ISSN: 2690-0726

Review Article

Journal of Educational & Psychological Research

A Theoretical Triangulation on Piagetian Thought, Behaviorist Models of Teaching, and Vygotskian Idea About the Stimulation of Cognitive Development: A Conceptually Sound Route to Effective Education

Molla Haftu Shaine*

College of Social Sciences and Languages, Department of Psychology, Mekelle University, Ethiopia

***Corresponding Author**
Molla Haftu Shaine, College of Social Sciences and Languages, Department of Psychology, Mekelle University, Ethiopia.

Submitted: 2026, Mar 09; **Accepted:** 2026, Apr 16 ; **Published:** 2026, Jun 11

Citation: Shaine, M. H. (2026). A Theoretical Triangulation on Piagetian Thought, Behaviorist Models of Teaching, and Vygotskian Idea About the Stimulation of Cognitive Development: A Conceptually Sound Route to Effective Education. *J Edu Psyc Res, 8*(2), 01-08.

Abstract

Effective teaching and learning theories are crucial for instructional designers, offering well-established strategies to improve the learning experience and support informed decision-making. This review adopts a theoretical triangulation approach by exploring Behaviorism, Piaget's cognitive development theory, and Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, while systematically analysing their core principles. By combining their complementary strengths, it seeks to create a comprehensive and adaptable framework tailored to instructional design needs. The review further explores how curriculum development and teaching methods align with these theories to foster effective learning. It also examines educators' views on the practical implementation of these approaches. Additionally, it evaluates the Ethiopian education system, which reflects progressive principles such as learner-centered methodologies, the teacher's role as a facilitator, and the importance of cultural diversity. The review emphasizes the underlying assumptions of these concepts and their associated teaching strategies.

Keywords: Sociocultural Theory, Scaffolding, Piagetian Theory, Theoretical Triangulation, Behaviorist Models of Teaching, Vygotsky Theory, Cognitive Development

1. Background and Justification

For teachers to be truly effective, simply having expertise in a subject is insufficient for employing impactful and pedagogically sound teaching strategies. Professionalism in teaching demands that educators possess not only a solid academic foundation in their discipline but also a deep understanding of learning theories and the skills to apply them effectively in classroom instruction.

This review aims to guide instructional designers and educators involved in learning by integrating key theoretical approaches to the principles and practices of teaching. With various perspectives offered by psychologists and educators who support learning choice as a means to boost student success, teachers face the challenge of shaping instruction and creating curricula that effectively support learning in culturally and linguistically diverse environments.

This review adopts the method of theoretical triangulation. In social science research, triangulation involves utilizing multiple perspectives to shed light on a complex phenomenon - in this case, the learning process. By examining the areas of agreement and disagreement among Behaviorist, Piagetian, and Vygotskian theories, we aim to develop a deeper and more comprehensive understanding than any single theory could offer. This approach provides educators with a well-founded and adaptable set of tools for practical application.

The reviewer firmly believes that theories play a crucial role in providing practical benefits for enhancing, validating, and refining best practices. This review emphasizes the notion that a well-founded theory is highly practical, as noted by Westbrook, Durrani, Brown, Orr, Pryor, Boddy, and Salvi [1]. It also highlights that educators rely on certain theoretical frameworks to inform their professional practices.

Educational theories represent the accumulated insights and experiences of others. The purpose of this review is to present the reflections and conclusions of theorists who have thoroughly considered the educational processes and implications of these theories. By doing so, it aims to provide educators with a broader understanding of alternative approaches, enabling them to develop their own interpretations and applications.

Researchers like Ertmer and Newby highlight that understanding educational theories offers numerous advantages to teachers [2]. These benefits include gaining insights into various theoretical perspectives, learning from the observed experiences of others, receiving validation and affirmation for current practices, fostering shared educational discussions to enhance the teaching process, justifying personal teaching approaches among peers and professional communities, and safeguarding against untested or fleeting trends, among other benefits.

Knowledge of learning and development theories empowers teachers to identify key areas of interest that can be applied to others, ensuring a depth and balance of understanding [2]. However, relying solely on partial theoretical knowledge poses a risk—one may become so focused on a specific theoretical perspective that other viewpoints are disregarded.

This review aims to provide a clear and thorough exploration of Behaviorism, Jean Piaget's cognitive theory, and Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory. It will also address the practical implications of these theories in areas such as classroom practices, curriculum design, and children's developmental processes. By the end of the review, the goal is for readers to gain a well-rounded understanding of the key principles outlined. A discussion of Piaget's cognitive theory and Vygotsky's sociocultural theory is made more meaningful by first examining their predecessor, Behaviourism.

2. Theoretical Overviews

For an extended period, Behaviourism has stood as one of the most impactful and widely applicable theories of learning, asserting its foundation on scientific principles. Originating in the 1960s and 1970s, it drew inspiration from the earlier works of Thorndike, Pavlov, and Skinner [3-5]. The theory utilized the empirically established principles of classical and operant conditioning to describe learning as a process shaped by reinforcement, punishment, or trial-and-error methods. Learners are gradually guided towards success through incremental learning steps, reinforced by positive encouragement.

From a behavioral standpoint, learning is viewed as a passive process, focusing primarily on observable actions rather than internal mental activities [6]. This perspective asserts that if no observable change in behavior occurs, learning has not taken place. While behaviorists do not dispute the existence of thought processes in learners, they intentionally disregard internal mental activities because these are inaccessible for direct observation, choosing instead to emphasize measurable behaviours [6]. Early behavioral experiments often used animals as subjects, limiting observations to external behaviors and further supporting the exclusion of cognitive processes from the study of behavior. Behavioral theorists define learning as the actions individuals take in response to external stimuli, framing it simply as the acquisition of new behaviors [7].

Skinner argues that knowledge does not serve as a guide for human actions but constitutes the action itself. According to Skinner, knowledge is not used to guide human action; it is the action itself [8]. From the behaviorist perspective, intrinsic motives are difficult to observe directly, so attention should also be given to external, observable behaviors [6]. Behavioral theory emphasizes the importance of students' active involvement in the learning process and the use of immediate rewards to enhance learning outcomes [9]. When a reward is perceived as satisfactory, it reinforces the behavior, thereby promoting learning, while punishments tend to diminish undesirable behaviors [8]. Consequently, rewarding children for displaying desired behaviors increases the likelihood of them repeating those actions.

The focus on studying observable and measurable behavior implies that teachers aim to guide behavior in a desired direction. Consequently, they prioritize creating environments tailored to meet specific learning objectives. Understanding is assessed by observing changes in learners' behavior through lessons, exams, and tests [10]. This perspective on learning directly impacts teachers' selection of instructional methods.

In light of the discussion, behavioral theory places emphasis on the learner's external actions, whereas cognitivism focuses on the internal mental structures of the learner. This approach aligns with the abstract process of handling information rather than observable behavior [10]. Cognitive theorists acknowledge the significance of reinforcement but highlight its function in offering feedback on the accuracy of responses rather than serving primarily as a motivational tool.

Jean Piaget (1896–1980) is renowned as a key figure and foundational thinker in constructivist theory. His cognitive development framework emphasizes that children's active interaction with their surroundings enables them to construct knowledge and learn effectively. According to Piaget and Inhelder, the process of cognitive growth and ideological transformation arises from the interaction between pre-existing mental structures and fresh experiences [11]. For instance, a child might initially believe that a plant derives its nutrition solely through its roots. However, classroom experiments can demonstrate that plants actually produce their own food in their leaves through photosynthesis.

It is also suggested that another type of learning takes place through cognitive conflict, occurring when children's ideas are challenged by others. This creates a state of disequilibrium or disruption of their existing understanding. As a result, learners are compelled to develop new concepts that integrate various viewpoints and reestablish balance. This process unfolds internally before being

expressed externally through words and actions. This approach has been referred to as an "inside-out" theory, as described by Garton [12].

According to Piaget, children need to independently reorganize their mental schemas through their own efforts. Teachers should avoid interfering in this process by offering pre-prepared solutions, as doing so might lead children to accept authority passively without truly assimilating the knowledge [13].

Piaget's theory of cognitive development is strongly influenced by the biological sciences, as noted by Locke and Ciechalski [14]. He identifies three primary processes that contribute to intellectual development: assimilation, accommodation, and equilibration. Assimilation takes place when individuals incorporate new information into their existing cognitive frameworks. This concept presumes that a child applies previously learned responses to handle new stimuli. In essence, assimilation is the mechanism through which new environmental stimuli are integrated into an established cognitive structure [15].

Piaget describes assimilation as evidence of pre-existing structures or schemas, emphasizing that external stimuli can alter behaviour only when integrated with these prior frameworks [16]. Consequently, cognitive theory suggests that formal abstract mathematical concepts, including symbols and computational algorithms, hold significance for students only when connected to their existing informal mathematical understanding.

Baroody and Ginsburg argue that assimilation and interest are closely interconnected, enabling learners to absorb new information that holds personal meaning and piques their curiosity [17]. This implies that understanding cannot be imposed on children. Piaget initially proposed that learning does not occur through a solely encouraging environment; rather, children actively engage with and respond to elements they find meaningful. Consequently, learners assimilate new knowledge only when it is comprehensible and stimulates their interest [18].

Accommodation, conversely, refers to the process by which a child adapts to new information or integrates stimuli that do not fit within an existing framework. When a child encounters a scenario for which no prior reference exists, they must develop an entirely new structure to incorporate the new material. Alternatively, in some cases, the child may significantly modify their existing framework. In either situation, the outcome is accommodation, as described by Siegler [19].

When a child develops a new mental structure, they are then able to assimilate new experiences. Assimilation represents the final step in this developmental process. The child is continuously expanding their repertoire of existing frameworks, allowing for the integration of new experiences [14]. In this context, Mason and Johnston-Wilder emphasized that trust arises from the understanding that while an assimilation plan may apply to a particular situation, it should be adapted to align with the unique circumstances of that situation [20]. Similarly, von Glasersfeld highlighted that this second principle underscores the learning process and, as such, should be of significant interest to all educators [21].

The dual processes of assimilation and accommodation enable children to develop schemas. Effective assimilation and accommodation rely on continuous communication between teachers and learners. To revise or rebuild their existing ideas, learners must form a consistent perspective on the progression of their thoughts. Piaget refers to this as "adaptation," where children strive to maintain equilibrium by balancing assimilation and accommodation. Equilibrium is achieved when these two processes are in harmony with one another, playing a crucial role in regulating behavior [22].

When a child encounters a new experience, an imbalance occurs until they can integrate and adapt to the new information, ultimately achieving equilibrium. There are various forms of equilibrium between assimilation and accommodation, depending on the child's developmental stage and the challenges they face. The level of cognitive development influences the strategies a child uses to maintain this balance [18].

Piaget draws inspiration from biology, focusing on universal biological principles that underpin how children learn by interacting with their environment. In contrast, Vygotsky emphasizes the role of cultural and social interactions in mental development [23]. According to Vygotsky, learning is neither an entirely internal process nor merely a passive behavior. He highlights the inherently social nature of humans, describing it as "primary sociability." Social interaction holds a crucial formative and constructive role in a child's development. Higher mental functions such as conscious attention, logical memory, verbal and theoretical reasoning, and complex emotions cannot fully develop without the influence of social interaction.

He focused on the social dynamics that underpin the development of reasoning and understanding. Specifically, interactions with parents and key adults play a crucial role in shaping knowledge, which is then transmitted to children. Unlike Piaget’s inward-to-outward perspective, Vygotsky proposed an outward-to-inward framework [12].

According to Vygotsky, human activity is characterized by the extensive use of tools, with language being the most vital tool for social interaction and the construction of knowledge. He proposed that language initially serves as an external medium for communication, such as between children and their parents, before evolving into a means for thinking aloud.

At an appropriate stage, children begin engaging in internal dialogues, forming concepts or what is often referred to as "self-talk." Through this process, language transforms into a tool both for and of self-regulation. This aligns with Vygotsky's idea that all

advanced mental functions are rooted in social interactions [24]. He also emphasized the role of education as a cultural mechanism, distinguishing between scientifically structured knowledge gained through instruction and the empirical, unsupervised knowledge naturally acquired by individuals. According to Vygotsky, scientific knowledge is a cumulative result of centuries of human development, meaning children don't need to rediscover it independently [25]. For him, a key milestone in intellectual growth occurs when speech and practical activity-initially separate developmental paths-merge and begin to influence one another.

In contrast to Piaget, Vygotsky placed significant emphasis on the role of teachers and experts in fostering leadership through education. Teachers serve not only as providers of theoretical knowledge but also as facilitators who guide and support students throughout their learning journey. Vygotsky's most renowned concept, the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), represents a cognitive space where students and teachers collaborate. Within this space, teachers can evaluate a student's intellectual progress and offer tailored support to enhance their critical thinking abilities. With such guidance, students can accomplish tasks beyond their independent capabilities. Similarly, more knowledgeable peers can assume a supportive role akin to that of teachers [24].

Fundamental principles in contrast	**Theoretical Perspectives**		
	Behaviorism	**Piaget**	**Vygotsky**
View of the learner	Passive	Active individual explorer	Active social participant
Source of knowledge	External environment or reinforcement	Individual interaction with physical environment	Social interaction and cultural tools
Role of the teacher	Director and counsellor	Facilitator of exploration	Guided collaborator (More knowledgeable other-MKO)
Key learning mechanisms	Conditioning & reinforcement	Assimilation/Accommodation	Scaffolding with in the ZPD
Unit of analysis	Observable behavior	Individual cognitive schema	Socially mediated activity

3. Pedagogical Views of the Theories

Behavioral practices focus on the transmission of knowledge, highlighting the educational significance of formulas, procedures, exercises, and outcomes rather than emphasizing processes. This approach strongly prioritizes isolated and independent learning. Learning in behaviorism is structured into small, progressive tasks, which are consistently reinforced throughout the learning process. It argues that without reinforcement, the acquired responses may diminish over time. Skinner proposed that tasks should be broken down into numerous small steps, asserting that students achieve optimal learning through a linear, step-by-step approach [26]. He emphasized that repetition and continuous reinforcement of these incremental processes are crucial for students to develop proficiency in a specific area.

The system operates on a foundation of continuous repetition and skill-and-drill exercises. While learners remain actively engaged in tasks, their role often becomes passive within the learning process, especially when the teacher is positioned as the sole transmitter of knowledge. Subject expertise is delivered in a systematic, structured, and logical way, with children expected to assimilate the information presented to them. Skinner suggests that the goal of education is to equip learners with a suitable repertoire of behavioral responses to specific stimuli (skills), achieved through continual repetition and reinforcement via rewards [8].

Behavioral theory advocates for teacher-controlled or teacher-centered methods, emphasizing the teacher's role as the primary authority. In this approach, knowledge is systematically delivered through distinct components of the curriculum, treating each subject separately. The learning process follows a structured sequence determined by the teacher, allowing little room for student choice or involvement. Within a behaviorist classroom, students lack the freedom to select their own activities, as all children are required to engage in the same task and progress at the same pace as their peers.

Assessments are frequently exam-focused and high stakes, often conducted without the direct involvement of the teacher in day-to-day learning. Such teaching approaches are clearly structured and evident to students, with subjects systematically organized and instructions explicitly defined by the teacher. Educational methods stemming from behavioristic origins include lectures, demonstrations, rote learning, choral repetition, imitation or copying, and master-class activities like learning music or dance. Behaviorism is adaptable to various settings, cost-effective, and efficient in terms of time. It is also considered a universal theory that demands fewer resources, including the use of unqualified teachers.

The critique of behaviorism centers on the superficial nature of the knowledge it promotes and its reliance on a 'one-size-fits-all' approach that overlooks individual differences among students. This method can fail to account for learners' existing knowledge or misconceptions, and it may also support the use of punitive measures, such as corporal punishment, as an acceptable means of discipline or deterrence.

Contrary to the behaviorist theories led by Jean Piaget, the emerging approach emphasized mental processes over observable behaviors. Piaget asserted that thought is an internal process, which aligns with his theory about the strong connection between action

and thinking [27]. He regarded knowledge as both a mental and physical activity that forms mental frameworks (schemas), which continuously evolve as individuals encounter new experiences in the world.

According to Piaget, learning involves active mental engagement rather than the passive absorption of instruction [27]. Cognitive development thrives on exposure to new experiences, making it essential for children to have opportunities to discover and experiment independently. In building their knowledge, individuals progress through distinct stages: assimilation, accommodation, and adaptation.

During the assimilation phase, an individual encounters new information and begins to absorb it. In the subsequent accommodation phase, this information is adjusted to align with pre-existing schemas. As a result, accommodation leads to the refinement of thought processes, skill enhancement, and strategy adjustments [27].

Based on his research, Piaget concludes that there are four stages of cognitive development, each aimed at preparing children for new learning experiences. These stages should align with the child's current level of understanding, acknowledging that children's interests can vary and evolve over time [27]. By the end of each stage, children are expected to achieve specific goals associated with that phase.

The first stage, known as the sensorimotor stage, occurs between birth and 2 years of age. During this period, babies start to understand their surroundings through their actions and sensory experiences. Next is the pre-operational stage, spanning from ages 2 to 7. This phase is marked by egocentric thinking, where the child views the world primarily from their own perspective. As language skills improve during this time, their ability for symbolic thought and imagination also begins to flourish. Following this, the concrete operational stage takes place between the ages of 7 and 11. During these years, children start to develop logical thinking about physical events and gradually grasp abstract concepts. The final stage in Piaget's theory is the formal operational stage, commencing at around 11 years of age. At this point, children gain the ability to think abstractly and hypothetically, enabling them to speculate, analyze, and generate complex ideas.

According to Piaget, gaining knowledge is a dynamic journey that thrives on the relationship between a child and their surroundings. A child isn't merely equipped with a preset array of mental skills, nor are they passive receivers of external stimuli. From an early age, movement sparks quick thinking, emphasizing that learning is fundamentally an interactive experience.

Children cultivate their skills and knowledge through their experiences. This illustrates a key distinction between behaviorist and cognitivist perspectives on learning. As mentioned earlier, behaviorists interpret knowledge as something that is externally observed and passively absorbed. In contrast, cognitive theories focus on the internal motivations and processes that underpin knowledge acquisition and learning.

In Piaget's theory, the teacher's role differs significantly from a behaviorist approach. Instead of merely drilling facts into students through endless repetition or using rewards and punishments, a teacher acts as a facilitator. They offer essential resources and guidance during the critical stages of learning, including assimilation, adaptation, and accommodation.

The curriculum inspired by Piaget's theories focuses on a learner-centered approach to education. Traditional methods like lectures, demonstrations, and programmed instruction do not align with his views on knowledge acquisition. Instead, Piaget advocated for an active learning environment within our school, where intelligence develops through two key processes: assimilation and accommodation. It's essential to design experiences that allow students to engage in both of these processes. Children should be encouraged to explore, manipulate, experiment, and seek answers independently. Engaging in activities is crucial. Consequently, teachers must evaluate each child's current knowledge, recognizing their strengths and areas for improvement.

Education should prioritize personalization, allowing children to interact with each other, engage in discussions, and explore different viewpoints. Piaget emphasized the role of teachers as facilitators of knowledge; their purpose is to guide and inspire students, helping them embrace mistakes as learning opportunities. Learning becomes richer when children can explore concepts independently, rather than just absorbing information through lectures. It is essential for teachers to provide students with resources, scenarios, and chances that encourage discovery and foster new understanding.

In the context of active learning, it is essential for educators to trust in a child's capacity to learn independently. Tools such as laboratories, workshops, and interactive technologies—including multimedia, hypermedia, and virtual reality—align with Piaget's educational philosophy. However, computer software designed for repetitive exercises and structured study does not align with the exploratory nature of an active learning environment.

Collaborative learning involves students working together on projects, fostering active participation rather than passive absorption of information. In this approach, teachers act as facilitators, guiding students in exploring their creativity. Hypermedia enables learners to interact with their environment by navigating chosen pathways. Additionally, virtual reality transforms traditional education into immersive experiential learning. For instance, rather than merely reading about an event, children can actively engage with simulated people or objects within that event. These technologies cultivate a dynamic learning environment that motivates students to initiate and complete their own activities.

Undoubtedly, the influence of Piaget's stages of development is evident in today's educational system. The early years, particularly

the foundational stage, provide children with opportunities to explore and develop their own schemas for understanding the world around them.

Building on Piaget's theory, Vygotsky also emphasized that learning is a social process and showed great interest in how children acquire knowledge through interaction. While he agreed with some of Piaget's ideas, Vygotsky, as a social constructivist, argued that biological or environmental factors could influence development differently depending on the cultural and personal characteristics of the individuals surrounding the child [28].

Vygotskian models predominantly stress the importance of collaboration among learners, presenting an indirect contrast to the competitive nature of behaviorist approaches. A key concept in Vygotsky's theory, which holds considerable relevance for peer collaboration, is the zone of proximal development (ZPD). This concept refers to "the gap between the actual level of development determined by independent problem-solving and the potential level of development achievable through problem-solving under adult guidance or in collaboration with more capable peers" [24]. Vygotsky asserts that an individual's abilities when working independently differ from those demonstrated with assistance from more knowledgeable peers. He emphasizes that achieving progress within the ZPD is only possible through the support of more competent collaborators.

The concept of scaffolding is closely linked to the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), as it involves teachers identifying the specific support, understanding, skills, or abilities a child requires to attain the intended level of knowledge. Research suggests that when teachers incorporate scaffolding into activities, it helps elevate the child's learning and knowledge to a higher level. Scaffolding serves as an aid within the ZPD by breaking tasks into manageable, comprehensible steps and delivering instructions in a clear manner, enabling the child to achieve success.

Once a child has completed the learning process, scaffolding becomes redundant and can be removed. Additionally, it is crucial to acknowledge that students learn at varying levels. Consequently, when children advance within their Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), they may no longer require scaffolding, unlike some of their peers [29]. The potential for continuous improvement accompanies an individual throughout life, and theoretically, complete development remains unattainable.

The influence of Vygotsky's theory is clearly evident in modern classrooms through practices such as group discussions and mixed-ability group activities. Teaching methods aligned with Vygotsky's principles emphasize interaction, whether between students and teachers or among students themselves. Techniques like collaborative work in small groups or pairs, whole-class discussions, extended one-on-one dialogues, the use of higher-order questioning, teacher modelling, demonstrations, reciprocal teaching, and cooperative learning are all grounded in the concepts of Vygotsky's theory.

In this sense, Vygotsky's theory can be interpreted as an endorsement of a student-focused or learner-centered approach to education-a concept frequently emphasized in curriculum design within developing nations. However, his theory implies a significantly more prominent role for the teacher than what is typically associated with learner-centered methodologies.

Educators operating under student-centered learning principles are expected to share their students' language and cultural backgrounds, adopt a more democratic and less authoritarian approach, and effectively organize group activities and tasks. They should also provide targeted instructional support as needed. Furthermore, this approach requires creating opportunities for flexible social groupings, where students are encouraged to recognize their right to speak and actively contribute to the learning experiences of their peers.

3.1. Integrating the Theories: A Triangulated Approach to Pedagogy

Here are specific examples that demonstrate how approaches derived from various theories can successfully meet diverse learning objectives.

Example 1, A lesson on fractions could start with a straightforward, behaviorist approach by demonstrating a specific procedure through direct instruction. This might then transition into a Piagetian stage, where students engage with physical objects like pie charts or blocks to explore and understand equivalence through hands-on individual or group activities. Lastly, the lesson could incorporate a Vygotskian phase, involving peer teaching or teacher-guided small-group discussions, to help students articulate and solidify their understanding of the underlying concepts through scaffolding.

Example 2, Mastering fundamental arithmetic facts can be effectively achieved through behaviorist methods such as using flashcards or apps that provide immediate feedback. In contrast, grasping the concept of multiplication necessitates Piaget's approach of manipulating sets to build understanding. To apply these skills in solving complex, real-world word problems, Vygotsky's emphasis on collaborative learning proves to be the most effective method.

In the Ethiopian context, where both cultural unity and learner-centered approaches are highly valued, adopting a triangulated method is essential. Behaviorist strategies, such as direct instruction, effectively convey fundamental cultural knowledge and skills. Piagetian-inspired exploratory and activity-based learning aligns with the principle of prioritizing the learner's needs. Meanwhile, Vygotskian approaches, including collaborative projects and community-based learning, promote social cohesion and appreciation for diverse perspectives, transforming cultural diversity from a potential challenge into a valuable educational asset.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, strictly adhering to a single learning theory is inadequate in addressing the diverse and complex nature of classroom dynamics. By integrating Behaviorist, Piagetian, and Vygotskian perspectives, it becomes clear that these theories are not contradictory but instead complement one another by focusing on different aspects and objectives of learning. Behaviorism provides effective strategies for developing automatic responses and skill proficiency. Piagetian constructivism emphasizes the importance of nurturing deep conceptual understanding and encouraging critical inquiry. Meanwhile, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory underscores the critical role of social interaction in learning and the value of guided, context-specific support. Supporting this idea, Snelbecker advocates for a thorough examination of learning theories and the selection of principles and concepts that are most relevant to a particular educational context [30].

As stated above, it is crucial for educators to integrate various theoretical perspectives into their classroom practices. Since each child possesses unique needs and abilities, different strategies must be employed to address them effectively [9]. By combining these theories, educators can create limitless opportunities to foster students' critical thinking skills and cognitive development, ensuring a well-rounded learning experience.

The most impactful educator is one who functions as a strategic practitioner-researcher, thoughtfully integrating and applying various theoretical approaches. This educator might employ behaviorist methods to ensure foundational skills are mastered, incorporate constructivist activities to stimulate critical thinking and problem-solving, and adopt sociocultural strategies to foster a collaborative learning environment while supporting individual development. For the Ethiopian education system, as well as any other, this balanced and principled combination of approaches offers a robust framework for achieving truly effective and adaptive education.

References

1. Westbrook, J., Durrani, N., Brown, R., Orr, D., Pryor, J., Boddy, J., Salvi, F. (2013). Pedagogy, Curriculum, Teaching Practices and Teacher Education in Developing Countries. Final Report. Education Rigorous Literature Review. Department for International Development.
2. Ertmer, P. A., & Newby, T. J. (2013). Behaviorism, cognitivism, constructivism: Comparing critical features from an instructional design perspective. *Performance improvement quarterly, 26*(2), 43-71.
3. Thorndike, E. L. (1913). Educational Psychology. (Vol. 2). Teachers college, Columbia university.
4. Pavlov, I. P. (1927). Conditioned Reflexes: An Investigation of the Physiological Activity of the Cerebral Cortex. Ed. Anrep., G. V., London: Oxford University Press.
5. Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
6. Leonard, D. C. (2002). Learning Theories A to Z. Westport, CN.
7. Elliot, P. (2007). 'Communication in the Classroom' in Brooks, V. And Abbot, I. And Bills, L. (Eds.) Preparing to Teach in Secondary schools, Berkshire, McGraw Hill.
8. Skinner, B. F. (1986). Programmed instruction revisited. *Phi Delta Kappan, 68*(2), 103-110.
9. Sotto, E. (2007). *When teaching becomes learning: A theory and practice of teaching*. A&C Black.
10. Garagae, K. G. (2012). A quest for understanding in mathematics learning: Examining theories of learning. University of Botswana.
11. Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (1969). The psychology of the child. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
12. Garton, A. F. (2004). *Exploring cognitive development: The child as problem solver*. John Wiley & Sons.
13. Piaget, J. (1972). The principles of genetic epistemology (W. Mays trans.) New York. Original work published.
14. Locke, D. C., & Ciechalski, J. C. (1995). *Psychological techniques for teachers.* Taylor & Francis.
15. Mergel, B. (1998, May). *Instructional design and learning theory.*
16. Piaget, J. (1980). Schemes of action and language learning.
17. Baroody, A. J., & Ginsburg, H. P. (1990). Chapter 4: children's mathematical learning: a cognitive view. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. Monograph, 4*, 51-210.
18. Bliss, J. (2002). The relevance of Piaget to research into children's conceptions. In *Children's informal ideas in science* (pp. 34-58). Routledge.
19. Siegler, R. (2003). Implications of cognitive science research for mathematics education. *Colección Digital Eudoxus,* (8).
20. Johnston-Wilder, S., & Mason, J. (2004). *Fundamental constructs in mathematics education.* Routledge.
21. Von Glasersfeld, E. (1997). Homage to Jean Piaget (1896–1982). *The Irish Journal of Psychology, 18*(3), 293-306.
22. Bringuier, J. C., & Piaget, J. (1980). *Conversations with jean piaget.* University of Chicago Press.
23. Wertsch, J. V., Tulviste, P. (2005). Social Origins of Individual Mental Functioning. In Daniels, H. An Introduction to Vygotsky. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.
24. Vygotsky, L. S., & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in society: Development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard university press.
25. Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). Thought and Language. Transl. and ed. A. Kozulin. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. (Originally published in Russian in 1934) Wadsworth B J.
26. Skinner, B. F. (1954). The science of learning and the art of teaching. Cambridge, Mass, USA, 99, 113.
27. Woolfolk, A. (2010). Instructor's copy of Educational Psychology (11th ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education.
28. Gupta, S. (2011). Constructivism as a paradigm for teaching and learning. *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences, 1*(1), 23-47.
29. Vygotsky, L. S. (1987). The collected works of L. S. Vygotsky. Volume 1: Problems of general psychology (R. W. Rieber, &

Carton, A. S., Eds.), New York: Plenum.
30. Snelbecker, G. E. (1983). Learning theory, instructional theory and psycho-educational design. New York: McGraw-Hill.