation in season of collection, age of the animal, rearing environment, and type of feeding [14].

Conclusion

Conclusively, the detected parasitic affections and demonstrated economic losses throw lights over the importance of strict well qualified meat inspection in slaughter houses to avoid the serious zoonotic meat-borne parasites to the consumers. Additionally, it recommended authorities of the scope to prepare qualified veterinary inspectors to safeguard the public health of the human-being.

References

1. Wu, G. (2016). Dietary protein intake and human health. *Food & function*, 7(3), 1251-1265.
2. Williams, P. (2007). Section 2: key nutrients delivered by red meat in the diet. *Nutr Dietet*, 64(Suppl 4), S113-S119.
3. Gupta, A., Gupta, J., Devkaran, B., & Gupta, A. (2017). Primary renal echinococcosis with gross hydatiduria. *Case Reports*, 2017, bcr-2017.
4. ŞAHİN, N., Aydın, N. E., Akatlı, A. N., Dağlı, A. F., & Şamdancı, E. (2019). Fascioliasis: a rare parasitic infection-mimicking tumor in the liver: report of two cases.
5. Tas, E. E., Akcay, G. F. Y., Yildirim, F., & Yavuz, F. (2018). Coexisting primary ovarian and omental hydatid disease mimicking an ovarian neoplasm: a case report. *International Journal of Gynecological Pathology*, 37(3), 301-304.
6. Ekhlash Hamed, A. H., Amany Mohamed, K., Noha Hamed, A. G., & Mohamed Mahmoud, A. F. (2015). Parasites transmitted to human by ingestion of different types of meat, El-Minia City, El-Minia Governorate, Egypt.
7. FSIS/USDA (2019): Animal disposition/food safety: Post-mortem inspection. http://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/6d982860-3c8d-4685-8068-6cfd00ae9ec/PHVt-Post_Mortem_Inspection.pdf?MOD=AJPERES.
8. Thrusfield, M. (2007): Some general epidemiological concepts. In: *Veterinary Epidemiology*, 3rd Ed., Wiley-Blackwell, P. 20–29, ISBN: 978-1-118-71341-9.
9. Elmonir, W., Mousa, W., & Sultan, K. (2015). The Prevalence of Some Parasitic Zoonoses in Different Slaughtered Animal Species at Abattoir in the Mid-Delta of Egypt; with Special Reference to its Economic Implications. *Alexandria Journal for Veterinary Sciences*, 47(1).
10. El-Meleh, G. S., Elmeghnawy, R. A., Sabike, I. I., & Hassan, M. A. (2019). Parasitic affections of edible offales of slaughtered animals at El-Shohada abattoir, Monofia governorate, Egypt. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 36(2), 117-128.
11. A Rasheed, S., & A Kadir, M. (2008). Prevalence of some parasitic helminths among slaughtered ruminants in Kirkuk slaughter house, Kirkuk, Iraq. *Iraqi journal of veterinary Sciences*, 22(2), 81-85.
12. Taha, S. A. (2018): Studies on the parasitic Affection in slaughtered animals at Hurghada Abattoir. Thesis, Master of Vet. Med. (Food Hygiene and Control), Suez Canal University, Egypt.
13. Yemisrach, A., & Mekonnen, A. (2012). An abattoir study on the prevalence of fasciolosis in cattle, sheep and goats in Debre Zeit town, Ethiopia. *Global Veterinaria*, 8(3), 308-314.
14. Hassanin, F. S., Shaltout, F. A., & Afifi, M. E. (2013). Parasitic affections in edible offal. Food Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Med. Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt.

Copyright: ©2021 Fahim, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.