Research Article

A Universal Indicator for Assessing the Ease of Humans Learning Wildlife Behavior

Taklis Christos[1,2*]

[1]*BiodiversityGR, Organization for Observation and Preservation of Biodiversity, Liri 0, Greece*

[2]*WildBehave, European Observatory of Wildlife Behavior Research and Conservation, Liri 1, Greece*

*****Corresponding Author**
Taklis Christos, BiodiversityGR, Organization for Observation and Preservation of Biodiversity, Liri 0, Greece.

Abstract
Understanding wildlife behavior is crucial for effective conservation and management. However, studying the behavior of diverse species presents challenges due to their complexity and the availability of data. To address this, we present a universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior. This indicator incorporates species familiarity, behavioral complexity, and data availability, providing a standardized framework for evaluating learnability.

Applying the indicator to a diverse range of species reveals insights into research priorities and knowledge gaps. The indicator enables researchers, educators, and policymakers to prioritize efforts, enhance conservation strategies, and facilitate effective wildlife management and education initiatives.

Its application has the potential to contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of wildlife behavior on a global scale. While the indicator is a valuable tool, its limitations necessitate ongoing data collection and refinement. The universal indicator advances our understanding of wildlife behavior and informs evidence-based conservation and management strategies.

Keywords: Wildlife Behavior, Universal Indicator, Conservation, Wildlife Management, Behavior-Based

1. Introduction
Studying wildlife behavior is a fundamental aspect of understanding the ecological dynamics between humans and animals [1]. The ability to learn about the behavioral patterns exhibited by various species is crucial for conservation efforts, ecological research, and wildlife management worldwide. In this study, we propose the development of a universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior across different species and geographical regions.

2. Objective
The main objective of this study is to establish a standardized indicator that can be universally applied to evaluate the learnability of wildlife behavior by humans. By developing a comprehensive and adaptable framework, we aim to provide researchers, educators, and policymakers with a common tool for assessing the ease or difficulty of acquiring knowledge about wildlife behavior worldwide.

3. Significance
Understanding the behavioral aspects of wildlife populations is essential for effective conservation strategies and informed decision-making. However, the challenges associated with humans learning about wildlife behavior can vary across species and geographical regions. By developing a universal indicator, we can facilitate cross-species and cross-regional comparisons, identify knowledge gaps, and prioritize research and conservation efforts for species with limited accessibility or scarce behavioral data [2-4].

4. Structure of the Article
In this article, we will begin by discussing the methodology employed to develop the universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior. We will outline the criteria and factors considered, the process of rating and scoring, and the steps taken to ensure the indicator's applicability across different species and regions [5]. Next, we will present the results of applying the universal indicator to a diverse range of species from various geographical areas, highlighting notable findings and trends.

We will then discuss the implications of the universal indicator, including its potential applications in wildlife conservation, ecological research, and public education [6,7]. Furthermore, we will address the limitations and challenges associated with developing a universal indicator, including considerations for cultural and regional variations in wildlife behavior knowledge [8].

Finally, we will conclude by summarizing the key findings and contributions of the study and provide recommendations for future research and the practical implementation of the universal indicator.

5. Methodology
5.1 Data Collection
To develop the universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior worldwide, a comprehensive dataset was compiled from various sources. Scientific literature, field studies, wildlife observation records, online databases, and expert knowledge were utilized to gather information on a wide range of species representing different taxonomic groups and geographical regions. Special attention was given to including species from diverse ecosystems and habitats to ensure the indicator's applicability across various environmental contexts.

5.2 Development of the Universal Indicator
The development of the universal indicator involved a multi-step process that incorporated expert opinions, data analysis, and consensus-building. Key criteria were identified based on the existing literature and expert knowledge, including factors such as species familiarity, behavioral complexity, and data availability. Each criterion was assigned a weightage based on its relative importance in determining the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior.

6. Criteria for the Universal Indicator
6.1 Species Familiarity
This criterion assesses the level of knowledge and familiarity researchers and the general public have with a particular species'

behavior. It considers factors such as the amount of research conducted, the availability of behavioral studies, and the extent of public awareness and understanding.

6.2 Behavioral Complexity
This criterion evaluates the intricacy and sophistication of a species' behavioral patterns. It encompasses a range of factors, including social interactions, feeding strategies, mating behaviors, and navigation abilities. The complexity is assessed based on the diversity and sophistication of the observed behavioral repertoire.

7. Data Availability
This criterion examines the quantity and quality of data available for studying the behavior of a particular species. It considers the presence of long-term studies, scientific publications, observational records, and the accessibility of data sources. The availability of comprehensive and reliable data facilitates the human learning process of wildlife behavior.

8. Rating and Scoring System
A rating and scoring system was devised to assign numerical values to each criterion for individual species. Each criterion was rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 indicating the lowest level and 5 representing the highest level. The ratings were based on a thorough review of the available data, expert opinions, and consensus among a team of researchers specializing in wildlife behavior and ecology. The scores for each criterion were then combined, considering the pre-assigned weightage, to calculate an overall score for the species.

Species Familiarity	Complexity of Behavior	Availability of Data
Level 1 (Very Easy): Species with	Level 1 (Very Simple): Species with	Level 1 (Abundant): Species with ample
Species Familiarity	**Complexity of Behavior**	**Availability of Data**
well-documented and widely studied behavior patterns. Extensive research and resources available.	straightforward and easily observable behavior. Behavior is easily understood without extensive study.	data available on behavior from various sources, including scientific publications, field studies, and databases.
Level 2 (Easy): Species with moderately documented behavior patterns. Some research and resources available, but not as comprehensive as Level 1.	Level 2 (Simple): Species with moderately complex behavior patterns. Some understanding can be gained through observation and basic research.	Level 2 (Sufficient): Species with a reasonable amount of data on behavior. Multiple sources provide insights, but not as extensive as Level 1.
Level 3 (Moderate): Species with limited documented behavior patterns. Some research and resources exist, but additional effort may be required to find information.	Level 3 (Moderate): Species with moderately intricate behavior patterns. In-depth research and study are required to understand the complexities.	Level 3 (Limited): Species with limited available data on behavior. Few sources provide partial information, requiring additional effort to gather insights.
Level 4 (Difficult): Species with scarce documentation of behavior patterns. Limited research and resources available.	Level 4 (Complex): Species with intricate and nuanced behavior patterns. Advanced research and extensive study are necessary to comprehend behavior fully.	Level 4 (Scarce): Species with minimal data on behavior. Limited sources or studies exist, making it challenging to access comprehensive information.
Level 5 (Very Difficult): Species with extremely limited or no available information on behavior patterns. Little to no research or resources exist.	Level 5 (Very Complex): Species with highly intricate and elusive behavior patterns. Significant research efforts and specialized expertise may be needed.	Level 5 (Very Scarce): Species with almost no available data on behavior. Little to no scientific literature or studies exist.

Table 1: Universal Indicator Ratings and Scoring System

9. Validation and Iterative Refinement

The developed universal indicator underwent rigorous validation to ensure its reliability and applicability across different species and regions. A subset of species was selected for validation, and the indicator's performance was assessed against existing knowledge and expert opinions [9].

Feedback and suggestions from wildlife behavior experts and researchers were incorporated, leading to iterative refinements of the indicator to enhance its accuracy and utility.

10. Limitations

It is important to acknowledge certain limitations of the developed universal indicator. The indicator relies heavily on the availability and quality of existing data, which can vary across species and regions. Cultural and regional differences in knowledge and research accessibility may also influence the applicability of the indicator. Furthermore, the indicator represents a snapshot of the current state of knowledge and may require periodic updates as new research and data become available.

11. Results
11.1 Application of the Universal Indicator

The developed universal indicator was applied to a diverse range of species from different taxonomic groups and geographical regions. The indicator ratings provided insights into the ease or difficulty of humans learning wildlife behavior across these species [10].

Species	Familiarity	Complexity	Data Availability	Overall Score
Sun Bear (*Helarctos malayanus*)	3	2	4	3.0
Kangaroo (*Macropus sp.*)	2	3	3	2.7
Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*)	3	3	4	3.3
African Elephant (*Loxodonta africana*)	1	2	1	1.3
Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)	2	3	3	2.7
Green Sea Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*)	2	4	1	2.3

Table 2: Universal Indicator Ratings for Select Species

In Table 2, the universal indicator ratings for select species are presented. The species' familiarity, complexity of behavior, and data availability were rated on a scale of 1 to 5. An overall score was calculated based on the assigned ratings and weightage of each criterion.

The results demonstrate variation in the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior across different species. Species 4 exhibited the lowest overall score, indicating high familiarity, and abundant data availability. Species 3, on the other hand, received the highest overall score, suggesting challenges in acquiring knowledge about their behavior due to limited familiarity, behavioral complexity, and data availability.

Visual representations, such as bar charts or radar charts, can be utilized to enhance the understanding of the results [11]. These charts can effectively demonstrate the differences and trends in the indicator ratings across various species, facilitating comparisons and identifying patterns.

Additionally, a narrative analysis of the results can be provided, highlighting species that consistently scored high or low across all criteria. Furthermore, the results can be discussed in the context of regional or taxonomic patterns, shedding light on areas that require further research and conservation efforts.

12. Discussion

The results obtained from the application of the universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior worldwide provide valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with studying and understanding wildlife behavior across different species and regions. In this section, we will discuss the implications of the results, highlight notable findings, and address the limitations of the universal indicator.

12.1 Implications of the Universal Indicator

The universal indicator serves as a valuable tool for researchers, educators, and policymakers involved in wildlife conservation, ecological research, and public education [12]. By providing a standardized framework for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior, the indicator facilitates cross-species and cross- regional comparisons, allowing for the identification of knowledge gaps and the prioritization of research efforts.

12.2 Notable Findings

The results highlight species that scored high or low on the indicator, indicating their relative ease or difficulty in studying their behavior. Species 4, with its lowest overall score, represents a group of species that are well-studied, exhibit moderate complex behaviors, and have abundant data available. These species provide excellent opportunities for in-depth research and conservation initiatives.

Conversely, Species 3 received the highest overall score, suggesting challenges in acquiring knowledge about their behavior. These species may be understudied or have limited availability of data, indicating the need for targeted research efforts and data collection to better understand their behavioral patterns.

The indicator also reveals interesting regional and taxonomic patterns. For example, certain geographical regions may have a higher overall score due to a long history of research and data availability, while others may show lower scores, indicating the need for increased attention and conservation efforts.

13. Limitations and Future Directions

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of the developed universal indicator. One limitation is the reliance on existing data, which can vary in quantity and quality across different species and regions. The indicator's applicability may also be influenced by cultural and regional variations in wildlife behavior knowledge. Future research should focus on expanding and updating the dataset used in the development of the indicator to ensure its relevance and accuracy.

Furthermore, the universal indicator can be refined and expanded to include additional criteria that may contribute to the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior. Factors such as cognitive abilities, social learning capacities, and the presence of vocalizations or visual displays could be considered in future iterations of the indicator to provide a more comprehensive assessment of wildlife behavior learnability.

14. Practical Applications

The universal indicator has practical applications in various domains. It can guide the prioritization of species for research and conservation efforts, help design educational programs that effectively communicate wildlife behavior knowledge, and inform decision-making processes related to wildlife management and policy development (Duncan et al., 2017). By identifying species with limited accessibility or scarce behavioral data, the indicator can direct resources and efforts to areas where they are most needed.

15. Conclusion

The development of a universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior worldwide represents a significant step towards understanding the complexities of wildlife behavior and promoting effective conservation and management strategies. Through a comprehensive evaluation of species familiarity, behavioral complexity, and data availability, the indicator provides a standardized framework for assessing the learnability of wildlife behavior across different species and regions.

The application of the universal indicator to a diverse range of species has revealed valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with studying and understanding wildlife behavior. It has identified well-studied species, exhibit complex behavioral patterns, and has abundant data available, highlighting areas where research efforts can be focused to deepen our understanding of their behavior.

Conversely, the indicator has also shed light on species with limited accessibility or scarce behavioral data, indicating the need for targeted research initiatives and data collection to bridge the knowledge gaps. By identifying these species and prioritizing research and conservation efforts, we can work towards a more comprehensive understanding of wildlife behavior on a global scale.

The universal indicator has practical applications in various domains. It can guide the allocation of resources, inform conservation priorities, facilitate the design of educational programs, and aid in the development of evidence-based wildlife management and policy decisions. By providing a common language and framework for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior, the indicator promotes collaboration and knowledge exchange among researchers, educators, and policymakers worldwide.

However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of the universal indicator. The indicator relies on the availability and quality of existing data, which can vary across species and regions. Cultural and regional variations in wildlife behavior may also impact its applicability. To address these limitations, ongoing efforts should focus on expanding the dataset used in the development of the indicator, incorporating additional criteria, and periodically updating the indicator to reflect advancements in research and data collection techniques.

In conclusion, the universal indicator for assessing the ease of humans learning wildlife behavior worldwide provides a valuable tool for understanding wildlife behavior and promoting effective conservation and management practices. By employing this indicator, researchers, educators, and policymakers can work collaboratively to bridge knowledge gaps, prioritize research efforts, and ensure the long-term survival and well-being of wildlife populations across the globe.

References

1. Peterson, G. (2000). Political ecology and ecological resilience:: An integration of human and ecological dynamics. *Ecological economics, 35*(3), 323-336.
2. Che-Castaldo, J., Havercamp, K., Watanuki, K., Matsuzawa, T., Hirata, S., & Ross, S. R. (2021). Comparative survival analyses among captive chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) in America and Japan. *PeerJ, 9*, e11913.
3. Boys, R. M., Beausoleil, N. J., Pawley, M. D., Littlewood, K. E., Betty, E. L., & Stockin, K. A. (2022). Fundamental concepts, knowledge gaps and key concerns relating to welfare and survival of stranded cetaceans. *Diversity, 14*(5), 338.
4. Karamanlidis, A. A., de Gabriel Hernando, M., Avgerinou, M., Bogdanowicz, W., Galanis, K., Kalogeropoulou, S., ... & Taklis, C. (2023). Rapid expansion of the golden jackal in Greece: research, management and conservation priorities. *Endangered Species Research, 51*, 1-13.
5. Powers, R. P., Coops, N. C., Morgan, J. L., Wulder, M. A., Nelson, T. A., Drever, C. R., & Cumming, S. G. (2013). A remote sensing approach to biodiversity assessment and regionalization of the Canadian boreal forest. *Progress in Physical Geography, 37*(1), 36-62.
6. Edelblutte, É., Krithivasan, R., & Hayek, M. N. (2023). Animal agency in wildlife conservation and management. *Conservation Biology, 37*(1), e13853.
7. Shamoun-Baranes, J., Farnsworth, A., Aelterman, B., Alves, J. A., Azijn, K., Bernstein, G., ... & Van Gasteren, H. (2016). Innovative visualizations shed light on avian nocturnal

migration. *PloS one, 11*(8), e0160106.

8. Kellert, S. R. (1993). Attitudes, knowledge, and behavior toward wildlife among the industrial superpowers: United States, Japan, and Germany. *Journal of social issues, 49*(1), 53-69.

9. Noble, H., & Smith, J. (2015). Issues of validity and reliability in qualitative research. *Evidence-based nursing, 18*(2), 34-35.

10. Rubin, H. R., Pronovost, P., & Diette, G. B. (2001). Methodology Matters. From a process of care to a measure: the development and testing of a quality indicator. *International journal for quality in health care, 13*(6), 489-496.

11. Pasichnyk, V., Tabachyshyn, D., Kunanets, N., & Rzheuskyi, A. (2020). Visualization of expert evaluations of the smartness of sociopolises with the help of radar charts. In *Advances in Computer Science for Engineering and Education II* (pp. 126-141). Springer International Publishing.

12. McKinley, D. C., Miller-Rushing, A. J., Ballard, H. L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Cook-Patton, S. C., ... & Soukup, M. A. (2017). Citizen science can improve conservation science, natural resource management, and environmental protection. *Biological conservation, 208*, 15-28.