

# Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Screening of Acacia Nilotic a Leaf Extracts Against Clinical Isolates of Some Bacteria

Khaleel Z I<sup>1</sup>, Aminu F<sup>2</sup>, Mu'azu L<sup>3</sup>, Ali Muhammad<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Science Laboratory Technology, School of Technology, Kano State Polytechnics

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Kano University of Science and Technology, Wudil

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University Gusau

<sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, Federal University Gusau

## \*Corresponding author:

Muhammad Ali, Department of Microbiology, Federal University Gusau, Nigeria.

Submitted: 04 Oct 2021; Accepted: 10 Oct 2021; Published: 14 Oct 2021

**Citation:** Khaleel Z I, Aminu F, Mu'azu L, Ali Muhammad (2021) Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Screening of Acacia Nilotic a Leaf Extracts Against Clinical Isolates of Some Bacteria. J Biop Theo Stud 1(1): 01-06.

## Abstract

Several hundred genera of plants were used traditionally for medicinal purposes. The study was aimed to screen for phytochemicals and to determine the antibacterial susceptibility of *Acacia nilotica* leaf extracts against clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from patients with gastrointestinal infection. The qualitative phytochemical screening of the plant leaf was conducted using conventional method while the quantitative phytochemical analysis was conducted using analytical method. Agar well diffusion method was used for determination of antibacterial activity of the extracts while dilution method was used for determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extract. The result of phytochemical screening showed that the plant's leaf extracts contain alkaloid (7.2%), followed by flavonoid (3.85%), terpenoid (2.6%), tannin (2.3%) and saponin (1.25%). Least content was recorded by glycoside and reducing sugar with 1% and 0.9% respectively. The antibacterial activity of the leaf extract showed that ethanolic extract has the highest activity (15.04 mm) than aqueous extracts (14.19 mm). The average zone of inhibition recorded by *E. coli* was 16.15 mm while those recorded by *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* was 14.39 and 13.32 mm respectively. It is concluded that the leaf extract of *nilotica* leaf possesses antibacterial activity.

**Keywords:** *Acacia Nilotica*, Antibacterial Activity, Phytochemicals, *Staphylococcus Aureus*

## Introduction

Currently, there has been a lot of attention focused on producing medicines and products that are natural. Several leaves and leaf extracts have been found to have antimicrobial activity against microorganisms [1]. The plants with antimicrobial action may be a source of compounds that can be used to inhibit the growth of pathogens [2]. There is no plant that does not have medicinal value [3]. The active components are normally extracted from all plant structures, but the Concentration of these compounds varies from structure to structure. However, plant parts known to contain the highest concentration of these phytochemicals constituents for therapeutic purpose can be leaves, stem barks, root, bulks, corms, rhizomes, wood, flowers, fruits or the seeds [4]. The presence of phytochemical constituents in medicinal plants made them useful for healing as well as for curing of human diseases [5]. Phytochemicals are naturally occurring compounds in the medicinal plants [6]. Large populations of the world, especially in develop-

ing countries depend on the traditional system of medicine to treat variety of diseases [7]. Several hundred genera of plants are used traditionally for medicinal purposes. The World Health Organization reported that 80% of the world population relies chiefly on traditional medicine and a major part of the traditional therapies involving the use of plant extracts and their constituents [8].

*Acacia nilotica* (L) is multipurpose plant [9]. A *nilotica* is a plant 5 to 20 m high with a thick spherical crown, stems and branches usually sinister to black colored, grey-pinkish slash, fissured bark, exuding a reddish low-quality gum. The plant has straight, light, thin, grey spines in axillary pairs, usually in 3 to 12 pairs, 5 to 7.5 cm long in young trees, mature trees commonly without thorns. The leaves are bipinnate, with 3 to 6 pairs of pinnulae and 10 to 30 pairs of leaflets each, rachis with a gland at the bottom of the last pair of pinnulae. Flowers in globulous heads 1.2 to 1.5 cm in diameter of a bright golden-yellow color set up either axillary or whorly

on peduncles 2 to 3 cm long located at the end of the branches. Pods are strongly constricted, white-grey, hairy and thick [10]. *A. nilotica* is a pantropical and subtropical genus with species abundant throughout Asia, Australia, Africa and America. *A. nilotica* occurs naturally and is imperative in traditional rural and agro-pastoral systems [11]. *A. nilotica* is an imperative multipurpose plant used broadly for the treatment of various diseases [12].

Natural medicinal plants promote self-healing, good health and durability in ayurvedic medicine practices and have acknowledged that *A. nilotica* can provide the nutrients and therapeutic ingredients to prevent, mitigate or treat many diseases or conditions). The phytochemicals contribute chemically to a number of groups among which are alkaloids, volatile essential oils, phenols and phenolic glycosides, resins, oleosins, steroids, tannins and terpenes [13]. It has been reported that different parts of the plant are prosperous in tannins (ellagic acid, gallic acid and tannic acid), stearic acid, vitamin-C (ascorbic acid), carotene, crude protein, crude fiber, arabin, calcium, magnesium and selenium [14]. A number of medicinal properties have been ascribed to various parts of this highly esteemed plant. Traditionally the bark, leaves, pods and flowers were used against cancer, cold, congestion, cough, diarrhea, dysentery, fever, gall bladder, hemorrhoid, ophthalmia, sclerosis, tuberculosis and small pox, leprosy, bleeding piles, leucoderma and menstrual problems. The study was aimed to screen for phytochemicals and to determine the antibacterial susceptibility of *A. nilotica* leaf extracts against clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* isolated from patients with gastrointestinal infection [15].

## Materials and Methods

### Study Area

The study was conducted at Sheikh Muhammad Jiddah Hospital and School of Technology, Kano State Polytechnics both in Kano Metropolis. Kano State is one of the states located in Northern Nigeria. It is geographically coordinated at 11° 3'N and 8° 3'E latitude and longitude respectively. It shares borders with Kaduna State to the West, Bauchi State to the South, Jigawa State to the East, Katsina State to the North. It has a total area of 20,131 km<sup>2</sup> (7,777 sqm) and population of 13,405,300 [16].

### Collection and Identification of Plant Materials

The leaves of *A. nilotica* were collected at Ketawa town of Gezawa Local Government in Kano state, Nigeria at about 6:00 am. The identification and authentication of the leaves was done at Herbarium in the Department of Plant Science Bayero University Kano. Voucher specimens were deposited there for future reference. The leaves were washed thoroughly with distilled water and air-dried in a shade for two weeks, then cut into pieces and grinded into powder using a sterile pestle and mortar under laboratory condition. The powder was then kept in air tight container for future use.

### Test Isolates

Clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa* isolated from Microbiology laboratory of Sheikh Muhammad Jiddah Hospital Kano were used in the study. The isolates were transported to Laboratory of Science Laboratory Technology for identification and further processing. Distinctive morphological properties of the pure culture such as colony form, elevation of colony and colony margin were observed. Further microbial iden-

tification was based on the methods of Holt et al. [16].

### Extraction of *A. Nilotica* leaves

Aqueous (water) and ethanol solvents were used for extraction of the active components of the leaves of *A. nilotica*. For aqueous extraction, water extraction method as described by Ahmed and Beg was used 50 g of each of the fruits powder were extracted by successive soaking for 3 days using 500 ml of distilled water and ethanol in a sterile conical flask respectively [17]. The extracts were filtered using Whatman filter paper and the filtrates concentrated in water bath at 40°C. The solid concentrated filtrate, now the extracts were then stored in universal bottle for further use. The powdered plant part was extracted in 500 ml of ethanol for 3 days mixture was filtered al bottles in the refrigerator at 4°C before use. For ethanol and chloroform extraction, 50 g of using Whatman No.1 filter paper and the extracts were evaporated to dryness using rotary evaporator at 40°C. The solid residues obtained were reconstituted in 10% DMSO at stock concentration, stored in the refrigerator at 4°C until used.

### Preliminary Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical screening of the plant materials was conducted using the method adopted by Sofowora and Tiwari et al. [18, 19]. Wagner's test for alkaloid, Ferric chloride test for phenol, gelatin test for tannin, lead acetate test for flavonoid, foam test for saponin, acetic acid test for steroid, Salkowski test for terpenoid detection, Fehling's test for glycoside

### Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis

Various methods will be employed in determining the amount of bioactive components (phytochemicals) present in the plant materials. Terpenoids, steroids, and tannins will be determined using Spectrophotometric method while phenol will be determined using Folin Ciocalteu procedure. The alkaloids, flavonoids, and the content of saponins will be evaluated using analytical method [20].

### Determination of Antibacterial Susceptibility of the Extracts

The agar well method was used to determine the antibacterial activity of the extracts. 0.1ml of the different standardized organism were inoculated on the surface of Mueller Hinton Agar in a sterile Petri dish and allowed to set and then labeled. A sterile cork borer 6mm was used to punch holes (i.e. 5 wells) in the inoculated agar and the agar was then removed. Four wells that were formed were filled with different concentrations of the extract which were labeled accordingly; 50, 100, 150 and 200 mg/ml while the 5th well contained the solution used for the research to serve as control, Ciprofloxacin (Micro lab limited) 100 mg/ml, was used as control in this research. These were then left on the bench for 1hour for adequate diffusion of the extracts and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the diameter of the zones of inhibition around each well, were measured to the nearest millimeters. The experiment was conducted in triplicate and average value was calculated [21].

### Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC of the extracts was determined using broth dilution technique. Two-fold serial dilutions of the extracts were prepared by adding 2ml of 100mg/ml of the extract into a test tube containing 2ml of Nutrient broth, thus producing solution containing 50mg/

ml of the extract. The process continues serially up to test tube No. 5, hence producing the following concentrations; 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25 3.125 mg/ml. Test tube No. 6 do not contain extracts and serve as negative control. Exactly 0.5 ml of 0.5 McFarland equivalent standards of test organisms were introduced into the test tubes and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation the test tubes were observed for growth by checking for turbidity [21].

### Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

From each tube that did not show visible growth in the MIC, 0.1ml was aseptically transferred into extract free Mueller Hilton agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The MBC was recorded as the lowest concentration of the extract that had less than 99% growth on the agar plates [21].

### Statistical Analysis

The data of average zone of inhibition produced by the isolates against the antibiotics used was analyzed using One-Way ANOVAs and the statistical program SPSS 21.0 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). The results were presented as the means  $\pm$  standard deviation. Significance level for the differences was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

The qualitative phytochemical screening of *A. nilotica* aqueous and ethanolic leaf extract is presented in Table 1. The result indicated the presence of alkaloid, saponin, phenol, flavonoid, and glycoside, tannin, reducing sugar and terpenoid in both the extracts.

**Table 1: Qualitative phytochemical screening of *Acacia nilotica* leaf extract**

S/N	Phytochemicals	Test	Aqueous extract	Ethanol extract
1	Alkaloid	Wagner's test	+	+
2	Saponin	Foam test	+	+
3	Phenol	Ferric chloride test	+	+
4	Flavonoid	Lead acetate test	+	+
5	Glycoside	Fehling test	+	+
6	Tannin	Gelatin test	+	+
7	Reducing sugar	Fehling test	+	+
8	Steroid	Acetic test	-	-
9	Terpenoid	Salkowski test	+	+

**Key:** + = Presence of phytochemical, - = Absence of phytochemical.

### Quantitative phytochemical Screening

The quantitative phytochemical screening of *A. nilotica* leaf extract is presented in Table 2. The result showed that the extract has highest percentage of alkaloid (7.2%), followed by flavonoid

(3.85%), terpenoid (2.6%), tannin (2.3%) and saponin (1.25%). Least content was recorded by glycoside and reducing sugar with 1% and 0.9% respectively.

**Table 2: Quantitative phytochemical screening of *Acacia nilotica* leaf extract**

S/N	Phytochemicals	Quantity (%)
1	Alkaloid	7.20 $\pm$ 0.05
2	Flavonoid	3.85 $\pm$ 0.02
3	Phenol	1.15 $\pm$ 0.01
4	Saponin	1.25 $\pm$ 0.01
5	Tannin	2.30 $\pm$ 0.02
6	Glycoside	1.00 $\pm$ 0.01
7	Reducing sugar	0.90 $\pm$ 0.01
8	Terpenoid	2.60 $\pm$ 0.01

### Antibacterial Activity of Aqueous Extract

The antibacterial activity of aqueous extract of *A. nilotica* leaf is presented in Table 3. The results showed that zones of inhibition recorded by the isolates depend on the type of bacterial isolates

and concentration of the extracts. Highest zone of inhibition was demonstrated by *E. coli* (19.2 $\pm$  mm) at 200 mg /ml. The zone of inhibition of the control (Ciprofloxacin 100 mg/ml) ranges from to 21-24 mm.

**Table 3: Antibacterial activity of aqueous extract of *A. nilotica* leaf**

Isolates	Concentration (mg /ml)/zone of inhibition (mm)				
	25	50	75	100	Control
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	10.20±0.10a	12.00±0.20a	13.50±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	15.60±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	21
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	12.30±0.14a	13.60±0.14a	17.80±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	19.20±0.32 <sup>c</sup>	24
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	11.30±0.17a	12.50±0.18a	14.80±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	17.50±0.18 <sup>c</sup>	23

**Key:** Values having different superscript on the same row are considered significantly different at  $p < 0.05$

#### Antibacterial activity of Ethanol Extract

The antibacterial activity of ethanol extract of *A. nilotica* leaf is presented in Table 4. The results showed that zones of inhibition recorded by the isolates depend on the type of bacterial isolates

and concentration of the extracts. Highest zone of inhibition was demonstrated by *E. coli* (20.6 mm) at 200 mg /ml. The zone of inhibition of the control (Ciprofloxacin 100 mg/ml) ranges from 21-24 mm

**Table 4: Antibacterial activity of ethanol extract of *A. nilotica* leaf**

Isolates	Concentration (mg /ml)/zone of inhibition (mm)				
	25	50	75	100	Control
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	10.80±0.12a	13.50±0.18a	14.30±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	16.70±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	21
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	12.80±0.13a	14.70±0.11b	18.20±0.19 <sup>c</sup>	20.60±0.12 <sup>c</sup>	24
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	11.20±0.17a	12.80±0.15a	16.30±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	18.60±0.15 <sup>c</sup>	23

**Key:** Values having different superscript on the same row are considered significantly different at  $p < 0.05$

#### MIC and MBC of the Leaf Extract

MIC and MBC of aqueous and ethanol extract of *A. nilotica* leaf is represented in Table 5. The result showed dilutions of various concentrations of aqueous and ethanol extracts can inhibit and/or

kill the isolates. Lower MIC (3.125 mg/ml) was shown by ethanol extract. MBC of ethanol extract ranges between 12.5 - 50mg/ml while the MBC of aqueous extract ranges from 25 – 50 mg/ml.

**Table 5: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and MBC of the extracts**

Isolates	Aqueous extract		Ethanol extract	
	MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)	MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)
<i>S. aureus</i>	12.5	50	6.25	25
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	6.25	25	3.125	12.5
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	12.5	50	12.5	25

#### Discussion

The plant product or natural products show an important role in diseases prevention and treatment through the enhancement of antioxidant activity, inhibition of bacterial growth, and modulation of genetic pathways. In the present study, phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanol extracts of *Acacia nilotica* leaves against clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* were determined. From the present study, the result of phytochemicals shows the presence of alkaloid, saponin, phenol, flavonoid, and glycoside, tannin, reducing sugar and terpenoid in both the extracts. The quantitative phytochemical constituents of *Acacia nilotica* leaves extract showed that the extract has highest percentage of alkaloid (7.2%), followed by flavonoid (3.85%), terpenoid (2.6%), tannin (2.3%) and saponin (1.25%). Least content was recorded by glycoside and reducing sugar with 1% and 0.9% respectively. The result of phytochemical analysis of this work is inconformity with several researchers who worked on antibacterial

activity of the plant. Several studies were conducted to determine the phytochemical present in *A. nilotica* plant [13,22,23]. This result was in conformity with that of Kalaivani et al. who confirmed that all the tested extracts of *A. nilotica* contain phenolic compounds, flavonoid and saponins [22]. The finding also correlates with that of Jigam et al and Bansa et al who both found terpenoids, alkaloids, saponins and glycosides in *A. nilotica* plant [13, 23].

The result of the antimicrobial activities of the extracts by agar well diffusion showed that the extracts produce zones of inhibition all the test organisms even at the lowest concentration. Ethanol extract is the first in term of activity with average zone of inhibition of 15.04 mm while average zone of inhibition recorded by aqueous extracts was 14.19 mm, highest zone of inhibition of ethanol extracts is due to better solubility of the phytochemical constituents of the plant parts. The findings of antibacterial activity of this study correlate with the findings of several researchers [13,23].

The finding of this study was in conformity with that of Banso who confirms the antimicrobial activity of *Acacia* against *Streptococcus viridans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Shigella sonnei* using the agar diffusion method [13]. This finding also justifies the study conducted by Kalaivani and Methew who found the extract of *A. nilotica* demonstrated highest activity against three bacterial (*E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *Salmonella typhi*) and two fungal strain (*Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus niger*) [23]. On the other hand, the results of antibacterial activity of the extracts show that the extracts were more active against *E. coli* than *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*. The average zone of inhibition recorded by *E. coli* was 16.15 mm while those recorded by *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* was 14.39 and 13.32 mm respectively. The antibacterial activity of the extracts is due to the present of phytochemicals they contained. The presence of phytochemical constituents in medicinal plants made them useful for healing as well as for curing of human diseases [5]. Phytochemicals are naturally occurring compounds in the medicinal plants. The MIC and MBC of aqueous and ethanol extract of *A. nilotica* leaf showed dilutions of various concentrations of aqueous and ethanol extracts can inhibit and/or kill the isolates. Lower MIC (3.125 mg/ml) was shown by ethanol extract. MBC of ethanol extract ranges between 12.5 - 50mg/ml while the MBC of aqueous extract ranges from 25 - 50 mg/ml.

## Conclusion

The study screened for phytochemical and determination of antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanol extracts of *A. nilotica* leaf against clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*. From the results of the study, it is concluded that the aqueous and ethanol extracts of *A. nilotica* contain the following bioactive components; alkaloid (7.2%), followed by flavonoid (3.85%), terpenoid (2.6%), tannin (2.3%) and saponin (1.25%). Least content was recorded by glycoside and reducing sugar with 1% and 0.9%. The antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanol extracts of *Acacia nilotica* leaf against the isolates showed that the ethanol extract demonstrated higher activity than aqueous extract. It is recommended that the extract from *A. nilotica* leaf is a good candidate for production of antibiotics.

## Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge to the technical staff of Sheikh Muhammad Jiddah Hospital Kano for sample provision and use of laboratory facilities. Thanks to Kano State Government through Ministry of Health for ethical approval.

## References

- Kim S, Fung YV (2004) Antibacterial effect of crude water-soluble Arrow root (*Peurariae radix*) tea extracts on food borne pathogens in a liquid medium. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 39: 319-325.
- Biswal B, Kimberly R, Fredrick M, Dwayne D, Anand Y (2014). Antibacterial activity of leaf extracts of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) on two Gram positive and two Gram negative bacteria. *International Journal of Microbiology* 2: 1-7.
- Anibijuwon II, Udeze OA (2009) Antibacterial Activity of *Carica Papaya* (Paw-paw Leaf) on Some Pathogenic Organisms of Clinical Origin from South-Western, Nigeria. *Ethno botanical Leaflets* 13: 850-864.
- Khan R, Islam B, Akram M, Shakil S, Ahmad A, et al. (2008) Antibacterial Activity of Five Herbal Extracts against Multi Drug Resistant (MDR) strains of Bacteria and Fungus of Clinical Origin. *Molecules* 14: 586-597.
- Nostro A, Germano MP, D'Angelo V, Mariano A, Lanattel M A (2000) Extraction method and bioautography for evaluation of medicinal plants antimicrobial activity. *Letter in Applied Microbiology* 30: 379.
- Abdul Wadood, Ghufuran M, Jamal SB, Naeem M, Khan A, et al. (2013) Phytochemical Analysis of Medicinal Plants Occurring in Local Area of Mardan. *Biochemistry and Analytical Biochemistry* 2: 1-4.
- McGaw LJ, Jager AK, Staden JV (2000) Antibacterial, anti-helminthes and anti-amoebic activity in South Africa medicinal plants. *J Ethno* 72: 247-263.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2004). Use of antibacterials outside human medicine and result and antibacterial resistance in humans; World Health Organization 2002
- Kaur K, Michael H, Arora S, Harkonen P, Kumar S (2005) In vitro bioactivity-guided fractionation and characterization of polyphenolic inhibitory fractions from *Acacia nilotica* (L.) Willd. ex Del J *Ethnopharmacol* 99: 353-630.
- Baravkar AA, Kale RN, Patil RN, Sawant SD (2008) Pharmaceutical and biological evaluation of formulated cream of methanolic extract of *Acacia nilotica* leaves. *Res J Pharm Technol* 1: 481-483.
- Shittu GA (2010) In vitro antimicrobial and phytochemical activities of *Acacia nilotica* leaf extract. *J Med Plants Res* 4: 1232-1234.
- Singh BN, Singh BR, Sarma BK, Singh HB (2009) Potential chemoprevention of N-nitrosodiethylamine-induced hepatocarcinogenesis by polyphenolics from *Acacia nilotica* bark. *Chem Biol Interact* 181: 20-28.
- Banso A (2009) Phytochemical and antibacterial investigation of bark extracts of *Acacia nilotica*. *J Med Plants Res* 3: 082-085.
- Meena PD, Kaushik P, Shukla S, Soni AK, Kumar M, et al. (2006) Anticancer and antimutagenic properties of *Acacia nilotica* (Linn.) on 7, 12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced skin papillomagenesis in Swiss albino mice. *Asian Pac J Can Prev* 7: 627-632.
- National Population Commission (NPC) (2006). National population census result, 2006 Abuja Nigeria.
- Holt JG, Krieg NR, Senath PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST (1994) *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* 9th Edn. Baltimore Md Williams and Wilkins.
- Ahmed I, Beg AZ (2001) Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian Medicinal plants against multi-drug resistance human pathogens. *J Ethnopharmacol* 74: 113-123.
- Sofowora A (1993). Research on Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* 2: 365-372.
- Tiwari R, Das K, Shrivastava DK (2010) Techniques for evaluation of medicinal plant products as antimicrobial agent: Current methods and future trends. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 4: 104-111.
- Harborne JB (1998) *Phytochemical Methods: A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis*, Chapman and Hall, London, UK.

- 
21. Ali M, Nas FS, Yahaya A, Minjibir AA, Ibrahim SI (2018) Antibacterial Activity of Gallic (*Allium sativum*) Extracts on Food Borne Pathogens. *Annals of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 1: 45-51.
  22. Kalaivani T, Mathew L (2010) Free radical scavenging activity from leaves of *Acacia nilotica* (L) Willd. ex Delile, an Indian medicinal tree. *Food Chem Toxicol* 48: 298-305.
  23. Jigam AA, Akanya HO, Dauda BEN, Okogun JO (2010). Polygalloyltannin isolated from the roots of *Acacia nilotica* Del (Leguminosae) is effective against *Plasmodium berghei* in mice. *J Med Plants Res* 4: 1169-1175.

**Copyright:** ©2021 Muhammad Ali, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.