ISSN: 2834-4928

Research Article

Journal of Electrical Electronics Engineering

AlH_6 Clathrate Confined within h-BN: Design, Ab Initio Estimates, Synthesis Route and a Quantitative Assessment toward High-Temperature Superconductivity

Giustino Travaglini*

Independent Researcher, Italy

***Corresponding Author**
Giustino Travaglini, Independent Researcher, Italy

Submitted: 2026, Jan 05; **Accepted:** 2026, Feb 04; **Published:** 2026, Feb 11

Citation: Travaglini, G. (2026). AlH_6 Clathrate Confined within h-BN: Design, Ab Initio Estimates, Synthesis Route and a Quantitative Assessment toward High-Temperature Superconductivity. *J Electrical Electron Eng, 5*(1), 01-06.

Abstract

I propose and analyze a materials architecture in which an aluminium-hydride clathrate (nominal AlH motifs forming a connected network) is mechanically and chemically confined within an inert, high-stiffness hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) matrix. The confinement is intended to provide "chemical pressure" and kinetic stabilization of a hydrogen-rich network while preserving the light-mass phonon modes of hydrogen that can drive strong electron-phonon coupling. I present (i) an atomistic model and stability considerations; (ii) detailed computational workflows to evaluate electronic structure, phonons, anharmonic renormalization, electron-phonon coupling, and superconducting transition temperature (Tc) including explicit numerical evaluations; and (iii) an experimentally realistic synthesis and characterization protocol (materials, processing, and diagnostics). Using conservative but optimistic parameter choices supported by literature analogies (high-pressure hydrides and light-element clathrates), I find that the system can plausibly reach Tc in the high-temperature range (≈200–250 K) under idealized assumptions, and I quantify what improvements would be required to reach ~300 K. I identify the critical calculations and measurements needed to validate or falsify the design.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Superconductivity at or above 300 K and ambient pressure remains a central challenge. Hydrogen-rich materials under pressure and engineered flat-band systems demonstrate that very high pairing scales are possible, but practical materials must (a) be stable or metastable at 1 atm, (b) have manageable synthesis routes, and (c) present reproducible, volumetric superconducting signatures. A promising route is to combine hydrogen's light mass (high phonon frequency) with a robust "host" that provides mechanical confinement and electronic tuning [1-5]. Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) is an attractive host: chemically inert, high bulk modulus in layered directions, and widely used as a substrate and encapsulant for 2D heterostructures. I examine whether an Al–H clathrate, stabilized and confined by h-BN, could present the conditions for very high *Tc*.

1.2. Design Idea Overview

- Build a continuous or percolating network of AlH_6 octahedra (or similar Alcentered hydrogen cages) filling interstices in an h-BN scaffold or forming a layered intercalation.
- Use nanoscale confinement and interface bonding (van der Waals + weak bonding to BN rim regions) to emulate chemical pre-compression experienced by hydrides at high pressures.
- Tune carrier density by slight Al stoichiometry or light doping to increase $N(E_F)$.
- Evaluate electron-phonon coupling (λ) and the logarithmic phonon average (ω_{log}) after including anharmonic renormalization (expected to be significant for hydrogen).

2. Materials Model and Structural Considerations

2.1. Proposed Atomic Model(s)

I consider two plausible motifs (both to be modeled and tested):

Model A — Interstitial Clathrate Network (3D):

- Start from an AlH_6 octahedral motif similar to octahedrally coordinated hydrides; join them into a three-dimensional framework analogous to clathrate cages or perovskitic hydride sublattices [6-10].
- Interstices between clathrate cages are occupied or partially filled by h-BN nanosheets forming a mechanically rigid

scaffold that clamps the hydrogen network and prevents collapse/decomposition.

Model B — Layered AlH_6 Planes Confined by h-BN (Quasi-2D but Stacked):

- Alternating stacking of AlH_6 network planes and h-BN sheets. Interlayer registry provides compressive strain in the Al–H planes.
- I will use a primitive cell that contains the minimal repeating unit (Al + appropriate number of H + h-BN representatives or a slab model for computing interface energies).

2.2. Chemical and Mechanical Stability Criteria

- **Thermodynamic:** compute formation enthalpy ΔH per formula unit relative to decomposition products (Al metal + H_2 gas + BN). A positive ΔH means endothermic—metastability possible, but barriers must be considered.
- **Kinetic:** compute NEB barriers for H desorption and AlH_6 → Al + H_2 pathways. Target: barriers ≳ 0.5–1.0 eV per H (ballpark for kinetic stability at room temperature on timescales useful for experiments).
- **Mechanical:** estimate how much the h-BN confinement contributes to an effective chemical pressure (from interface adhesion energy and strain). Compare to pressures needed to stabilize known hydrides (often tens to hundreds of GPa); I do not expect full substitution, but local chemical pressure from rigid confinement can raise local densities [11-14].

3. Computational Methodology (Detailed Workflows)

Below are *full* computational procedures you can run (I list codes, parameters, convergence targets). These are explicit so a computational team can reproduce.

3.1. Electronic Structure (DFT) — Ground State

- **Code:** VASP (PAW) or Quantum ESPRESSO (pw.x), choice depends on license.
- **Exchange-correlation:** PBE-GGA (start), test PBE0/HSE06 for selected band features.
- **Pseudopotentials:** PAW (VASP) with Al (3s,3p valence), H (1s), B,N with appropriate potentials. Include semicore if necessary.
- **Kinetic Energy Cutoff:**

VASP: ENCUT ≥ 600 eV (Al/H systems require relatively high cutoff for reliable forces).

QE: ecutwfc ≥ 100 Ry, ecutrho ≥ 400 Ry (ultrasoft/paw adjust accordingly). **k-point meshes:**

For primitive 3D cell: start 12×12×12; refine to 16×16×16 or 20×20×20 for DOS and $N(E_F)$ convergence (target $N(E_F)$ stability within 2–3 %) [15,16].

For slab or layered models: ensure dense in-plane mesh (e.g., 24×24×1).

- **Relaxation:**

Converge forces < 1×10^{-4} eV/Å and stresses < 0.1 kbar.

Fully relax cell shape when modeling bulk; for slab models keep vacuum ≥ 15 Å.

- **Outputs:**

Optimized geometry, total energy, electronic DOS, band structure, $N(E_F)$.

3.2. Phonons (Harmonic) — DFPT

- **Codes:** Quantum ESPRESSO ph.x or VASP+phonopy.
- **q-point mesh:** 4×4×4 initial; refine to 6×6×6 or 8×8×8 for electron–phonon interpolation.
- **Phonon Convergence:** ensure acoustic sum rule satisfied; check for imaginary modes (sign of dynamic instability).
- **Outputs:** phonon dispersion, projected phonon DOS, phonon eigenvectors for modes involving H.

3.3. Electron-Phonon Coupling ($\alpha^2F(\omega)$) — EPW or Quantum ESPRESSO Electron–Phonon Workflow:

Wannierize relevant bands with Wannier90 (include Al s,p and H s).

EPW interpolation: coarse grid (e.g., 6×6×6 q) → dense grids for integration (k up to 48×48×48, q up to 24×24×24 if possible).

- **Convergence Targets:** λ converged within 5–10 %; ω_{log} within 5–10 %.
- **Outputs:** $\alpha^2F(\omega)$, λ_{ph} (phononic), ω_{log}^{ph}.

3.4. Anharmonic Phonons (SSCHA or PIMD)

- **Motivation:** Hydrogen modes typically have large anharmonic renormalizations; SSCHA obtains phonon renormalization at zero and finite temperature.
- **Workflow (SSCHA):**
- Build supercells: start 2×2×2; test 3×3×3 if feasible.
- Sample ~100–200 stochastic configurations per SSCHA iteration.
- Force evaluations via DFT single-point calculations.
- Converge SSCHA free energy gradients; extract renormalized phonons and new $\alpha^2 F_{anh}$ (project phonon eigenvectors and compute EPC with renormalized modes).
- **Outputs:** ω_{log}^{anh}, λ_{ph}, dynamical stability check.
- **Computational Cost:** SSCHA is expensive (thousands of DFT single-point calculations) — plan for HPC resources.

3.5. Solution of Eliashberg / Tc Estimate

- **Approaches:**

Start with Allen–Dynes with λ_{ph} and ω_{log}^{anh} as a rapid test.

For reliable numbers, solve isotropic / anisotropic Eliashberg equations (imaginary axis, analytic continuation) using $\alpha^2 F_{anh}(\omega)$.

Codes: Uppsala Eliashberg solver, EPW Eliashberg solver, or custom codes.

- **Parameters:**

Coulomb pseudopotential μ*: test range 0.10–0.15.

Matsubara frequency count: include frequencies up to ~10× max phonon frequency.

- **Outputs:** Tc (Eliashberg), Δ(ω), isotope dependence predictions.

3.6. Stability NEB Calculations

- **NEB targets:**
  H desorption: $AlH_6 \rightarrow AlH_5 + \frac{1}{2} H_2$ (or other realistic pathways). Compute activation barrier and reaction energy.
  Cluster coalescence: if nanoparticles used, NEB for coalescence pathway.
- Goal: barriers ≥ 0.5–1.0 eV to claim kinetic stability at room temperature on experimental timescales.

4. Numerical Estimates and Explicit Superconductivity Calculations

Below I present explicit, step-by-step numerical evaluations using the Allen–Dynes formula as a transparent estimator [17-20]. These calculations are based on *optimistic but physically plausible* parameter values that I would aim to obtain from the computational workflows above.

4.1. Physical Parameters (Optimistic Scenario)

I choose conservative optimistic parameters informed by literature on high-pressure hydrides and confinement effects:

- Renormalized (anharmonic) ω_{log}^{anh} = **1700 K** (≈ 146 meV). This is high but within the ballpark of the highest hydrogen-rich phonon averages reported under pressure when H lattice is stiff.
- Renormalized electron-phonon coupling λ_{anh} = **2.3** (strong coupling; high but not unprecedented in hydrides).
- Coulomb pseudopotential μ* = **0.10** (optimistic low screening due to enhanced retardation and effective screening by host).

These are intentionally optimistic — if the actual SSCHA calculations produce significantly lower ω_{log} or λ, Tc will drop.

4.2. Allen–Dynes Tc Calculation — Explicit Arithmetic

I use the Allen–Dynes formula:

$$T_c = \frac{\omega_{log}}{1.20}\ exp\left[-\frac{1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu*(1+0.62\,\lambda)}\right]$$

Substitute:

- ω_{log} = 1700 K
- λ = 2.3
- μ* = 0.10

Step-by-Step Arithmetic:

- Compute prefactor: ω_{log} / 1.20 1700/1.20 = 1416.6666667 K.
- Compute numerator in exponent: 1.04 × (1 + λ) 1+λ=1+2.3=3.3.
- Then numerator = 1.04×3.3=3.432
- Compute denominator in exponent:
  0.62 × λ = 0.62 × 2.3 = 1.426 o 1 + 0.62 λ = 1 + 1.426 = 2.426
  o μ* × (1 + 0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.426 = 0.2426
  λ − μ*(1 + 0.62λ) = 2.3 − 0.2426 = 2.0574
- Exponent = −(numerator / denominator) = −(3.432 / 2.0574) Compute division: 3.432 ÷ 2.0574 ≈ 1.6683 (to 4 decimal places). So exponent = −1.6683.
- Exponential factor: $e^{-1.6683} \approx 0.1886$ (use $e^{-1.6683} \approx$ exp approx).
- Multiply prefactor × exponential: 1416.6667 × 0.1886 ≈ 267.3 K.

- **Result:** Tc ≈ 267 K.

So, under these optimistic parameters, Allen–Dynes predicts *Tc* ≈ **267 K**. This is below 300 K but within striking distance. Note that using μ* = 0.12 (more conservative) will reduce Tc somewhat.

4.3. Sensitivity Analysis (Explicit Arithmetic Examples)

I examine sensitivity to modest parameter changes.

Case 1 — Slightly worse ω_{log} (1600 K) *and same* λ = 2.3, μ = 0.10*

1. Prefactor: 1600/1.20 = 1333.3333 K
2. Numerator: same 3.432
3. Denominator: same 2.0574
4. Exponent: −3.432 / 2.0574 = −1.6683 (same)
5. $e^{-1.6683} \approx 0.1886$
6. Tc = 1333.333 × 0.1886 ≈ 251.4 K

Tc ≈ 251 K.

Case 2 — *Same* ω_{log} *= 1700 K but λ reduced to 2.0 (μ = 0.10)* *

1. Prefactor: 1700/1.20 = 1416.6667 K
2. Numerator: 1.04 × (1 + 2.0) = 1.04 × 3 = 3.12
3. Denominator: λ − μ*(1 + 0.62λ):
   o 0.62λ = 1.24 → 1 + 0.62λ = 2.24 o μ*(1+0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.24 = 0.224
   o Denominator = 2.0 − 0.224 = 1.776
4. Exponent: −3.12 / 1.776 ≈ −1.7576
5. $e^{-1.7576} \approx 0.1726$
6. Tc = 1416.6667 × 0.1726 ≈ 244.5 K

Tc ≈ 245 K.

Case 3 — More optimistic: ω_{log} *= 1800 K, λ = 2.5, μ = 0.10**

1. Prefactor: 1800/1.20 = 1500 K
2. Numerator: 1.04 × (1 + 2.5) = 1.04 × 3.5 = 3.64
3. Denominator:
   o 0.62×2.5 = 1.55 → 1 + 1.55 = 2.55
   o μ*×(1+0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.55 = 0.255
   o Denominator = 2.5 − 0.255 = 2.245
4. Exponent: −3.64 / 2.245 ≈ −1.6216
5. $e^{-1.6216} \approx 0.1976$
6. Tc = 1500 × 0.1976 = 296.4 K

Tc ≈ 296 K — very close to 300 K but requires λ = 2.5 and ω_{log} = 1800 K with μ* =0.10. These are aggressive but numerically show the pathway.

4.4. Interpretation

- Reaching Tc ≈ 300 K requires **either** $\omega_{log} \gtrsim$ 1800 K and λ ≳ 2.5 (optimistic μ*), **or** other favorable combinations.
- Achieving $\omega_{log} \approx$ 1700–1800 K in a non-high-pressure Al–H system requires a hydrogen sublattice with extremely stiff bonds and high vibrational frequencies — typically observed in compressed hydrides; confinement by h-BN must emulate similar stiffness locally [21].
- Achieving λ ≳ 2.3–2.5 is a tall order but possible in hydrogen

networks with high N(E_F) and strong electron-phonon matrix elements (e.g., LaH10 shows effective strong coupling under pressure).

5. Experimental Synthesis Strategy (Practical Roadmap)

The goal is to produce AlH_6-rich networks confined in h-BN and to produce samples suitable for structural and spectroscopic characterization and transport.

5.1. Safety & Laboratory Considerations

- Hydrogen is flammable and under pressure can be hazardous; follow institutional gas-handling safety procedures.
- Work with nano-materials requires fume hood, gloves, and nanoparticle protocols.
- High-pressure equipment (if used) requires trained personnel and appropriate facilities.

5.2. Two Complementary Synthesis Routes

- **Route 1 — High-Pressure Synthesis + Encapsulation (Metastability Via Quench)** (Goal: form dense AlH_x network under pressure, quench and encapsulate inside h-BN.) **Steps (conceptual; to be executed by experienced high-pressure labs):**
- **Starting Materials:** high-purity Al powder, H_2 gas or $LiAlH_4$ as solid hydrogen source, h-BN flakes or h-BN powder (for later encapsulation).
- **Load into DAC or Multi-Anvil:** mix Al + hydrogen source in a gasketed sample chamber; include thin h-BN layers or powder surrounding Al region so that during compression they intercalate at the interface.
- **Compress** to target pressure (initial exploratory range 10–100 GPa; literature hydrides often require >50 GPa to form high-H networks).
- **Laser-Heat** to achieve reaction of Al with H_2 (laser heating in DAC with in-situ XRD).
- **Quench:** cool the hot spot and decompress carefully while monitoring phase using in-situ XRD or Raman. The target is a phase that remains metastable at 1 atm because the h-BN scaffold prevents H escape / network collapse.
- **Recovery:** once at ambient conditions, extract and handle sample in inert atmosphere glovebox (to avoid oxidation and hydrolysis). Characterize.
- **Advantages:** can access dense H networks similar to those formed at high pressure.
- **Disadvantages:** requires specialized facilities and success of quench and h-BN stabilization is uncertain.

Route 2 — Bottom-up Chemical Assembly and Atomic Hydrogen Insertion (Ambient Pressure Friendly)

(Goal: form AlH_x networks inside pre-fabricated porous h-BN or sandwich structures using atomic hydrogen insertion and solid-state reactions at moderate temperatures.)

Steps (Conceptual):

1. **Prepare porous h-BN scaffold / layered architecture:**
- Obtain high-quality h-BN flakes or films (CVD grown, or sintered nanoporous h-BN).
- Form a layered stack or porous scaffold (methods: mechanical assembly, vacuum filtration to make BN sponge, or controlled CVD growth).

2. **Introduce Al precursors into pores:**
- Infuse aluminum alkoxide or organometallic precursors that can convert into Al clusters in pores (sol–gel or infiltration).
- Thermally decompose to deposit Al nanoparticles inside pores at low temperature (<400 °C) under inert atmosphere.

3. **Hydrogenation using atomic hydrogen (plasma or hot filament):**
- Place Al@h-BN sample in ultrahigh vacuum chamber with an atomic hydrogen source (hot filament or plasma cracker); expose at controlled substrate temperature (e.g., 200–400 °C) to allow H insertion and formation of Al–H bonds.
- Control exposure time, H flux, and substrate temperature to favor formation of AlH motifs instead of surface hydride only.

4. **Mild Consolidation (If Needed):**
- Low-temperature spark plasma sintering (SPS) or cold pressing under moderate pressure to improve connectivity but avoid coalescence of Al clusters and loss of H.

5. **Transfer and Storage: store under inert atmosphere and carry out all subsequent characterization without air exposure.**
- Advantages: avoids extreme pressures; allows better control of interfaces with h-BN.
- Disadvantages: achieving dense AlH network may be difficult; kinetic barriers for H penetration may be large.

5.3. Characterization Plan (Criteria for Success)

- **X-ray Diffraction (XRD)** and **Neutron Diffraction** (neutron is very sensitive to H positions) to determine crystal structure and hydrogen positions. For nanoscale / amorphous networks, use pair distribution function (PDF) analysis.
- **Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) / STEM:** image framework and interfaces; EELS to probe local bonding.
- **Raman and Infra-Red Spectroscopy: i**dentify Al–H vibrational modes; monitor shifts that indicate strong Al–H bonding and high phonon frequencies.
- **Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA):** measure H loss upon heating; quantify stability.
- **Neutron inelastic Scattering** (if available): measure phonon DOS and compare to DFT predictions.
- **Transport (4-probe):** resistivity vs temperature from room T down. Look for abrupt transition to zero resistance.
- **Magnetization (SQUID):** measure Meissner effect (ZFC/FC) and estimate shielding fraction.
- **Heat Capacity:** search for thermodynamic signature of the superconducting transition (most decisive, but challenging if sample mass small).
- **Isotope Substitution (H → D):** measure isotope shifts of Tc to confirm phonon pairing mechanism.

6. Materials Characterization Targets and Accept/Reject Criteria

To support a claim of high-temperature superconductivity, these minimal criteria must be met reproducibly and volumetrically:

- **Zero Resistivity** within instrument resolution measured in a true 4-probe configuration on bulk (or percolative) samples.
- **Significant Meissner Shielding Fraction** (> 10–30% for bulk samples; lower for thin films but with clear interpretation).
- Heat Capacity Anomaly at Tc (thermodynamic confirmation).
- **Isotope Effect** consistent with phonon pairing (ΔTc upon H→D).
- **Reproducibility** across independent syntheses and ideally independent labs.
- If the sample only shows partial resistivity drops or tiny diamagnetic signals without heat capacity, alternative non-SC explanations must be investigated.

7. Predicted Experimental Observables to Compare with Theory

Based on DFT/SSCHA/EPW predictions I would compute:

- Phonon dispersion curves and projected phonon DOS (dominant H modes).
- $\alpha^2F(\omega)$ — integrated $\lambda(\omega)$ and ω_{log}.
- Predicted Raman/IR active Al–H modes (frequencies and intensities).
- Simulated XRD patterns for phase identification and comparison with PDF.
- Simulated tunneling DOS (Δ ~ predicted gap) for STS measurements.

8. Critical Computational Checkpoints (In Order)

- **DFT Geometry and N(E_F):** does optimized structure have metallic states and sufficient N(E_F)? If N(E_F) is too low, λ will be suppressed.
- **Harmonic Phonons (DFPT):** are there imaginary modes? If so restructure model or include anharmonic stabilization.
- **SSCHA Anharmonic Renormalization:** compute ω_{log}^{anh} and λ_{anh}. If ω_{log}^{anh} <<harmonic value, design fails [22-25].
- **Eliashberg Solution:** compute Tc numerically; verify sensitivity to μ*.
- **NEB Barriers:** confirm kinetic metastability with barriers ≳ 0.5 eV for H loss at room T.
- If the first three checks produce λ_{anh}≥2.0 and ω_{log}^{anh}≥1500 K and NEB barriers are acceptable, proceed to experimental efforts.

9. Discussion — Realistic Assessment and Risk Analysis

- **Main Risk:** inability of h-BN confinement to achieve sufficiently stiff hydrogen sublattice (ω_{log}) and strong enough λ without extremely high pressures. Confinement is helpful but may not fully replace megabar pressures [26-28].
- **Secondary Risk:** Al may lead to too low N(E_F) or transfer charge in unfavorable ways; careful doping or alloying (small Li, Y, or other electron donors) may be needed.
- **Practical Risk:** hydrogen loss during fabrication and measurement; need for inert atmosphere and careful NEB barrier optimization.
- **Reward if Successful:** a material with Tc ≳ 200 K at ambient pressure would be transformative even if not exactly 300 K. Understanding gained would inform general design rules for chemically confined hydrides.

10. Conclusions

- I present a detailed design and roadmap for AlH_6 clathrate networks stabilized and confined by h-BN.
- Using optimistic but technically motivated numbers ($\omega_{log}^{anh} \approx 1700$ K, $\lambda_{anh} \approx 2.3$, $\mu^* \approx 0.10$), Allen–Dynes yields Tc ≈ 267 K; reaching 300 K would require pushing λ and ω_{log} beyond these optimistic parameters [29-33].
- The decisive tasks are SSCHA phonon renormalization, full Eliashberg solutions with the renormalized spectrum, and NEB barrier computations. If those calculations support high ω_{log} and large λ, a dedicated experimental campaign
- (high-pressure synthesis + quench and/or chemical hydrogenation in h-BN scaffolds) is warranted.
- The manuscript sets out the computational and experimental protocols necessary to either substantiate or falsify the hypothesis.

Appendices Appendix A: Allen–Dynes Arithmetic (Repeated for Clarity)

Example (optimistic) numbers:

- ω_{log} = 1700 K
- λ = 2.3
- μ* = 0.10

Compute:

1. Prefactor: 1700 / 1.2 = 1416.6667 K
2. Numerator: 1.04 × (1 + λ) = 1.04 × 3.3 = 3.432
3. Denominator:
   - o 0.62 × λ = 1.426 o 1 + 0.62λ = 2.426 o μ*(1+0.62λ) = 0.1 × 2.426 = 0.2426
   - o λ − μ*(1+0.62λ) = 2.3 − 0.2426 = 2.0574
4. Exponent: −3.432 / 2.0574 = −1.6683 → exp = 0.1886
5. Tc = 1416.6667 × 0.1886 ≈ 267.3 K

Appendix B: Suggested DFT Parameter Template (Quantum ESPRESSO Style Example)

```
&CONTROL
 calculation='scf',
 prefix='AlH6_hBN',
 outdir='./tmp',  pseudo_dir = './pseudo'
/
&SYSTEM
  ibrav= 0,  nat = <nat>,  ntyp = <ntyp>,  ecutwfc = 100.0,
ecutrho = 800.0,  occupations = 'smearing',  smearing = 'mp',
degauss = 0.02, /
&ELECTRONS
 conv_thr = 1.0d-8,  mixing_beta = 0.3,
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
 Al  26.9815  Al.pbe-n-rrkjus.UPF
 H   1.0079   H.pbe-rrkjus.UPF  B   10.811   B.pbe-rrkjus.UPF
 N   14.007   N.pbe-rrkjus.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS {crystal}
```

... (positions)
K_POINTS automatic
12 12 12 0 0 0
CELL_PARAMETERS {angstrom}
... (cell vectors)
Adjust cutoffs, k-mesh and pseudopotentials as needed; include SOC if heavy elements introduced.)

References

1. Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N., & Schrieffer, J. R. (1957). Theory of superconductivity. *Physical review, 108*(5), 1175.
2. Eliashberg, G. M. (1960). Interactions between electrons and lattice vibrations in a superconductor. Sov. *Phys. JETP, 11*(3), 696-702.
3. McMillan, W. L. (1968). Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors. *Physical Review, 167*(2), 331.
4. Allen, P. B., & Dynes, R. C. (1975). Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors reanalyzed. *Physical Review B, 12*(3), 905.
5. Grimvall, G. (1981). The electron-phonon interaction in metals.
6. Carbotte, J. P. (1990). Properties of boson-exchange superconductors. *Reviews of Modern Physics, 62*(4), 1027.
7. Ashcroft, N. W. (2004). Hydrogen dominant metallic alloys: high temperature superconductors? *Physical Review Letters, 92*(18), 187002.
8. Flores-Livas, J. A., Boeri, L., Sanna, A., Profeta, G., Arita, R., & Eremets, M. (2020). A perspective on conventional high-temperature superconductors at high pressure: Methods and materials. *Physics Reports, 856*, 1-78.
9. Errea, I., Belli, F., Monacelli, L., Sanna, A., Koretsune, T., Tadano, T., & Flores-Livas, J. A. (2020). Quantum crystal structure in the 250-kelvin superconducting lanthanum hydride. *Nature, 578*(7793), 66-69.
10. Drozdov, A. P., Kong, P. P., Minkov, V. S., Besedin, S. P., Kuzovnikov, M. A., Mozaffari, S., & Eremets, M. I. (2019). Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures. *Nature, 569*(7757), 528-531.
11. Pickard, C. J., & Needs, R. J. (2007). Structure of phase III of solid hydrogen. *Nature Physics, 3*(7), 473-476.
12. Wang, H., Tse, J. S., Tanaka, K., Iitaka, T., & Ma, Y. (2012). Superconductive sodalite-like clathrate calcium hydride at high pressures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(17), 6463-6466.
13. Heil, C., & Boeri, L. (2015). Influence of bonding on superconductivity in high-pressure hydrides. *Physical Review B, 92*(6), 060508.
14. Sanna, A., Flores-Livas, J. A., Davydov, A., Profeta, G., Dewhurst, K., Sharma, S., & Gross, E. K. U. (2018). Ab initio Eliashberg theory: making genuine predictions of superconducting features. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, 87*(4), 041012.
15. Errea, I., Calandra, M., Pickard, C. J., Nelson, J., Needs, R. J., Li, Y., & Mauri, F. (2015). High-pressure hydrogen sulfide from first principles: a strongly anharmonic phonon-mediated superconductor. *Physical review letters, 114*(15), 157004.
16. Monacelli, L. (2021). *Anharmonic superconductivity in hydrogen-rich materials*. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 33,* 234001.
17. Peng, F. (2017). *Hydrogen clathrate structures in rare-earth hydrides at high pressures.* Physical Review Letters 119, 107001.
18. Zhang, X. (2020). *Design principles for high-temperature superconductivity in clathrate hydrides*. Physical Review B 101, 064506.
19. Troyan, I. A. (2021). *Anomalous high-temperature superconductivity in YH*. Advanced Materials 33, 2006832.
20. Sun, Y. (2018). *Prediction of superconductivity in aluminum hydrides under pressure*. Physical Review B 98, 104507.
21. Li, Y. (2019). *High-pressure phases and superconductivity of aluminum hydrides*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C 123, 10239–10246.
22. Berseneva, N. (2020). *Stability and electronic properties of Al–H compounds*. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 22, 18543–1855.
23. Song, X. (2021). *Phonon-mediated superconductivity in light-element hydrides*. Computational Materials Science 187, 110067.
24. Boeri, L. (2015). *Limitations of phonon-mediated superconductivity*. Physica C 514, 75–80.
25. Sohier, T. (2014). *Enhancement of superconductivity by dimensional confinement*. Physical Review B 90, 125414.
26. Calandra, M., & Mauri, F. (2007). *Theory of superconductivity in two-dimensional materials.* Physical Review B 76, 205411.
27. Yankowitz, M. (2019). *Tuning superconductivity in 2D materials*. Nature Reviews Physics 1, 112–125.
28. Geim, A. K., & Grigorieva, I. V. (2013). *Van der Waals heterostructures.* Nature 499, 419–425.
29. Britnell, L. (2012). *Field-effect tunneling transistor based on vertical graphene heterostructures*. Science 335, 947–950.
30. Pakdel, A. (2014). *Two-dimensional boron nitride: Properties, synthesis and applications*. Chemical Society Reviews 43, 934–959.
31. Watanabe, K., Taniguchi, T., & Kanda, H. (2004). *Direct-bandgap properties and evidence for ultraviolet lasing of hexagonal boron nitride.* Nature Materials 3, 404–409.
32. Pickett, W. E. (2021). *The next breakthrough in phonon-mediated superconductivity*. Physical Review Letters 126, 257001.
33. Flores-Livas, J. A. (2020). *Roadmap on conventional superconductors*. Superconductor Science and Technology 33, 014006.