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#### Abstract

In this present paper we will show you an elementary proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture based on probabilities and some new theoretical findings.
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## 1. Introduction

On the year 1742, professor Christian Goldbach had some correspondence with the famous mathematician Leonhard Euler establishing, in your comments, the basis of the problem that we know in modern times as" Goldbach's Conjecture", that says" EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIMES" [1, 2].

In the dawn of January 9 in 2022 we was thinking, relaxed, at the moment of almost sleeping, about how to solve the problem, that arose by a random though, and suddenly became in an illuminated key idea: PROBABILITIES. We have an even number greater or equal to 4 that can be expressed as the sum of two numbers. Some combinations are: not prime + not prime, prime

+ not prime, not prime + prime and prime + prime. We mean: not prime" and" not prime, prime" and" not prime, not prime" and" prime and prime" and" prime. We have a set of pairs and like the set of poker all the possibilities of its combinations can be calculated as probabilities and all of them exists actually as events. Maybe it is almost impossible to make an arithmetical proof of the conjecture because of its nature but we can make use of another kind of theory to investigate it: basic probability theory. In two hours of strong thinking we came to the solution of the first theorem as a sketch. In the next afternoon we proceeded to write the first proof and calculate its correctness. Later on, February 22 of 2023 we discovered the third theorem and on September 4 of 2023 the fourth theorem. Studying the problem, we arrived to some new theoretical surprises:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0} \\
& 0<\pi_{0}<\frac{9592}{100000} \\
& 0<\pi_{0}<0.09592
\end{aligned}
$$

And $(\pi(\mathrm{x}))^{2}>\mathrm{x}$
We show you the results for your enjoyment.

## 2. Preliminary Theorems and Corollary

Theorem 1. (Christian Goldbach 1742, Danilo Chavez 2022-01-17)
Let be $N \geq 88784$ EVEN NUMBERS. Let be $E:\{1,2,3, \ldots N-1\}$ a set of numbers smaller than N. Let be $E \times E:\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3), \ldots$ $(N-1, N-2),(N-1, N-1)\}$ the cartesian product of every number smaller than N which represents the pairs of sums of the numbers. The cardinality of the set $E \times E$ is \#
$(E \times E)=(N-1)^{2}$
which represents the total quantity of sums between the numbers.
Let be $G$ : $\{(1, N-1),(2, N-2),(3, N-3), \ldots(N-2,2),(N-1,1)\}$ a subset of $E \times E$ which REPRESENTS the set of PAIRS whose sum is equal to $N$.
The cardinality of the set G is
$\# G=N-1$

If we consider INDEPENDENT EVENTS in the calculation of the probabilities of the set $G$ then

$$
P(\text { prime } \cap \text { prime })=\frac{\left(\pi(N-1)^{2}\right.}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0
$$

then
"EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIMES"

## Proof. Proof by contradiction.

The case of $4 \leq \mathrm{N}<88783$ is very known to be true by intensive computation by We will take the case of $\mathrm{N} \geq 88784$ as even numbers, the limit given in 2010, in page 9 [3-6]. When we take a pair whose sum is equal to N (an even number), we can see the event of taking two numbers whose possible combinations are: not prime + not prime, prime + not prime, not prime + prime, prime + prime . That means: not prime AND not prime, prime AND not prime, not prime AND prime, prime AND prime. We can calculate the probability of each one of that events. If the probability of an event exists is because the event actually exists (the pairs of numbers we are looking for) like in a set of poker. We are looking for the event where we have a prime + prime, that means prime AND prime, simultaneously, in the subset G (G by Goldbach).

DEFINITION OF COUNTEREXAMPLE TO TEST. Suppose an hypothetical even number N that CAN NOT be expressed as the sum of two prime numbers. If we suppose that the event to find one number simultaneously with another number whose sum is equal to N are totally INDEPENDENT events, we have that the probabilities of the numbers given its sums equal to N are as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\text { not prime } \cap \text { not prime })=\left(\frac{(N-1)-\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right)\left(\frac{(N-1)-\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right) \\
=\frac{((N-1)-\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \\
P(\text { prime } \cap \text { not prime })=\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right)\left(\frac{(N-1)-\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right) \\
=\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}} \\
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { not prime } \cap \text { prime })=\left(\frac{(N-1)-\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right)\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right) \\
&=\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

Because the hypothetical number we choose CAN NOT be expressed as the sum of two prime numbers
$\mathrm{P}($ prime $\cap$ prime $)=0$
The probability of all its possibilities are as follows
$\mathrm{P}(($ not prime $\cap$ not prime $) \cup($ prime $\cap$ not prime $) \cup($ not prime $\cap$ prime $) \cup$ (prime $\cap$ prime $)$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{((N-1)-\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}+\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}}+\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}}+0 \\
=\frac{(N-1)^{2}-(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

An ABSURD because we have considered all the possibilities of such an hypothetical number
$N$, the sum must be equal to $1!!$, the fraction of pairs of numbers whose sum is equal to N. WE FOUND A CONTRADICTION!!. DOES NOT EXIST such a number whose sum never is a prime plus another prime if we consider INDEPENDENT EVENTS.
We conclude that "EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIME NUMBERS" if we consider INDEPENDENT EVENTS.
Observation: To reaffirm our result, we can see that assigning a probability to the two prime numbers combination we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { prime } \cap \text { prime }) & =\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right)\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{N-1}\right) \\
= & \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of all the possibilities are as follows
$\mathrm{P}(($ not prime $\cap$ not prime $) \cup$ (prime $\cap$ not prime $) \cup($ not prime $\cap$ prime $) \cup$ (prime $\cap$ prime $)$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{((N-1)-\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}+\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}} \\
+\frac{(\pi(N-1))((N-1)-\pi(N-1))}{(N-1)^{2}}+\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \\
=1
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the probability of the set G of numbers whose sum is equal to N .
Because

$$
P(\text { prime } \cap \text { prime })=\frac{\left(\pi(N-1)^{2}\right.}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0
$$

Always there is $N=$ prime + prime .
We finally conclude again that, if we consider INDEPENDENT EVENTS, "EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIME NUMBERS".
Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
Theorem 2. (Danilo Ch'avez 2023-09-08) Let be $\mathrm{x} \geq 88783$. Let be $0<\pi 0<1$.

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0}
$$

Proof. We begin establishing a limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0}
$$

where there is some constant $\pi_{0}<1$. We will show that $\pi_{0}>0$.
CASE 1:
If we go near the infinite, we have the next relationship
$x-\pi(x)=\left(1-\pi_{0}\right) x$
This implies that always there is a finite distance between $x$ and $\pi(x)$ and is proportional to $x$. If we make $\pi_{0}=0$ we have
$x-\pi(x)=x$
something impossible because $x$ and $\pi(x)$ are both growing functions and $\pi(x) \neq 0$ if $x \geq 2$, our assumption that $\pi_{0}=0$ fails, this mean that
$\pi_{0} \neq 0$
and
$0<\pi_{0}<1$
CASE 2:
For finite numbers we see that there is always a proportion
$\pi(x)=k(x) x$
with $k(x)<1$ and
$k(x) \neq 0$
because $\pi(x)$ NEVER IS ZERO if $x \geq 2$ and is a strictly growing function, so

$$
\frac{\pi(x)}{x}=k(x)
$$

$0<k(x)<1$
CASE 3:
In 1962, J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld [7] proved that if $\mathrm{x}>=17$

$$
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\ln (x)}
$$

In 2010, Pierre Dusart [6], in page 9, proved that if $x \geq 88783$

$$
\pi(x) \geq \frac{x}{\ln (x)}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln (x)}+\frac{2}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right)
$$

and it follows that

$$
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\ln (x)}
$$

Starting at this point, if $x \geq 88783$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\ln (x)} \\
\frac{\pi(x}{x}>\frac{1}{\ln (x)} \\
\frac{\pi(x)}{x}=k(x)>\frac{1}{\ln (x)} \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} k(x)=\pi_{0}>\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln (x)}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

so
$\pi 0>0$
CONCLUSION:
Finally we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
We can stretch a little more the interval where $\pi_{0}$ and $\pi_{0}{ }^{2}$ lies. Taking the value $x=100000$
(by the tables below this commentary) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(k(100000))^{2}=\frac{(\pi(100000))^{2}}{100000^{2}}=\frac{9592^{2}}{100000^{2}}=\frac{92006464}{10000000000}=0.0092006464>\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}(k(x))^{2}=\pi_{0}^{2} \\
\pi_{0}<\frac{9592}{100000}=0.09592
\end{gathered}
$$

and knowing that
$k(x)>0$
and
$(k(x))^{2}>0$
finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\pi_{0}<\frac{9592}{100000} \\
& 0<\pi_{0}<0.09592
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\pi_{0}^{2}<\frac{92006464}{10000000000} \\
& 0<\pi_{0}^{2}<0.0092006464
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next tables we can see the actual values of $x, \pi(x), k(x)$ and $(k(x))^{2}$, from 1000 to 100000 taking 100 values, 1000, 2000, 3000 until 100000. $\pi(x)$ is taken from N. J .A. Sloane OEIS A000720 [8].

| x | pi(x) | $\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x})$ | $(\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{x}))^{\wedge} 2 /\left(\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right)=(\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{x}))^{\wedge} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 168 | 0.168 | 0.028224 |
| 2000 | 303 | 0.1515 | 0.02295225 |
| 3000 | 430 | 0.143333333333333 | 0.0205444444444444 |
| 4000 | 550 | 0.1375 | 0.01890625 |
| 5000 | 669 | 0.1338 | 0.01790244 |
| 6000 | 783 | 0.1305 | 0.01703025 |
| 7000 | 900 | 0.128571428571429 | 0.016530612244898 |
| 8000 | 1007 | 0.125875 | 0.015844515625 |
| 9000 | 1117 | 0.124111111111111 | 0.0154035679012346 |
| 10000 | 1229 | 0.1229 | 0.01510441 |
| 11000 | 1335 | 0.121363636363636 | 0.014729132231405 |
| 12000 | 1438 | 0.119833333333333 | 0.0143600277777778 |
| 13000 | 1547 | 0.119 | 0.014161 |
| 14000 | 1652 | 0.118 | 0.013924 |
| 15000 | 1754 | 0.116933333333333 | 0.0136734044444444 |
| 16000 | 1862 | 0.116375 | 0.013543140625 |
| 17000 | 1960 | 0.115294117647059 | 0.0132927335640138 |
| 18000 | 2064 | 0.114666666666667 | 0.0131484444444444 |
| 19000 | 2158 | 0.113578947368421 | 0.0129001772853186 |
| 20000 | 2262 | 0.1131 | 0.01279161 |
| 21000 | 2360 | 0.112380952380952 | 0.0126294784580499 |
| 22000 | 2464 | 0.112 | 0.012544 |
| 23000 | 2564 | 0.111478260869565 | 0.0124274026465028 |
| 24000 | 2668 | 0.111166666666667 | 0.0123580277777778 |
| 25000 | 2762 | 0.11048 | 0.0122058304 |
| 26000 | 2860 | 0.11 | 0.0121 |
| 27000 | 2961 | 0.109666666666667 | 0.0120267777777778 |
| 28000 | 3055 | 0.109107142857143 | 0.011904368622449 |
| 29000 | 3153 | 0.108724137931034 | 0.0118209381688466 |
| 30000 | 3245 | 0.108166666666667 | 0.0117000277777778 |
| 31000 | 3340 | 0.107741935483871 | 0.0116083246618106 |
| 32000 | 3432 | 0.10725 | 0.0115025625 |
| 33000 | 3538 | 0.107212121212121 | 0.0114944389348026 |
| 34000 | 3638 | 0.107 | 0.011449 |
| 35000 | 3732 | 0.106628571428571 | 0.011369652244898 |
| 36000 | 3824 | 0.106222222222222 | 0.0112831604938272 |
| 37000 | 3923 | 0.106027027027027 | 0.0112417304601899 |
| 38000 | 4017 | 0.105710526315789 | 0.0111747153739612 |
| 39000 | 4107 | 0.105307692307692 | 0.0110897100591716 |
| 40000 | 4203 | 0.105075 | 0.011040755625 |
| 41000 | 4291 | 0.104658536585366 | 0.0109534092801904 |
| 42000 | 4392 | 0.104571428571429 | 0.0109351836734694 |
| 43000 | 4494 | 0.104511627906977 | 0.0109226803677664 |
| 44000 | 4579 | 0.104068181818182 | 0.0108301864669421 |
| 45000 | 4675 | 0.1038888888888889 | 0.0107929012345679 |
| 46000 | 4761 | 0.1035 | 0.01071225 |
| 47000 | 4851 | 0.103212765957447 | 0.0106528750565867 |
| 48000 | 4946 | 0.103041666666667 | 0.0106175850694444 |
| 49000 | 5035 | 0.102755102040816 | 0.0105586109954186 |
| 50000 | 5133 | 0.10266 | 0.0105390756 |


| 51000 | 5222 | 0.102392156862745 | 0.010484153787005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52000 | 5319 | 0.102288461538462 | 0.0104629293639053 |
| 53000 | 5408 | 0.102037735849057 | 0.0104116995372019 |
| 54000 | 5500 | 0.101851851851852 | 0.0103737997256516 |
| 55000 | 5590 | 0.101636363636364 | 0.0103299504132231 |
| 56000 | 5683 | 0.101482142857143 | 0.0102986253188776 |
| 57000 | 5782 | 0.101438596491228 | 0.0102897888581102 |
| 58000 | 5873 | 0.101258620689655 | 0.0102533082639715 |
| 59000 | 5963 | 0.101067796610169 | 0.0102146995116346 |
| 60000 | 6057 | 0.10095 | 0.0101909025 |
| 61000 | 6145 | 0.100737704918033 | 0.0101480851921526 |
| 62000 | 6232 | 0.100516129032258 | 0.0101034921956296 |
| 63000 | 6320 | 0.10031746031746 | 0.0100635928445452 |
| 64000 | 6413 | 0.100203125 | 0.0100406662597656 |
| 65000 | 6493 | 0.0998923076923077 | 0.00997847313609468 |
| 66000 | 6591 | 0.0998636363636364 | 0.0099727458677686 |
| 67000 | 6675 | 0.0996268656716418 | 0.00992551236355536 |
| 68000 | 6774 | 0.0996176470588235 | 0.00992367560553633 |
| 69000 | 6854 | 0.0993333333333333 | 0.00986711111111111 |
| 70000 | 6935 | 0.0990714285714286 | 0.00981514795918367 |
| 71000 | 7033 | 0.099056338028169 | 0.00981215810355088 |
| 72000 | 7128 | 0.099 | 0.009801 |
| 73000 | 7218 | 0.0988767123287671 | 0.00977660424094577 |
| 74000 | 7301 | 0.0986621621621622 | 0.00973422224251278 |
| 75000 | 7393 | 0.0985733333333333 | 0.00971670204444444 |
| 76000 | 7484 | 0.0984736842105263 | 0.00969706648199446 |
| 77000 | 7567 | 0.0982727272727273 | 0.00965752892561984 |
| 78000 | 7662 | 0.0982307692307692 | 0.00964928402366864 |
| 79000 | 7746 | 0.0980506329113924 | 0.00961392661432463 |
| 80000 | 7837 | 0.0979625 | 0.00959665140625 |
| 81000 | 7925 | 0.0978395061728395 | 0.0095725689681451 |
| 82000 | 8017 | 0.0977682926829268 | 0.00955863905413444 |
| 83000 | 8106 | 0.0976626506024096 | 0.00953799332268834 |
| 84000 | 8190 | 0.0975 | 0.00950625 |
| 85000 | 8277 | 0.0973764705882353 | 0.00948217702422145 |
| 86000 | 8362 | 0.0972325581395349 | 0.00945417036235803 |
| 87000 | 8450 | 0.0971264367816092 | 0.00943354472189193 |
| 88000 | 8545 | 0.0971022727272727 | 0.00942885136880165 |
| 89000 | 8619 | 0.0968426966292135 | 0.00937850789041788 |
| 90000 | 8713 | 0.0968111111111111 | 0.0093723912345679 |
| 91000 | 8802 | 0.0967252747252747 | 0.00935577877067987 |
| 92000 | 8887 | 0.0965978260869565 | 0.0093311400047259 |
| 93000 | 8984 | 0.0966021505376344 | 0.00933197548849578 |
| 94000 | 9070 | 0.0964893617021277 | 0.00931019692168402 |
| 95000 | 9157 | 0.0963894736842105 | 0.00929093063711911 |
| 96000 | 9252 | 0.096375 | 0.009288140625 |
| 97000 | 9336 | 0.0962474226804124 | 0.00926356637262196 |
| 98000 | 9418 | 0.0961020408163265 | 0.00923560224906289 |
| 99000 | 9505 | 0.096010101010101 | 0.0092179394959698 |
| 100000 | 9592 | 0.09592 | 0.0092006464 |

In the next graphic we can see the values of the tables above of $k(x)$ and $(k(x))^{2}$


Corollary 1. (Danilo Ch'avez 2023-09-13)
Let be $x \geq 2$. Let be $0<\pi_{0}<1$
If

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0}
$$

then

$$
\frac{(\pi(x))^{2}}{x^{2}} \neq 0
$$

Proof. We saw in theorem 2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=k(x) \\
& 0<k(x)<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

Squaring we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\pi(x))^{2}}{x^{2}}=\pi_{0}^{2} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally

$$
\frac{(\pi(x))^{2}}{x^{2}} \neq 0
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
We see that the function

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0
$$

which represents the probability to find $N=$ prime + prime , if we SUPPOSE INDEPENDENT EVENTS, is always greater than ZERO. This result is necessary to understand the proof of Goldbach's Conjecture.
3. Preliminary Lemmas On $(\pi(x))^{2}>x$

Theorem 3. (Danilo Ch'avez 2023-08-08)
Let be $x>0$. If $e^{x}>x^{2}$ then

$$
e^{\sqrt{x}}>x
$$

Proof. Let $f(x)=e^{x}$ and $g(x)=x^{2}$. We know that, if $x \geq 0$

$$
e^{x}>x^{2}
$$

Taking the inverse functions of $f(x)$ and $g(x), f^{-1}(x)=\ln (x)$ and $g^{-1}(x)=\sqrt{ }$, we have

$$
\sqrt{x}>\ln (x)
$$

Now developing it's consequences we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{x}>\ln (x) \\
e^{\sqrt{x}}>x
\end{gathered}
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
In the first graphic we can see that $e^{x}>x^{2}$


In the second graphic we can see that $\sqrt{x}>\ln (x)$


In the third graphic we can see that $e^{\sqrt{x}+1}>e^{\sqrt{x}}>x$


Now we show four different approaches to prove that $(\pi(x))^{2}>x$.
Lemma 1. (Danilo Chávez 2023-02-10)
Let be $x \geq 5393$. If $e^{\sqrt{x}+1}>x$ then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Proof. First we begin with an inequality by theorem 2 (please see the graphics of the lemmas at the end)

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\sqrt{x}+1}>e^{\sqrt{x}}>x \\
e^{\sqrt{x}+1}>x
\end{gathered}
$$

Rearranging we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{x}+1>\ln (x) \\
\sqrt{x}>\ln (x)-1 \\
\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\ln (x)-1}>1 \\
\frac{x}{\ln (x)-1}>\sqrt{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

In 2010, Pierre Dusart [6] proved that

$$
\pi(x)>=\frac{x}{\ln (x)-1}
$$

if

$$
x>=5393
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(x)> & =\frac{x}{\ln (x)-1}>\sqrt{x} \\
& \pi(x)>\sqrt{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
Lemma 2. (Danilo Chávez 2023-02-15)
Let be $x \geq 17$. If $e^{\sqrt{x}}>x$ then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2} \geq x
$$

Proof. First we begin with an inequality by theorem 2 (please see the graphics of the lemmas at the end)

$$
e^{\sqrt{x}}>x
$$

Rearranging we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{x}>\ln (x) \\
x>(\ln (x))^{2} \\
\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>1 \\
\frac{x^{2}}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>x \\
\left.\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))}\right)\right)^{2}>x
\end{gathered}
$$

In 1962, J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld [7] proved that if $x>=17$

$$
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\ln (x)}
$$

so

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>\left(\frac{x}{\ln (x)}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right) x
$$

by the theorem 3 , we know that $\sqrt{x}>\ln (x)$, this implies that $x>(\ln (x))^{2}$, so

$$
\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>1
$$

then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>\left(\frac{x}{\ln (x)}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right) x>x
$$

finally

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).

Lemma 3. (Danilo Chávez 2023-02-15)
Let be $x \geq 88783$. If $e^{\sqrt{x}}>x$ then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Proof. First we begin with an inequality by theorem 2 (please see the graphics of the lemmas at the end)

$$
e^{\sqrt{x}}>x
$$

Rearranging we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{x}>\ln (x) \\
x>(\ln (x))^{2} \\
\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>1 \\
\frac{x^{2}}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>x \\
\left.\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))}\right)\right)^{2}>x
\end{gathered}
$$

In 2010, Pierre Dusart [6], in page 9, proved that if $x \geq 88783$

$$
\pi(x) \geq \frac{x}{\ln (x)}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ln (x)}+\frac{2}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right)
$$

and it follows that

$$
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\ln (x)}
$$

so

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>\left(\frac{x}{\ln (x)}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right) x
$$

by the theorem 3 , we know that $\sqrt{x}>\ln (x)$, this implies that $x>(\ln (x))^{2}$, so

$$
\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}>1
$$

then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>\left(\frac{x}{\ln (x)}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{x}{(\ln (x))^{2}}\right) x>x
$$

finally

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
Lemma 4. (Danilo Chávez 2023-09-16)
Let be $x>\frac{1}{\pi_{0}^{2}}$.
Let be $0<\pi_{0}<1$.
If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=k(x) \\
& 0<k(x)<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

Proof. By theorem 2 we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=k(x) \\
& 0<k(x)<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}=\pi_{0} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\pi(x)=k(x) x
$$

Squaring we have

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}=(k(x))^{2} x^{2}=\left((k(x))^{2} x\right) x
$$

We will study the behaviour of $k(x)^{2} x$.

## CASE 1:

If we go to the infinite
$\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}(k(x))^{2} x=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\pi(x)^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) x=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\pi(x))^{2}}{x}=\left(\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}\right)\left(\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x)\right)=\pi_{0} \cdot \infty=\infty>1$
We can see that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}(k(x))^{2} x>1
$$

We know that $k(x)$ is always greater than $\pi_{0}$ meaning that

$$
(k(x))^{2} x>1
$$

CASE 2:

Taking the limit from the last case

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\pi(x))^{2}}{x}=\left(\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x}\right)\left(\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x)\right)=\pi_{0} \cdot \infty=\infty>1
$$

this result directly implies that

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

for big numbers.

CASE 3:

Now with finite numbers, we know that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{0}^{2}=\pi_{0}^{2} \\
0<\pi_{0}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x}=0
$$

There is some point where

$$
\pi_{0}^{2}>\frac{1}{x}
$$

This value is true if

$$
x>\frac{1}{\pi_{0}^{2}}
$$

Above this value of $x$, we can see that

$$
(k(x))^{2} \geq \pi_{0}^{2}>\frac{1}{x}
$$

meaning that

$$
(k(x))^{2} x \geq \pi_{0}^{2} x>1
$$

so we have

$$
(k(x))^{2} x>1
$$

if

$$
x>\frac{1}{\pi_{0}^{2}}
$$

Now we can conclude that

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}=(k(x))^{2} x^{2}=\left(k(x)^{2} x\right) x>x
$$

## CONCLUSION:

Finally

$$
(\pi(x))^{2}>x
$$

if

$$
x>\frac{1}{\pi_{0}^{2}}
$$

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).
4. Proof of The Goldbach's Conjecture

The key idea to prove the Goldbach's Conjecture is to use the Set G and its probabilities. We make a function that describes the TRUE PROBABILITY of finding $N=$ prime + prime and is directly proportional to the probability of finding $N=$ prime + prime, if we assume INDEPENDENT EVENTS, that we saw in the preliminary theorem. When we have the definition of the TRUE PROBABILITY, we can set the proportional function to be zero (as an argument of nullification of the TRUE PROBABILITY) but it fails in the main inequation that we found, excluding the zero as a solution of the TRUE PROBABILITY. So, always there is a probability to have $N=$ prime + prime if $\mathrm{N} \geq 88783$ as even numbers.

Theorem 4. (Christian Goldbach 1742, Danilo Chávez 2023-02-22)
Let be $N \geq 88784$ EVEN NUMBERS.
Let be $E:\{1,2,3, \ldots N-1\}$ a set of numbers smaller than N .
Let be $E \times E:\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3), \ldots(N-1, N-2),(N-1, N-1)\}$ the Cartesian product of every number smaller than $N$ which represents the pairs of sums of the numbers.

The cardinality of $E \times E$ is

$$
\#(E \times E)=(N-1)^{2}
$$

which represents the total quantity of sums between the numbers.

Let be $G:\{(1, N-1),(2, N-2),(3, N-3), \ldots(N-2,2),(N-1,1)\}$ a subset of $E \times E$ which REPRESENTS the set of PAIRS whose sum is equal to $N$.

The cardinality of the set $G$ is

$$
\# G=N-1
$$

Let be $E_{N p p}(N-1)$ the event to find $N=$ prime + prime, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of integers.

Let be $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}$ the TRUE PROBABILITY to find $N=$ prime + prime if we NOT ASSUME INDEPENDENT EVENTS, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

Let be $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}$ the probability to find $N=$ prime + prime if we assume INDEPENDENT EVENTS, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

Let be $c(N-1)$ the proportional function that we will use between $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}$ and $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \text { and } c(N-1) \neq 0 \text { and } \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0 \text { then } \\
& \frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

then
"EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIMES".

Proof. The case of $4 \leq N<88783$ is very known to be true by intensive computation by Matti K. Sinisalo [3], or by Jörg Richstein [4], or by Tomás Oliveira e Silva, Sigfried Herzog and Silvio Pardi [5].

We will take the case of $N \geq 88784$ as even numbers, the limit given by Pierre Dusart [6] in 2010, in page 9.

If we pull apart the number $N$ into two numbers

$$
N=\text { number } 1+\text { number } 2
$$

being elements of the set G, the TRUE PROBABILITY to find two prime numbers, SIMULTANEOUSLY, given its sum equal to $N$ in the set G is

$$
P(N=\text { Prime }+ \text { Prime })=\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}
$$

We will show that $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0$ which means that always there is $N=$ prime + prime .

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}
$$

is the TRUE PROBABILITY to have $N=$ prime + prime and is directly proportional to

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

the TRUE PROBABILITY to have $N=$ prime + prime is

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \propto \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

so we have

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

This is our MAIN EQUATION

By lemma 1, lemma 2, lemma 3 and lemma 4, above this proof, we know that if $N-1>=88783$

$$
(\pi(N-1))^{2}>N-1
$$

so

$$
(\pi(N-1))^{4}>(N-1)^{2}
$$

Returning to our main equation, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}>\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(\pi(N-1))^{4}}=\frac{c(N-1)}{(\pi(N-1))^{2}} \\
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}>\frac{c(N-1)}{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is our MAIN INEQUATION, remember that.
SO

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}>\frac{c(N-1)}{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}
$$

If we set $c(N-1)=0$

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}>0
$$

but in our main equation

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}=0
$$

## AN ABSURD!! A CONTRADICTION!!

In our main inequation we see that

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=0>0
$$

## AN ABSURD!! A CONTRADICTION!!

We note that there is no loss of solutions because we never altered the main equation and the main inequation.

We conclude that

$$
c(N-1) \neq 0
$$

which means that

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
$$

By theorem 1 and corollary 1, at the beginning, we know that

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0
$$

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0 \\
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Always there is $N=$ prime + prime.
We conclude that EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIME NUMBERS.

By lemma 1, lemma 2, lemma 3 and lemma 4, above this proof, we know that, if $N-1>=88783$

$$
(\pi(N-1))^{2}>N-1
$$

rearranging we have

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}>\frac{1}{(N-1)}
$$

So, because

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \propto \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
E_{N p p}(N-1) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}>\frac{1}{(N-1)}
$$

then

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}>\frac{1}{(N-1)}
$$

which shows that the true probability to find $N=$ prime + prime is greater than the minimal probability to find the sum of only one pair of numbers, assuring that ALWAYS THERE IS A SUM OF TWO PRIMES EQUAL TO $N$.

This shows that

$$
E_{N p p}(N-1)>1
$$

Assuring that the event $E_{N p p}(N-1)$ is always greater to 1. Always there is $N=$ prime + prime .
We conclude that EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIME NUMBERS.

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).

Now we will present a second theorem without the use of the inequality

$$
(\pi(N-1))^{2}>N-1
$$

Theorem 5. (Christian Goldbach 1742, Danilo Chávez 2023-09-04)
Let be $N \geq 88784$ EVEN NUMBERS.
Let be $E:\{1,2,3, \ldots N-1\}$ a set of numbers smaller than N .
Let be $E \times E:\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3), \ldots(N-1, N-2),(N-1, N-1)\}$ the Cartesian product of every number smaller than $N$ which represents the pairs of sums of the numbers.

The cardinality of $E \times E$ is

$$
\#(E \times E)=(N-1)^{2}
$$

which represents the total quantity of sums between the numbers.
Let be $G:\{(1, N-1),(2, N-2),(3, N-3), \ldots(N-2,2),(N-1,1)\}$ a subset of $E \times E$ which REPRESENTS the set of PAIRS whose sum is equal to $N$.

The cardinality of the set $G$ is

$$
\# G=N-1
$$

Let be $E_{N p p}(N-1)$ the event to find $N=$ prime + prime, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of integers.

Let be $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}$ the TRUE PROBABILITY to find $N=$ prime + prime if we NOT ASSUME INDEPENDENT EVENTS, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

Let be $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}$ the probability to find $N=$ prime + prime if we assume INDEPENDENT EVENTS, actually it is a function of $N-1$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

Let be $c(N-1)$ the proportional function that we will use between $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}$ and $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}$, its domain is the set of even numbers $N \geq 88784$ and its codomain is the set of rational numbers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \text { and } c(N-1) \neq 0 \text { and } \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0 \text { then } \\
& \qquad \frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

then
"EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIMES".

Proof. The case of $4 \leq N<88783$ is very known to be true by intensive computation by Matti K. Sinisalo [3], or by Jörg Richstein [4], or by Tomás Oliveira e Silva, Sigfried Herzog and Silvio Pardi [5].

We will take the case of $N \geq 88784$ as even numbers, the limit given by Pierre Dusart [6] in 2010, in page 9.

If we pull apart the number $N$ into two numbers

$$
N=\text { number } 1+\text { number } 2
$$

being elements of the set G, the TRUE PROBABILITY to find two prime numbers, SIMULTANEOUSLY, given its sum equal to $N$ in the set G is

$$
P(N=\text { Prime }+ \text { Prime })=\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}
$$

We will show that $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0$ which means that always there is $N=$ prime + prime .
As

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}
$$

is the TRUE PROBABILITY to have $N=$ prime + prime and is directly proportional to

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

the TRUE PROBABILITY to have $N=$ prime + prime is

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \propto \frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

so we have

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$

This is our MAIN EQUATION

Because $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}$ is the TRUE PROBABILITY, there is a function $f(N-1)$ that satisfies

$$
f(N-1)+\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=1
$$

$f(N-1)$ is the probability of $N \neq$ prime + prime and $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}$ is the probability of $N=$ prime + prime, we mean

$$
f(N-1)+\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}=1
$$

There is an implicit second grade equation whose solution is $\frac{\pi(N-1)}{(N-1)}$
We mean

$$
c(N-1) x^{2}+f(N-1)-1=0
$$

Solving this equation we have

$$
x= \pm \sqrt{\frac{1-f(N-1)}{c(N-1)}}
$$

We only take the positive solution because we know it beforehand, $\frac{\pi(N-1)}{(N-1)}$, so

$$
x=\sqrt{\frac{1-f(N-1)}{c(N-1)}}
$$

we mean

$$
\frac{\pi(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\sqrt{\frac{1-f(N-1)}{c(N-1)}}
$$

We can see that

$$
c(N-1) \neq 0
$$

because the division by ZERO is NOT DEFINED, it is not a possible number.
Because

$$
c(N-1) \neq 0
$$

then

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
$$

By theorem 1 and corollary 1, at the beginning, we know that

$$
\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}} \neq 0 \\
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)} \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Always there is $N=$ prime + prime .
We conclude that EVERY EVEN NUMBER GREATER OR EQUAL TO 4 IS THE SUM OF TWO PRIME NUMBERS.

Quod erat demonstrandum (Q.E.D).

## 5. Tables and Graphics of The Theorems

In this section we present the tables and related graphics that shows the behaviour of the Goldbach's Conjecture.

We plotted the even numbers $4 \leq N \leq 200 . \pi(N-1)$ is taken from N. J .A. Sloane OEIS A000720 [8].



We can see that $\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}$ is about the order of $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}$ and guided by it, both of them are greater than $\frac{1}{N-1}$

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{N-1}=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)^{2}}
$$



We can see that $E_{N p p}(N-1)$ is about the order of $\frac{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)}$ and guided by it, both of them are greater than 1

$$
E_{N p p}(N-1)=\frac{c(N-1)(\pi(N-1))^{2}}{(N-1)}
$$

## 6. Tables and Graphics of The Lemmas

In this section we present the tables and related graphics that shows the behaviour of $\pi(N-1)^{2}>N-1$.
We plotted the even numbers $4 \leq N \leq 200 . \pi(N-1)$ is taken from N. J .A. Sloane OEIS A000720 [8].

| $x$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}($ sqrt $(\mathrm{x}))$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}($ sqrt $(\mathrm{x})+1)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2.7182818285 | 7.3890560989 |
| 24.1132503788 | 11.180973761 |  |
| 3 | 5.652233674 | 15.364364086 |
| 4 | 7.3890560989 | 20.085536923 |
| 5 | 9.3564690166 | 25.433519706 |
| 6 | 11.58243519 | 31.484323106 |
| 7 | 14.094030107 | 38.31154593 |
| 8 | 16.918828679 | 45.990144556 |
| 9 | 20.085536923 | 54.598150033 |
| 10 | 23.624342922 | 64.217622074 |
| 11 | 27.567148453 | 74.935278703 |
| 12 | 31.947745506 | 86.842976069 |
| 13 | 36.801966287 | 100.03811621 |
| 14 | 42.167820669 | 114.62402067 |
| 15 | 48.085628381 | 130.71028984 |
| 16 | 54.598150033 | 148.4131591 |
| 17 | 61.750719398 | 167.85585844 |
| 18 | 69.591378471 | 189.16897951 |
| 19 | 78.171016319 | 212.49085317 |
| 20 | 87.543512459 | 237.96793912 |
| 21 | 97.765885283 | 265.75522941 |
| 22 | 108.898446 | 296.0166669 |
| 23 | 121.00495841 | 328.92557959 |
| 24 | 134.15280493 | 364.66513188 |
| 25 | 148.4131591 | 403.42879349 |
| 26 | 163.86116487 | 445.42082684 |
| 27 | 180.57612296 | 490.85679369 |
| 28 | 198.64168466 | 539.96408178 |
| 29 | 218.14605317 | 592.98245228 |
| 30 | 239.18219293 | 650.16460874 |



We can see that

$$
e^{\sqrt{x}+1}>e^{\sqrt{x}}>x
$$

| N | pi(N-1) | $(\mathrm{N}-1) /(\ln (\mathrm{N}-1))$ | $\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{N}-1)^{\wedge} 2$ | $(\mathrm{N}-1)^{\wedge} 2 /\left(\ln (\mathrm{N}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | $\mathrm{N}-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | 2.73071767988051 | 4 | 7.45681904721201 | 3 |
| 6 | 3 | 3.10667467279806 | 9 | 9.65142752260493 | 5 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.59728839658826 | 16 | 12.9404838082285 | 7 |
| 10 | 4 | - 4.09607651982077 | 16 | 16.777842856227 | 9 |
| 12 | 5 | - 4.58735630566671 | 25 | 21.0438378751401 | 1 |
| 14 | 6 | - 5.06832618826664 | 36 | 25.6879303506695 | 3 |
| 16 | 6 | - 5.53904059603283 | 36 | 30.6809707244997 | 15 |
| 18 | 7 | 6.00025410570094 | 49 | 36.003049332981 | 17 |
| 20 | 8 | 6.45284216600706 | 64 | 41.6391720193987 | 19 |
| 22 | -8 | 6.89763351381407 | 64 | 47.5773480908911 | 21 |
| 24 | , | 7.33536674478742 | 81 | 53.8076052805332 | 23 |
| 26 | 9 | 7.76668668199515 | 81 | 60.3214220162808 | 25 |
| 28 | $\square 9$ | 8.19215303964154 | 81 | 67.1113714249081 | 27 |
| 30 | 10 | 8.61225192682773 | 100 | 74.170883251148 | 29 |
| 32 | 11 | 9.02740696281884 | 121 | 81.49407647235 | 31 |
| 34 | 11 | 9.43798902758825 | 121 | 89.0756368848763 | 33 |
| 36 | 11 | 9.84432449219549 | 121 | 96.91072470764 | 35 |
| 38 | 12 | 10.2467020561277 | 144 | 104.994903027052 | 37 |
| 40 | 12 | 10.6453783959665 | 144 | 113.32408119331 | 39 |
| 42 | 13 | 11.04058283064 | 169 | 121.894469240223 | 41 |
| 44 | 14 | 11.4325211840186 | 196 | 130.702540623035 | 43 |
| 46 | 14 | 11.8213789956238 | 196 | 139.745001358176 | 45 |
| 48 | 15 | -12.2073242020968 | 225 | 149.018764175097 | 47 |
| 50 | 15 | 12.5905093880589 | 225 | 158.520926650799 | 49 |
| 52 | 15 | 12.9710736853462 | 225 | 168.24875255068 | 51 |
| 54 | 16 | 13.3491443838401 | 256 | 178.199655780609 | 53 |
| 56 | 16 | [ 13.7248383046067 | 256 | 188.371186487598 | 55 |
| 58 | 16 | - 14.0982629761529 | 256 | 198.761018944764 | 57 |
| 60 | 17 | 14.46951764678 | 289 | 209.366940930478 | 59 |
| 62 | 18 | 14.8386941598041 | 324 | 220.186844368206 | 61 |
| 64 | 18 | 15.2058777134843 | 324 | 231.218717037439 | 63 |
| 66 | 18 | 15.5711475235562 | 324 | 242.460635200351 | 65 |
| 68 | 19 | - 15.934577403117 | 361 | 253.910757015926 | 67 |
| 70 | 19 | 16.296236272064 | 361 | 265.567316634935 | 69 |
| 72 | 20 | 16.6561886062344 | 400 | 277.428618886451 | 71 |
| 74 | 21 | 17.0144948347212 | 441 | 289.493034480754 | 73 |
| 76 | 21 | 17.3712116924788 | 441 | 301.758995664911 | 75 |
| 78 | 21 | 17.7263925342081 | 441 | 314.22499227683 | 77 |
| 80 | 22 | 18.0800876145932 | 484 | 326.889568151368 | 79 |
| 82 | 22 | 18.4323443391935 | 484 | 339.751317838597 | 81 |
| 84 | 23 | 18.7832074896648 | 529 | 352.8088835998 | 83 |
| 86 | 23 | 19.1327194264512 | 529 | 366.060952651302 | 85 |
| 88 | 23 | 19.4809202716445 | 529 | 379.506254630171 | 87 |
| 90 | 24 | 19.8278480743387 | 576 | 393.143559259056 | 89 |
| 92 | 24 | 20.1735389604868 | 576 | 406.971674190279 | 91 |
| 94 | 24 | 20.5180272690057 | 576 | 420.989443011662 | 93 |
| 96 | 24 | 20.8613456756427 | 576 | 435.195743398655 | 95 |
| 98 | 25 | 21.2035253059273 | 625 | 449.5894853991 | 97 |
| 100 | 25 | 21.5445958383654 | 625 | 464.169609838513 | 99 |
| 102 | 26 | 21.8845855988887 | 676 | 478.935086835087 | 101 |
| 104 | 27 | 22.2235216474532 | 729 | 493.884914414819 | 103 |
| 106 | 27 | 22.561429857572 | 729 | 509.01811721814 | 105 |
| 108 | 28 | 22.8983349894778 | 784 | 524.333745290345 | 107 |
| 110 | 29 | 23.2342607575304 | 841 | 539.830872948918 | 109 |
| 112 | 29 | 23.5692298924146 | 841 | 555.50859772149 | 111 |
| 114 | 30 | 23.903264198616 | 900 | 571.366039348837 | 113 |
| 116 | 30 | 24.2363846076064 | 900 | 587.402338847819 | 115 |
| 118 | 30 | 24.5686112271262 | 900 | 603.616657629673 | 117 |
| 120 | 30 | 24.8999633869103 | 900 | 620.008176669474 | 119 |
| 122 | 30 | 25.2304596811669 | 900 | 636.576095722989 | 121 |
| 124 | 30 | 25.5601180080893 | 900 | 653.31963258745 | 123 |
| 126 | 30 | 25.8889556066505 | 900 | 670.23802240312 | 125 |
| 128 | 31 | 26.2169890909075 | 961 | 687.330516992764 | 127 |
| 130 | 31 | 26.5442344820188 | 961 | 704.596384236398 | 129 |
| 132 | 32 | 26.8707072381601 | 1024 | 722.034907478911 | 131 |
| 134 | 32 | 27.1964222825052 | 1024 | 739.645384968346 | 133 |
| 136 | 32 | 27.5213940294241 | 1024 | 757.42712932282 | 135 |
| 138 | 33 | 27.8456364090355 | 1089 | 775.379467024205 | 137 |
| 140 | 34 | 28.1691628902397 | 1156 | 793.501737936855 | 139 |
| 142 | 34 | 28.4919865023448 | 1156 | 811.793294849797 | 141 |
| 144 |  | 28.8141198553925 | 1156 | 830.253503040924 | 143 |
| 146 |  | 29.1355751592761 | 1156 | 848.881739861826 | 145 |
| 148 | 34 | 29.4563642417394 | 1156 | 867.677394342021 | 147 |
| 150 | 35 | 29.7764985653353 | 1225 | 886.639866811417 | 149 |
| 152 | 36 | - 30.095989243418 | 1296 | 905.768568539932 | 151 |
| 154 | 36 | [ 30.414847055234 | 1296 | 925.062921393275 | 153 |
| 156 | 36 | - 30.7330824601756 | 1296 | 944.522357503953 | 155 |
| 158 | 37 | 31.0507056112524 | 1369 | 964.14631895666 | 157 |
| 160 | 37 | - 31.3677263678324 | 1369 | 983.934257487208 | 159 |
| 162 | 37 | 31.6841543077024 | -1369 | 1003.88563419429 | 161 |
| 164 | 38 | 31.9999987384901 | 1444 | 1023.99991926337 | 163 |
| 166 | 38 | 32.3152687084909 | 1444 | 1044.27659170197 | 165 |
| 168 | 39 | 32.6299730169352 | 1521 | 1064.71513908592 | 167 |
| 170 | 39 | 32.9441202237332 | 1521 | 1085.31505731578 | 169 |
| 172 | 39 | - 33.2577186587279 | 1521 | 1106.0758503831 | 171 |
| 174 | 40 | - 33.5707764304884 | 1600 | 1126.99703014584 | 173 |
| 176 | 40 | 33.8833014346688 | 1600 | 1148.07811611263 | 175 |
| 178 | 40 | 34.1953013619618 | 1600 | 1169.31863523539 | 177 |
| 180 | 41 | - 34.5067837056682 | 1681 | 1190.71812170976 | 179 |
| 182 | 42 | - 34.8177557689067 | 1764 | 1212.27611678323 | 181 |
| 184 | 42 | 35.1282246714843 | 1764 | 1233.99216857028 | 183 |


| 186 | 42 | 35.4381973564464 | 1764 | 1255.86583187444 | 185 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 188 | 42 | 35.7476805963248 | 1764 | 1277.89666801685 | 187 |
| 190 | 42 | 36.0566809991019 | 1764 | 1300.0842467099 | 189 |
| 192 | 43 | 36.3650500939048 | 1849 | 132.24881570332 | 191 |
| 194 | 44 | 36.6732589364455 | 1936 | 1344.92792101958 | 193 |
| 196 | 44 | 36.980848914221 | 1936 | 1367.58318641644 | 195 |
| 198 | 45 | 37.2879809514856 | 2025 | 1390.39352343836 | 197 |
| 200 | 46 | 37.594660914008 | 2116 | 1413.35852923924 |  |



We can see that

$$
N-1>\pi(N-1)>\frac{N-1}{\ln (N-1)}
$$

if

$$
N-1 \geq 11
$$



We can see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\pi(N-1))^{2}>\frac{(N-1)^{2}}{(\ln (N-1))^{2}}>N-1 \\
N-1 \geq 11
\end{gathered}
$$

7. Tables and Graphics of The Function $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{N}-\mathrm{i})$

In this section we present the tables and related graphics that shows the behaviour of the function $c(N-1)$.

We plotted the even numbers $4 \leq N \leq 200$, here it is the function $c(N-1) . \pi(N-1)$ is taken from N. J .A. Sloane OEIS A000720 [8].

| N | $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{N}-1)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.75 |
| 6 | 0.555555555555556 |
| 8 | 0.875 |
| 10 | 1.6875 |
| 12 | 0.88 |
| 14 | 1.08333333333333 |
| 16 | 1.66666666666667 |
| 18 | 1.38775510204082 |
| 20 | 1.1875 |
| 22 | 1.640625 |
| 24 | 1.7037037037037 |
| 26 | 1.54320987654321 |
| 28 | 1.33333333333333 |
| 30 | 1.74 |
| 32 | 1.02479338842975 |
| 34 | 1.90909090909091 |
| 36 | 2.31404958677686 |
| 38 | 0.770833333333333 |
| 40 | 1.625 |
| 42 | 1.94082840236686 |
| 44 | 1.31632653061225 |
| 46 | 1.60714285714286 |
| 48 | 2.08888888888889 |
| 50 | 1.74222222222222 |
| 52 | 1.36 |
| 54 | 2.0703125 |
| 56 | 1.07421875 |
| 58 | 1.55859375 |
| 60 | 2.44982698961938 |
| 62 | 0.941358024691358 |
| 64 | 1.94444444444444 |
| 66 | 2.40740740740741 |
| 68 | 0.742382271468144 |
| 70 | 1.91135734072022 |
| 72 | 2.13 |
| 74 | 1.48979591836735 |
| 76 | 1.70068027210884 |
| 78 | 2.44444444444444 |
| 80 | 1.30578512396694 |
| 82 | 1.50619834710744 |
| 84 | 2.51039697542533 |
| 86 | 1.4461247637051 |
| 88 | 1.31568998109641 |
| 90 | 2.78125 |
| 92 | 1.26388888888889 |
| 94 | 1.453125 |
| 96 | 2.30902777777778 |
| 98 | 0.9312 |
| 100 | 1.9008 |


| 102 | 2.3905325443787 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 104 | 1.41289437585734 |
| 106 | 1.5843621399177 |
| 108 | 2.18367346938776 |
| 110 | 1.55529131985731 |
| 112 | 1.84780023781213 |
| 114 | 2.511111111111 |
| 116 | 1.53333333333333 |
| 118 | 1.43 |
| 120 | 3.17333333333333 |
| 122 | 0.94111111111111 |
| 124 | 1.36666666666667 |
| 126 | 2.77777777777778 |
| 128 | 0.792924037460978 |
| 130 | 1.8792924037461 |
| 132 | 2.302734375 |
| 134 | 1.4287109375 |
| 136 | 1.318359375 |
| 138 | 2.01285583103765 |
| 140 | 1.68339100346021 |
| 142 | 1.82958477508651 |
| 144 | 2.72145328719723 |
| 146 | 1.37975778546713 |
| 148 | 1.27162629757785 |
| 150 | 2.91918367346939 |
| 152 | 0.932098765432099 |
| 154 | 1.8888888888889 |
| 156 | 2.63117283950617 |
| 158 | 1.03214024835646 |
| 160 | 1.85829072315559 |
| 162 | 2.35208181154127 |
| 164 | 1.12880886426593 |
| 166 | 1.25692520775623 |
| 168 | 2.85470085470085 |
| 170 |  |
| 172 | 1.3491124260355 |
| 174 | 2.37875 |
| 176 | 1.53125 |
| 178 | 1.438125 |
| 180 | 2.98155859607377 |
| 182 | 1.2312925170068 |
| 184 | 1.65986394557823 |
| 186 | 2.72675736961451 |
| 188 | 1.06009070294785 |
| 190 | 1.71428571428571 |
| 192 | 2.27257977285019 |
| 194 | 1.29597107438017 |
| 196 | 1.81301652892562 |
| 198 | 2.52938271604938 |
| 200 | 1.5047258979206 |
| 1 |  |



We can see the function $c(N-1)$.

## 8. Tables and Graphics of The Inequality

In this section we present the tables and related graphics that shows the behaviour of the main inequality.

We plotted the even numbers $4 \leq N \leq 200$. Here it is the main inequality. $\pi(N-1)$ is taken from N. J .A. Sloane OEIS A000720 [8].

| E_Npp(N - 1) $/(\mathrm{N}-1)$ | $\left(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{N}-1) /(\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{N}-1))^{\wedge} 2\right.$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.33333333333333 | 0.1875 |
| 0.2 | 0.0617283950617285 |
| 0.285714285714286 | 0.0546875 |
| 0.33333333333333 | 0.10546875 |
| 0.181818181818182 | 0.0352 |
| 0.230769230769231 | 0.0300925925925925 |
| 0.26666666666667 | 0.0462962962962964 |
| 0.235294117647059 | 0.0283215326947106 |
| 0.210526315789474 | 0.0185546875 |
| 0.238095238095238 | 0.025634765625 |
| 0.260869565217391 | 0.0210333790580704 |
| 0.2 | 0.0190519737844841 |
| 0.148148148148148 | 0.0164609053497942 |
| 0.206896551724138 | 0.0174 |
| 0.129032258064516 | 0.00846936684652686 |
| 0.212121212121212 | 0.0157776108189331 |
| 0.228571428571429 | 0.019124376750222 |
| 0.0810810810810811 | 0.00535300925925926 |
| 0.153846153846154 | 0.0112847222222222 |
| 0.195121951219512 | 0.0114841917299814 |
| 0.13953488372093 | 0.00671595168679719 |
| 0.15555555555556 | 0.00819970845481051 |
| 0.212765957446809 | 0.00928395061728396 |
| 0.163265306122449 | 0.0077432098765432 |
| 0.117647058823529 | 0.00604444444444445 |
| 0.188679245283019 | 0.008087158203125 |
| 0.0909090909090909 | 0.0041961669921875 |
| 0.12280701754386 | 0.0060882568359375 |
| 0.203389830508475 | 0.00847690999868298 |
|  |  |


| 0.0819672131147541 | 0.00290542600213382 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.158730158730159 | 0.00600137174211247 |
| 0.184615384615385 | 0.0074302697759488 |
| 0.0597014925373134 | 0.00205646058578433 |
| 0.144927536231884 | 0.00529461867235518 |
| 0.169014084507042 | 0.005325 |
| 0.123287671232877 | 0.00337822203711417 |
| 0.133333333333333 | 0.00385641785058694 |
| 0.181818181818182 | 0.0055429579239103 |
| 0.10126582278481 | 0.00269790314869203 |
| 0.11111111111111 | 0.00311198005600711 |
| 0.192771084337349 | 0.00474555193842217 |
| 0.105882352941176 | 0.00273369520549168 |
| 0.0919540229885058 | 0.0024871266183297 |
| 0.202247191011236 | 0.00482855902777778 |
| 0.0879120879120879 | 0.00219425154320988 |
| 0.0967741935483871 | 0.00252278645833333 |
| 0.147368421052632 | 0.0040087287808642 |
| 0.0618556701030928 | 0.00148992 |
| 0.121212121212121 | 0.00304128 |
| 0.158415841584158 | 0.00353629074612234 |
| 0.0970873786407767 | 0.00193812671585369 |
| 0.104761904761905 | 0.00217333626874856 |
| 0.149532710280374 | 0.00278529779258643 |
| 0.110091743119266 | 0.00184933569543081 |
| 0.126126126126126 | 0.00219714653723202 |
| 0.176991150442478 | 0.00279012345679012 |
| 0.104347826086957 | 0.0017037037037037 |
| 0.094017094017094 | 0.00158888888888889 |
| 0.201680672268908 | 0.00352592592592592 |
| 0.0578512396694215 | 0.00104567901234568 |
| 0.0813008130081301 | 0.00151851851851852 |
| 0.16 | 0.00308641975308642 |
| 0.047244094488189 | 0.000825103056671153 |
| 0.108527131782946 | 0.00195555921305526 |
| 0.137404580152672 | 0.00224876403808594 |
| 0.0827067669172932 | 0.00139522552490234 |
| 0.0740740740740741 | 0.00128746032714844 |
| 0.116788321167883 | 0.00184835246192622 |
| 0.100719424460432 | 0.00145622059122856 |
| 0.106382978723404 | 0.00158268579159733 |
| 0.153846153846154 | 0.00235419834532632 |
| 0.0758620689655172 | 0.00119356209815496 |
| 0.0680272108843538 | 0.00110002274876977 |
| 0.161073825503356 | 0.00238300708038318 |
| 0.0529801324503311 | 0.000719212010364274 |
| 0.104575163398693 | 0.00145747599451303 |
| 0.141935483870968 | 0.00203022595640908 |
| 0.0573248407643312 | 0.000753937361838174 |
| 0.10062893081761 | 0.00135740739456216 |
| 0.124223602484472 | 0.00171810212676499 |
| 0.0613496932515337 | 0.000781723590211863 |
| 0.0666666666666667 | 0.000870446819775783 |
| 0.155688622754491 | 0.00187685789263698 |
| 0.106508875739645 | 0.00131492439184747 |
| 0.0701754385964912 | 0.000886990418169297 |
| 0.127167630057803 | 0.00148671875 |
| 0.08 | 0.00095703125 |
| 0.0734463276836158 | 0.000898828125 |
| 0.156424581005587 | 0.00177368149677202 |
| 0.0662983425414365 | 0.000698011630956236 |
| 0.087431693989071 | 0.000940965955543214 |
| 0.140540540540541 | 0.00154578082177693 |
| 0.053475935828877 | 0.000600958448383135 |
| 0.0846560846560847 | 0.000971817298347908 |
| 0.115183246073298 | 0.00122908586957825 |


| 0.0673575129533679 | 0.000669406546683972 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0923076923076923 | 0.000936475479816952 |
| 0.131979695431472 | 0.00124907788446883 |
| 0.0804020100502513 | 0.000711118099206333 |



As we can see

$$
\frac{E_{N p p}(N-1)}{(N-1)}>\frac{c(N-1)}{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}
$$

| E_Npp(N - 1) | $(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{N}-1)(\mathrm{N}-1)) /(\mathrm{pi}(\mathrm{N}-1))^{\wedge} 2$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.5625 |
| 1 | 0.308641975308642 |
| 2 | 0.3828125 |
| 3 | 0.94921875 |
| 2 | 0.3872 |
| 3 | 0.391203703703703 |
| 4 | 0.694444444444446 |
| 4 | 0.48146605581008 |
| 4 | 0.3525390625 |
| 5 | 0.538330078125 |
| 6 | 0.483767718335619 |
| 5 | 0.476299344612102 |
| 4 | 0.444444444444443 |
| 6 | 0.5046 |
| 4 | 0.262550372242333 |
| 7 | 0.520661157024794 |
| 8 | 0.669353186257769 |
| 3 | 0.198061342592593 |
| 6 | 0.440104166666667 |
| 8 | 0.470851860929238 |
| 6 | 0.288785922532279 |
| 7 | 0.368986880466473 |
| 10 | 0.436345679012346 |
| 8 | 0.379417283950617 |
| 6 | 0.308266666666667 |


| 10 | 0.428619384765625 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.230789184570313 |
| 7 | 0.347030639648438 |
| 12 | 0.500137689922296 |
| 5 | 0.177230986130163 |
| 10 | 0.378086419753086 |
| 12 | 0.482967535436672 |
| 4 | 0.13778285924755 |
| 10 | 0.365328688392507 |
| 12 | 0.378075 |
| 9 | 0.246610208709335 |
| 10 | 0.28923133879402 |
| 14 | 0.426807760141093 |
| 8 | 0.21313434874667 |
| 9 | 0.252070384536576 |
| 16 | 0.39388081088904 |
| 9 | 0.232364092466793 |
| 8 | 0.216380015794684 |
| 18 | 0.429741753472222 |
| 8 | 0.199676890432099 |
| 9 | 0.234619140625 |
| 14 | 0.380829234182099 |
| 6 | 0.14452224 |
| 12 | 0.30108672 |
| 16 | 0.357165365358356 |
| 10 | 0.19962705173293 |
| 11 | 0.228200308218599 |
| 16 | 0.298026863806748 |
| 12 | 0.201577590801958 |
| 14 | 0.243883265632754 |
| 20 | 0.315283950617284 |
| 12 | 0.195925925925926 |
| 11 | 0.1859 |
| 24 | 0.419585185185185 |
| 7 | 0.126527160493827 |
| 10 | 0.186777777777778 |
| 20 | 0.385802469135803 |
| 6 | 0.104788088197236 |
| 14 | 0.252267138484128 |
| 18 | 0.294588088989258 |
| 11 | 0.185564994812012 |
| 10 | 0.173807144165039 |
| 16 | 0.253224287283892 |
| 14 | 0.202414662180769 |
| 15 | 0.223158696615223 |
| 22 | 0.336650363381664 |
| 11 | 0.173066504232469 |
| 10 | 0.161703344069156 |
| 24 | 0.355068054977093 |
| 8 | 0.108601013565005 |
| 16 | 0.222993827160494 |
| 22 | 0.314685023243408 |
| 9 | 0.118368165808593 |
| 16 | 0.215827775735383 |
| 20 | 0.276614442409163 |
| 10 | 0.127420945204534 |
| 11 | 0.143623725263004 |
| 26 | 0.313435268070376 |
| 18 | 0.222222222222222 |
| 12 | 0.15167536150695 |
| 22 | 0.25720234375 |
| 14 | 0.16748046875 |
| 13 | 0.159092578125 |
| 28 | 0.317488987922192 |
| 12 | 0.126340105203079 |
| 16 | 0.172196769864408 |
| 26 | 0.285969452028733 |
| 10 | 0.112379229847646 |


| 16 | 0.183673469387755 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 22 | 0.234755401089446 |
| 13 | 0.129195463510007 |
| 18 | 0.182612718564306 |
| 26 | 0.246068343240359 |
| 16 | 0.14151250174206 |



As we can see

$$
E_{N p p}(N-1)>\frac{c(N-1)(N-1)}{(\pi(N-1))^{2}}
$$
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