

ISSN: 2690-912X

#### **Short Communication**

# Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research

## **Vector-Valued Convex Functions**

## Mustapha Laayouni\*

Moulay Ismail University, Sciences and technologies Faculty, Department of Mathematics, B.P. 509, Errachidia, 52000, Morocco

### \*Corresponding Author

Mustapha Laayouni, Moulay Ismail University, Sciences and technologies Faculty, Department of Mathematics, B.P. 509, Errachidia, 52000, Morocco.

Submitted: 2023, June 03; Accepted: 2023, June 30; Published: 2023, July 19

Citation: Laayouni, M. (2023). Vector-Valued Convex Functions. J Gene Engg Bio Res, 5(2), 119-123.

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we will extend some properties of the convex real functions to the valued functions in a Banach lattice: with adequate definitions, we will establish that an order convex function is continuous on a convex C if and only if it is continuous at a point of C (Theorem 1.2). We will show that order convex functions on a compact satisfy Bauer's maximal principle (Theorem 2.2). A fixed-point theorem is given for the contracting orders functions (Theorem 2.3).

**Keywords:** Order, Riesz Space, Banach Lattice, Convexity and Ordre Convexity.

#### 1. Order Convexity of Vector-Valued Functions

Often in functional analysis, one needs local algebraic linearity. Thus, one of the interactions of the algebraic and topological structure of a topological vector is manifested in the important properties of the class of convex functions. So far, we have allowed the convex functions defined on the convex subsets of a vector space to be real valued. We will extend the definition of convexity to the valued functions in a Banach lattice [1-4].

#### **Definition 1.1**

Let E be a Banach lattice. A function  $f: C \to E$  on a convex set C in a vector space X is:

(i) order convex ( denoted by o-convex) if for all  $x, y \in C$  and all  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ,  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$ .

(ii) Strictly o-convex if for all  $x,y \in C$  with  $x \in G$  and all  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) < \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$ .

(iii) O-concave (respectively, strictly o-concave) if -f is an o-convex (respectively, strictly o-concave) function.

It is easy to realize that; f is o-convex if and only if,

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k x_k\right) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k f\left(x_k\right)$$

For every convex combination  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k x_k$ .

**Example 1.1** Here are some familiar examples of o-convex mappings.

- Obviously, any convex real function is o-convex.
- Let *E* be a Banach lattice. The absolute value  $x \to |x|$  is an o-convex mapping from *E* to *E*.
- Let A be a commutative unital real Banach algebra. The set of all multiplicative linear functionals on A is denoted by  $\Delta_A$ . It is well known that  $\Delta_A$ , endowed with the Gelfand topology, is compact and the Gelfand representation  $\varphi$  of A into C ( $\Delta_A$ ) is an homomorphism [3, Theorem 13]. Thus,  $\varphi$  is o-convex.

**Proposition 1.1** A function  $f: C \to E$  on a convex subset of a vector space into a Banach lattice E is o-convex if and only if its epigraph, epi  $(f) = \{(x,\chi) \in C \times E : \chi > f(x)\}$ , is convex. Similarly, f is o-concave if and only if its hypograph,  $\{(x,\chi) \in C \times E : \chi \ f(x)\}$ , is convex.

*Proof.* We prove the first part of this proposition, the remaining assertion is identical. Suppose that f is o-convex, then for  $(x_1, \chi_1)$ ,  $(x_2, \chi_2) \in \text{epi} f$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\alpha_1 \chi_1 + (1 - \alpha) \chi_2 \geqslant \alpha_1 f(x_1) + (1 - \alpha) f(x_2)$$
  
$$\geqslant f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha) x_2)$$

So,  $(\alpha_1 \chi_1 + (1 - \alpha) \chi_2, \alpha_1 \chi_1 + (1 - \alpha) \chi_2) \in \text{epif. The 'only if' part stems from the fact that } (x_1, f(x_1)) \in \text{epif and } (x_2, f(x_2)) \in \text{epif.}$ 

**Proposition 1.2** The collection of o-convex functions on a fixed convex set C into a Banach lattice E has the following properties.

- 1. Sums and nonnegative scalar multiples of o-convex functions are o-convex.
- 2. The (finite) pointwise order limit of a net of o-convex functions is o-convex.
- 3. The (finite) pointwise supremum of a family of o-convex functions is o-convex.

*Proof.* The first statement is trivial. For the second assertion, consider a net  $\{f_i\}$  of o-convex functions (finite) pointwise order convergent to f, that is, for any finite part F of C, there is a net  $\{\chi_i\}$  (with the same directed set) satisfying  $\chi_i \downarrow 0$  and  $|f_i(z) - f(z)| \chi_i$  for each i and every z F. Let  $x,y \in C$  and  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ . For  $F = \{x,y,\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y\}$  we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1-\alpha)y) & \leqslant & \chi_{i} + f_{i} \left(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y\right) \\ & \leqslant & \chi_{i} + \alpha f_{i} \left(x\right) + (1-\alpha)f_{i} \left(y\right) \\ & \leqslant & \chi_{i} + \alpha \left[f \left(x\right) + f_{i} \left(x\right) - f \left(x\right)\right] + (1-\alpha)\left[f \left(y\right) + f_{i} \left(y\right) - f \left(y\right)\right] \\ & \leqslant & \chi_{i} + \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y) + \chi_{i} \\ & \leqslant & \left[2\chi_{i} + \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)\right] \downarrow \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y) \end{array}$$

So, f is o-convex.

Now, let  $f_1f_2$ ,...,fn are o-convex functions on a convex set C into a Banach lattice E. For all  $x \in C$ , we ask  $f(x) = \bigvee_{1 \le k \le n} f_k(x)$ . It is easy to see that:

$$\begin{split} f\left(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y\right) &= \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k\left(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y\right) \\ &\leqslant \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[\alpha f_k(x) + (1-\alpha)f_k(y)\right] \\ &\leqslant \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[\alpha f_k(x)\right] + \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left[(1-\alpha)f_k(y)\right] \\ &\leqslant \alpha \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k(x) + (1-\alpha) \bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k(y) \\ &\leqslant \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y). \end{split}$$

So, f is o-convex, what completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.3** Let f: C! E be an o-convex function, where C is a convex subset of a vector space and E is a Banach lattice. Let x belong to C and suppose z satisfs  $x + z \in C$  and  $x \in z$  C. Let  $\alpha \in [0; 1]$ : Then

$$|f\left(x+\alpha z\right)-f(x)|\leqslant \alpha\left(\left[f\left(x+z\right)-f(x)\right]\vee\left[f\left(x-z\right)-f(x)\right]\right)$$

*Proof.*  $f(x + \alpha z) \leq (1 - \alpha)f(x) + \alpha f(x + z)$  because the hypothesis and the equality:  $x + \alpha z = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha(x + z)$ . Rearranging terms yields

$$f(x + \alpha z) - f(x) \leqslant \alpha [f(x + z) - f(x)] \tag{1.1}$$

$$\leq \alpha \left( \left[ f\left( x+z\right) -f(x)\right] \vee \left[ f\left( x-z\right) -f(x)\right] \right) \quad (1.2)$$

Replacing z by -z in (1.1) gives

$$f(x - \alpha z) - f(x) \leqslant \alpha [f(x - z) - f(x)] \tag{1.3}$$

Since 
$$x = \frac{1}{2}(x + \alpha z) + \frac{1}{2}(x - \alpha z)$$
,

we have 
$$f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(x + \alpha z) + \frac{1}{2}f(x - \alpha z)$$
.

Multiplying by two and rearranging terms we obtain

$$f(x) - f(x + \alpha z) \leqslant f(x - \alpha z) - f(x) \tag{1.4}$$

(1.3) implies

$$f(x) - f(x + \alpha z) \leq f(x - \alpha z) - f(x)$$

$$\leq \alpha [f(x - z) - f(x)]$$

$$\leq \alpha ([f(x + z) - f(x)] \vee [f(x - z) - f(x)]) \quad (1.5)$$

With definition of the absolute value in mind, (1.2) in conjunction with (1.5) yields the conclusion of the proposition.

Recall that a subset A of a Riesz space X is order bounded, from above if there is a vector u (called an upper bound of A) that dominates each element of A, that is, satisfying  $a \le u$  for each  $a \in A$ . Sets order bounded from below are defined similarly. A box or an order interval, is any set of the form

$$[a,b] = \{x \in X : a \leqslant x \leqslant b\}$$

**Definition 1.2** A mapping  $f: X \to E$  between Riesz spaces is o-bounded above (respectively, o-bounded) on a subset V of X, if f(V) is order bounded from above (respectively, if  $f(V) \subset [a,b]$  for some box [a,b] of E).

We would have liked an o-convex function  $f: X \to E$  to be order continuous, but this is not true even in the trivial case when E = R. Indeed, let X = C[0,1], we emphasize: There is no nonzero  $\sigma$ -order continuous linear functional on the Riesz space X. However for the topological continuity we have the following, which generalizes a similar result previously proved for the convex (real) functions.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $f: C \to E$  be an o-convex function, where C is a convex subset of a normed space X, and E is a Banach lattice. If f is,o-bounded above on a neighborhood of an interior point of C, then f is continuous at that point.

*Proof.* We may assume that for some  $x \in C$  there exist an open ball V of radius  $\eta$  at 0 and some  $\chi \in E$  satisfying  $x + V \subset C$  and  $f(y) < f(x) + \chi$  for each  $y \in x+V$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose some  $0 < \alpha < 1$  so that  $\alpha ||\chi|| < \varepsilon$ . From Proposition 1.3, it follows that for each  $y \in x+\alpha V$  we have  $|f(y)-f(x)| < \alpha \chi$ .

Now, the norm of E is lattice, then  $||f(y) - f(x)|| < \alpha ||\chi|| < \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 1.1** We shall say that a vector  $e \in E$  is an order unit, if for each  $x \in E$  there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $x < \lambda e$ . It is well known that, in an ordered topological vector space E, a positive vector e is an interior point of the cone E+ if and only if, the order interval [-e,e] is a neighborhood of zero [2, Lemma 2.5]. From the fact that every

neighborhood of zero is absorbing set, it follows that the interior points of  $E_+$  are order units.

Provided that the interior  $Int(E_+)$  of the cone  $E_+$  is non-empty, semicontinuity can be generalized to vector functions as follows.

**Definition 1.3** A mapping  $f: X \to E$  from a topological space X into a Banach lattice E is:

\* Lower o-semicontinuous if for each  $c \in E$  the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) - c \in Int(E_c)\}$ 

is open.

\* Upper o-semicontinuous if for each  $c \in E$  the set  $\{X \in X: c - f(x) \in Int(E+)\}$ 

is open.

Obviously, a mapping f is lower o-semi continuous if and only -f is upper

O-semi continuous, and vice versa.

The classic example of a lower (resp. upper) o-semi continuous mapping is given by the lower (resp. upper) semi continuous real functions. Now assume that E is a Banach lattice with an order unit e. It is well known that the principal ideal  $E_e$  generated by e coincides with E which when provided with the norm  $||x||_{\infty} = \inf \{\lambda > 0: |x| < \lambda e\}$  becomes an AMspace with unit. Let  $f: R \to R$  be a continuous real function. Then the mapping  $f: E \to E$  defined by  $fe(x) = f(||x||_{\infty})$  e is a lower and upper o-semi continuous mapping.

The *E*-valued mapping on a Banach lattice is a useful device, but it needs to be handled with care. For example, the complement of the set  $\{x \in X: f(x) > c\}$  in *X* is not at all the set  $\{x \in X: f(x) < c\}$ . However, the following lemma reduces this difficulty by reducing us to functions with real values.

**Proposition 1.4** If  $E_+$  (respectively,  $E'_+$ ) is the positive cone of a Banach lattice E (respectively, of  $E^0$ ), then  $x \in E^+$  if and only if it exists ' $\in E'$ + such that  $\phi(x) < 0$ .

*Proof.* The definition of the positive cone  $E'_+$  gives a sense of lemma. Conversely, if  $x \in E^+$ , since  $E_+$  is closed and convex, it follows from the HahnBanach theorem that there is  $a \phi \in E'$  with  $\phi(x) < \phi(y)$  for all  $y \in E_+$ .

Thus  $\phi(x) < 0 = f(0)$  and  $\phi(x) < \phi(ny)$  for all non-negative integer number n. So  $\phi(x) < 0$   $\phi(y)$  for all  $y \in E^+$ .

As a first application of the above definitions, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.2** For an o-convex mapping  $f: C \to E$  on an open convex subset of a topological vector space X into a Banach lattice E, the following statements are equivalent.

1. f is continuous on C.

2. f is upper o-semicontinuous on C.

3. f is o-bounded above on a neighborhood of each point in C.4. f is o-bounded above on a neighborhood of some point in C.5. f is continuous at some point in C.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ ; Assume that f is upper o-semicontinuous. Let  $x \in C$  and  $a \in Int(E_+) - \{0\}$ . Then the set  $\{y \in E: f(x) + a - f(y) \in Int(E_+)\}$  is an open neighborhood of x on which f is o-bounded above.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Obvious.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  This is Theorem 1.1.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose f is continuous at the point x, and let y be any other point in C. Since C is open and convex, therefore C does not contain extreme points. This implies that there exist  $z \in C$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose some circled neighborhood V of zero so that for all  $v \in V$ . We claim that,  $||f(y) - f(y+v)|| < \varepsilon$  for all  $v \in \lambda V$ . Indeed, let  $v \in V$ , Then  $v + \lambda v = \lambda (x+v) + (1-\lambda)z \in C$  and the o-convexity of f implies

$$f(y + \lambda v) = f(\lambda(x + v) + (1 - \lambda)z)$$
  
$$\leq \lambda f(x + v) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)$$

and

$$f(y) = f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z)$$
  
$$\leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)$$

Thus

$$f(y + \lambda v) - f(y) \leqslant \lambda \left( f\left( (x + v) - f(x) \right) \right)$$
  
$$\leqslant \lambda |f\left( (x + v) - f(x) \right)| \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$f(y) - f(y + \lambda v) \leqslant \lambda \left( f(x) - f((x+v)) \right)$$
  
$$\leqslant \lambda |f((x+v) - f(x))| \qquad (1.7)$$

This shows that

$$|f(y) - f(y + \lambda v)| \le \lambda |f((x+v) - f(x))|$$
 (1.8)

Then

$$||f(y) - f(y + \lambda v)|| \le \lambda ||f((x+v) - f(x))|$$
  
 $< \varepsilon$ 

So, f is continuous at y.

#### 2. Order Lipschitzian Vector-Valued Functions

Lipchitzian and contractive real functions have important properties that we want to extend to infinite dimensional analysis. For this purpose, we adopt the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** A mapping f from a subset B of a normed tvs (X,||||) to a Banach lattice E is order Lipschitz continuous on B if there exists  $e \in E$ , such that for every  $y,z \in B$ 

$$|f(y) - f(z)| |6| ||y - z|| |e|$$

If moreover ||e|| < 1 then f is called an order contraction.

The following gives examples of order Lipschitz continuous mappings.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f: C \to E$  be o-convex positive mapping from a convex subset C of a normed tvs (X, |||||) to a Banach lattice E. If f is continuous at the interior point x of C, then f is order Lipschitz continuous on a neighborhood of x. That is, there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $e \in E$ , such that  $B_s(x) \subset C$  and for  $y,z \in B_s(x)$ , we have

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \le ||y - z||e$$

*Proof.* Since f is continuous at x, it follows from Theorem1.3 that there exists  $e \in E+$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $B_{2\delta}(x) \subset C$  and  $f(y) \leq e$ . So,  $w,z \in B_2\delta(x)$  implies  $0 \leq f(w) \leq e$  and  $-e \leq -f(z) \leq 0$ . By addition, we achieve  $|f(w)-f(z)| \leq e$ , for all  $w,z B_{2\delta}$ . Let  $y,z \in B_{2\delta}(x)$  and  $\alpha = ||y-z||$ . Then  $w = y + \frac{\delta}{\alpha}(y+z)$  belongs to  $B_{2\delta}$  and we have  $y = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\delta}w + \frac{\delta}{\alpha+\delta}z$ .

Therefore

$$f(y) \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} f(w) + \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \delta} f(z)$$

Subtracting f(z) from each side gives

$$f(y) - f(z) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} [f(w) - f(z)]$$
$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \delta} e$$
$$\leq \alpha e$$

Switching the roles of y and z allows us to conclude

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \le ||y - z||e$$

A net  $\{x_a\}$  in a Riesz space E is order convergent to some  $x \in E$ , written  $\{x\alpha\} \to^{\circ} x$ , if there is a net  $\{q_{\alpha}\}$  (with the same directed set) satisfying  $\{Q_{\alpha}\} \downarrow 0$  and  $|x\alpha - x|$  6  $q_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ . A function f:  $E \to F$  between two Riesz spaces is order uniformly continuous if  $\{y_{\alpha} - z_{\alpha}\} \to^{\circ} 0$  in E implies  $\{f(y_{\alpha}) - f(z_{\alpha})\} \to^{\circ} 0$  in F.

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $f; X \to E$  be an order Lipschitz continuous mapping between banach latties. If the lattice norm on X is order continuous then f is order uniformly continuous.

Proof. Obvious.

Now we will generalize, to convex order applications, one of the important themes of the analysis, namely the extreme points of a convex functions on a compact convex set. Let C be a convex subset of a vector space X. Recall that an extreme subset of C, is a nonempty subset F of C with the property that if X belongs to X it cannot be written as a convex combination of points of X outside X is an extreme point of X if the singleton X is an extreme set.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $f: C \to E$  be o-convex mapping from a convex subset C of a normed tvs (X,|||||) to a Banach lattice E. The set of maximizers of f is either an extreme set or is empty.

*Proof.* Suppose f achieves a maximum on C; that is, f satisfies the identitie sup $\{f(x) : x \in C\} = f(e)$  for some  $e \in C$ . Put  $M = \{x \in C : f(x) = f(e)\}$ . Suppose that  $x = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z \in M, 0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $y,z \in C$ . If  $y \in M$  then f(y) < f(e), so

$$f(e) = f(x) = f(\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z)$$

$$\leqslant \alpha f(y) + (1 - \alpha)f(z)$$

$$< \alpha f(e) + (1 - \alpha)f(e) = f(e)$$

a contradiction. Hence  $y,z \in M$ , so M is an extreme subset of C.

Recall that the order  $\leq$  of a Banach lattice E is continuous if  $\leq$  is a closed subset of  $E \times E$ . Let us say that  $\leq$  is upper semicontinuous if  $\{x \in E : y \leq x\}$  is closed for each y.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $f: K \to E$  be a continuous vector-valued function from a compact space into a Banach lattice with a continuous order. Suppose that f(K) satisfies the condition  $(C): c \lor d$  belongs to f(K) for all  $c,d \in f(K)$ , then f attains a minimum value, and the nonempty set of minimizers is compact. Similarly, a continuous vector-valued function on a compact set attains a maximum value, provided that f(K) satisfies the condition  $(C'): c \land d$  belongs to f(K) for all  $c,d \in f(K)$  and the nonempty set of maximizers is compact.

Proof. Let K be a compact of a normed vector space X and let  $f: K \to E$  be a continuous mapping from K to a Banach lattice E. For each  $c \in f(K)$ , put  $Fc = \{x \in K : f(x) > c\}$ . It follows from the continuity of f and of the order that the nonempty set  $F_c$  is closed (c = f(x) implies  $x \in F_c$ ). Moreover, the family  $F = \{Fc : c \in f(K)\}$  has the finite intersection property. In deed, let  $Fc_1$ ,  $Fc_2$ ,... $F_{cn}$  be a finite familly in F. Since F(K) satisfies condition F(K)

so, 
$$c_0 = \bigvee_{i=1}^{i=n} c_i \in f(K)$$
. For all  $x \in F_{c_0}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ 

we have

$$0 \leqslant f(x) - c_0 \leqslant f(x) - c_i$$

so, 
$$x \in \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} F_{c_i}$$
 and  $F_{c_0} \subset \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} F_{c_i}$ . Since  $K$  is compact,

[Aliprantis,

Theorem.2.31] implies that the set of minimizers  $\int_{c \in f(K)} F_c$  is compact and Nonempty.

We realize that, in its real context, the assumptions (C) and (C') in Theorem 2.2 are ensured from the fact that the order in R is total.

A complete lattice is a lattice in which every nonempty subset that is order bounded from above has a supremum. (Equivalently, if every nonempty subset that is bounded from below has an infimum).

Now consider a vector form of the Contraction Mapping Theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** Let B be a closed subset of a Banach Lattice E and

let  $f: B \to B$  be an order contraction mapping. Then f has a unique fixed point x. Moreover, for any choice x0 in X, the sequence defined recursively by  $xn+1=f(x_n)$ , n=0,1,2,..., converges to the fixed point and  $||x_n-x|| \le ||e||^n||x_0-x||$  for each n.

*Proof.* Let  $e \in E_+$  such that ||e|| < 1 and  $|f(y) - f(z)| \le ||y - z||e$ , for all  $y, z \in B$ . If f(x) = x and f(y) = y then  $|x - y| = |f(x) - f(x)|| \le ||x - y||e$ . Since the norm of E is lattice, we have  $||x - y|| \le ||x - y|||e||$  and hence ||x - y|| = 0. Thus f can have at most one fixed point.

Now, if  $x_0$  is chosen in B then the formula  $x_{n+1} = f(xn)$ , n = 0,1,2,... defines inductively the sequence  $(x_n)$  which satisfies:  $|xn+1-xn| \le ||xn-xn-I||e$ , for every n > 1. The lattice property verified by the norm of E implies that  $||xn+1-xn|| \le ||xn-xn-I||||e||$  and by induction, we see that for all n > 1,  $||xn+1-xn|| \le ||xI-x0||||e||n$ . Hence, for n > m the triangle inequality yields.

$$||x_m - x_n|| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n ||x_k - x_{k-1}||$$

$$\leq ||x_1 - x_0|| \sum_{k=m+1}^n ||e||^k$$

$$\leq ||x_1 - x_0|| \frac{||e||^m}{1 - ||e||}$$

This implies that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since *B* is closed in the complete space *E* then,  $(x_n) \to x \in B$ . obviously, *f* is continuous, and:

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f(x),$$

so x is the fixed point of f.

#### References

- C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. (1996). Border, Infinite Dimensional Analysis. Third Edition. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Printed in Germany.
- 2. Aliprantis, C. D., & Tourky, R. (2007). Cones and duality (Vol. 84). American Mathematical Soc.
- 3. Rickart, C. E., FF Bonsall and J. Duncan. (1975). Complete normed algebras.
- 4. Meyer-Nieberg, P. (1991). Banach lattices. Springer Science & Business Media.

**Copyright:** ©2023 Mustapha Laayouni. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.