

On the Contentment of Modern Women and the Survival of the West

Paul T E Cusack

BScE, DULE, 23 Park Ave., Saint John, NB E2J 1R2, Canada

*Corresponding author

Paul T E Cusack, BScE, DULE, 23 Park Ave., Saint John, NB E2J 1R2, Canada

Submitted: 02 Sep 2021; Accepted: 15 Sep 2021; Published: 22 Sep 2021

citation: Paul T E Cusack. (2021). *On the Contentment of Modern Women and the Survival of the West*. *Int J Women's Health Care*, 6(3), 220-221.

As any red-blooded male would try to understand, is the issue of what women want? What do they really want? In this paper, I consider what I think they want. It is from years of thinking about the problem.

It seems to me that women are unhappy today. They have made great strides since the suffrage move net in the 1930s. Before that, women were not allowed to vote-; their husband did it for them. They could only work in nursing or elementary education. With WWII underway, it was realized that women could do the work of a man-probably just as well, if not better. But is that what women really want?

I don't think it is. Women have evolved to be mothers. Everything about their bodies is set so that they can attract a mate and have children. It is in their genes. Really, only in the last century has women's role changed to become more like a male. In fact, some women question why we need men at all?

I know from my experience that women lose interest in their jobs if it doesn't involve people. Women thrive when serving people. I suppose that why they gravitated toward nursing and elementary education. The Catholic nuns used to be an option for those women who wanted to remain unmarried. They ran schools that served other women. They have made good teachers for other women, but my experience is that they make poor teachers of boys. Of the six courses I had to repeat in my academic career, four of them were taught by first year women teachers. I wonder if women suffer the same fate with men as their teachers.

One middle-aged female psychologist discovered that "boys and girls are different" much to her surprise. She said she intended to get married after she got her PhD. It didn't work out for her. Mr. Right don't show up, and she was left dissatisfied with her life's trajectory.

We know from Jungian psychology that most women are feelers, and most men are thinkers, are the root of their decision-making. I

think most gay men are feelers as well. There is a huge difference between viewing the world as a feeler as opposed to a thinker. I think this is what underlies the need for women to have jobs that involve people. Can women tackle the man's job? Yes, she can. Should she? Probably not. I knew of a female electrical engineer who became an elementary school teacher. EE is a difficult program. She passed it! But she did not stick to the job. She said, "if I weren't teaching kids, I'd be doing electrical engineering somewhere. Seems to me that she was glad she chose 25% of the salary to do a job she loved. It involved people.

How do women fair in the workplace. I worked in the construction Industry, notorious for being male dominated. I worked with a 40-year-old woman who was an estimator. She said, she wasn't interested in putting in the long hours necessary at her age. She wanted to work in marketing. Again, it is the p personal connection.

I said to a young female nurse lately, I don't how you folks can do your job with all the needles etc. Ashe said, we love it! That's why we do it. Women make excellent nurses. (So do men). One senior male psychologist said that, from his observations, "Women are finely tuned emotional machines." I know my mother can detect what I'm thinking with a slight change of tone. They are expert communicators.

Brooke Baldwin, the former CNN anchor, has written a book entitled "The Huddle; How women access their Collective Power." Women thrive in TV. They get to get their hair and makeup done; they get to wear new clothes; and they get to sit around and talk (mostly) about men. What's not to love? However, women make decisions as a collective system. They don't do top-down military style leadership. Noon is in charge of women. They decide collectively.

Which is better, I don't know. But trying to fit a woman into the top-down style is wrong-headed at best and costly at worst. Maybe this is why women see a glass ceiling in corporate America?

Unfortunately, families need a double income to thrive today. Of course, consumption is up and that's hard on the environment. We need to think about downsizing; one care, smaller house etc.

Truth isn't really all that important to women. What is important is controlling the situation with the tools they have. They really don't care about the job. That's what I found.

Something else I noticed about women is they are risk-adverse. They would rather have one bird in the hand than two in the bush. (Oops!). Risk taking is essential in entrepreneurial adventures. Nevertheless, women make great small business entrepreneurs because they are great at multitasking. Men carry a wallet; women have a purse. It's genetic. Mothers have to multitask when they have a few little ones to manage.

Women also tend toward the average. Geneticist may be able to ex-

plain why better. It is likely because genetic survival is increased when you stick close to the center. You have a better chance of changing position if you are close to the center- like tennis.

Many women see men as the enemy to be overcome in the battle of the sexes. The survival of a race is dependent on women being mothers. They shouldn't pay other people to look after their own children. Others can't be trusted with such a precious possession; I've learned from experience. Not even the mother's sister will look after the child as well as the mother.

Countries outside the Western world still suppress women. It is men who suppress women. It's a bad thing to suppress talent. Women should have the opportunity to do what they like best. I think it will involve parenting. If it doesn't come back, the West is finished. The West is being out bred by other cultures and religions.

Copyright: ©2021 Paul T E Cusack. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.